GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL Utta para Jaikrishna Public Library

Accn. No. 6669	••••
Date 16. 4. 75	••••
Shelf List No. 052ED	. بميا
	_
cop.2	

THE

EDINBURGH · REVIEW

OR

CRITICAL JOURNAL

FOR

JANUARY, 1847 APRIL, 1847.

TO BE CONTINUED QUARTERLY.

JUDEX DAMNATUR CUM NOCENS ABSOLVITUR.
PUBLIUS SYRUS.

VOL. LXXXV.

EDINBURGII:

PRINTED BY BALLANTYNE AND HUGHES,
FOR LONGMAN, BROWN, GREEN, & LONGMANS, LONDON;
AND ADAM AND CHARLES BLACK, EDINBURGH.

1847.

MACA NU 6669 .. 200 16.4.75

CONTENTS OF No. CLXXII.

Page	,
ART. I.—De la Pologne et des Cabinets du Nord. Par Felix Colson,	
II 1. Lives of the English Saints. Parts I. to XII.	
2. Essai sur les Légendes l'ieuses du Moyen-Age. Par L. F. Alfred Maury,	í
III.—1. A Brief Sketch of the Life of the late Miss Sarale Martin of Great Yarmouth: with Extracts from the Parliamentary Reports on Prisons; and her own Prison Journals	
2. Selections from the Poetical Remains of the late Miss Sarah Martin of Great Yarmouth, 320)
IV.—Essai sur les Ecoles Philosophiques chez les Arabes. Par Auguste Schmölders, 340)
V.—The Emigrant. By Sir Francis B. Head, Bart., . 35	3
VI—A Brief Discourse of the Troubles begun at Frankfort in the year 1554, about the Book of Common Prayer and Coremonics	8
VII.—1. Discourses upon Trade. By Sir Dudley North.	
2. Sophismes Economiques. Par Mons. Frederick Bastiat,	G
VIII.—1. Mr. Redgrave's Letter on the School of Design, to Lord John Russell.	
 The Papers and Transactions of the Decorative Art Society, from January 1844 to 1846. 	
3. Fresco Decorations and Stuccoes of Churches and	

CONTENTS.

Pa	ıge
taken from the principal Works of the greatest Painters. Drawn and Engraved by Thurmer, Gutensohn, Pistrucci, Gruncr, and others. With English Descriptions. By Ludovig Gruner, . 4	152
ART. IX.—The Billow and the Rock. A Tale. By Harriet Martineau,	61
X.—1. Report f the Commissioners appointed to inquire into the Consolidation of the Statute Law. Printed by command of her Majesty.	
2. Substance of a Speech delivered by the Right Hon. Henry Lord Langdale, Master of the Rolls, in the House of Lords, on the 13th June 1836, on the second reading of the Bill for the better Administration of Justice in the High Court of Chancery.	•
3. Report from the Select Committee on Public Bills. Printed by the House of Commons 4	76
XI.—1. Correspondence relating to the Marriages of the Queen and Infanta of Spain. Presented to both Houses of Parliament by command of her Majesty, 1847.	
 Documens communiqués aux Chambres dans la Ses- sion de 1846-1847, par le Ministre des Affaires Etrangères—Mariages Espagnols. 	
3. Speech of the Duc de Broglie in the Chamber of Peers, January 19, 1847.	
4. Speech of M. Guizot in the Chamber of Peers, January 20, 1847.	
5. Speech of M. Guizot in the Chamber of Deputies, February 5, 1847.	
6. Considerations respecting the Marriage of the Duke of Montpensier with reference to the Treaty of Utrecht,	90
XII.—A Plea for Ragged Schools; or, Prevention better than Cure. By the Rev. Thomas Guthrie, 5	20



THE



EDINBURGH REVIEW,

APRIL, 1847.

No CLXXII.

ART. I.—De la Pologne et des Cabinets du Nord. Par FELIX COLSON. 3 vols. 8vo. Paris: 1841.

I wo-and-thirty years have now elapsed since the last general Congress of the European Powers assembled at Vienna, to terminate the war in which Europe had been engaged for a quarter of a century, and to establish the territorial arrangements of the continental states upon those principles of international policy which were thenceforward to govern the world. course of this period every crown in Europe has descended to the head of another sovereign; a generation of princes is past away; and with the exception of Prince Metternich, Count Nesselrode, and the Duke of Wellington, the plenipotentiaries of the great Powers who contributed to that settlement of Europe have disappeared from the scene. Five great events—the emancipation of Greece, the French Revolution of 1830, the disjunction of Belgium and Holland, the Polish war of 1831, and the civil war in Spain-have since agitated Europe, and partially modified the state of affairs recognised by the treaties of 1815. Nevertheless the work of the Congress of Vienna, however imperfect it may appear when examined with the critical eye of the jurist, or measured by the standard of the historian, continues to be the principal safeguard of the general peace. If we are living under a regular political system, and not under a mere suspension of aggressive forces, it is because the authority of the final act of the Congress of Vienna has not ceased to be invoked by the cubinets of all the Powers, and the stipulations upon which it is based are still held

to constitute the written international law of Europe.

It might, indeed, be superfluous to advert to facts which are the groundwork of the existing political system, if a recent event, accomplished by the three Northern Courts, had not suddealy brought even these elementary principles into discussion, and shaken seriously the confidence which we have been accustomed to repose on the arrangements of the Congress of Vienna. The annexation of the free city and territory of Cracow to the Austrian Empire, and the total abrogation, by the will of the three Courts of the North alone, of those stipulations in favour of Cracow which were solemnly adopted and guaranteed at Vienna by all the powers of Europe, have excited the surprise, the apprehension, and the indignation of statesmen and of nations. If the principles of the Congress of Vienna are to be thus abandoned—if the express stipulations of the final act of that august assembly are to be broken with impunity—it cannot be thought superfluous to revert in some detail to the transactions in which those principles originated, and to the considerations upon which those stipulations were imposed and accepted. They still belong not only to the history, but to the politics of Europe. They are still in force to restrain the ambitious, to protect the weak, and to maintain the settlement of the continental states; unless, indeed, it should prove that the direct infraction of the stipulations relating to Cracow and to Poland has already so loosened the whole fabric, that the remnant of the treaty has lost its authority, and that the law which has been violated in such particulars as these, shall be no longer recognised in moments of excitement or temptation as binding in others.

A cursory examination of the negotiations which took place at Vienna, and of the events which preceded them, more especially with reference to the condition of Poland, will at once illustrate the contrast between 1815 and 1847, and determine the extent of our present deviation from the course of policy which was then laid down with the consent of Europe. If the stipulations then insisted upon, and which even then were reduced by Russia far below the amount of security for which England, Austria, and Prussia had contended, were indispensable to the security of the adjacent states and the tranquillity of Poland, they have lost none of their importance down to the present hour; and, in addition to the extinction of that public principle which is the universal sanction of a great treaty, the practical consequences of these perilous changes may be readily detected in the increased insecurity of some states, the increasing restlessness and ambition of others, and the mutual

distrust of all. Europe has to choose between a faithful adherence to the arrangements of 1815, and a revival of all the chances of discord. Every departure from these terms is a direct provocation to further innovation; and in that direction the path is short which leads from violent recrimination to direct hostility. These convictions are universally and instinctively entertained, even by those who have not minutely examined the basis on which they rest. From Constantinople to Paris, the Continent has been agitated by the extinction of Cracow, as by an event portending a serious perturbation in Europe, and indicating the prostration of Germany before the policy of Russia. It has been acutely remarked, that Poland has weighed more in the international relations and the foreign politics of Europe since she has been robbed of her national government and independence, than she had done in the preceding century of her agitated and self-consuming existence. The observation might be carried further; for so far is the work of the destruction of the Polish nation from having accomplished its object, that the more the powers of despotic rulers are strained to effect her ruin, the stronger is the re-action, and the more injurious are the consequences even to those who have put these engines of torture and oppression into motion. The Polish question weighs upon Germany with the burden of unexp ted injustice. Russia has by more violent means converted her \ are in those iniquities into an apparent triumph; but another century will not have converted her possession of the Polish territories into secure dominion; or have obliterated the remembrance of the atrocities by which that dominion has been maintained. The Polish question, which was not settled by the encroachments of 1772, or the partition of 1795, or the erection of the duchy of Warsaw in 1806 and 1809, was supposed to have been definitely arranged in 1815. so in effect? Fifteen years had scarcely elapsed before the kingdom was in arms; and a rebellion, begun with a precipitation bordering on accident, terminated in the forfeiture of the constitutional rights of the kingdom. Another fifteen years have barely passed, when another convulsion again demonstrates the vitality of the victim; and the authority of Russia is again interposed to crush the last vestige of Polish nationality by the extinction of Cracow. But the last act in this long series of persecutions is felt as keenly—even more keenly by every statesman in Europe—as the first explosion of the conspiracy against the national existence of Poland; and to effect an object which, to outward appearance, is no more than the needless suppression of the nominal independence of a city of 25,000 inhabitants, the courts of Vienna and Berlin were induced to defy the public opinion of the age, and to give the world an example

of the open violation of the great title-deed of Europe.

In those parts of the Continent in which the Congress of Vienna only sanctioned the established order of things, or confirmed the natural and convenient distribution of territory and population, it is unnecessary to invoke its authority, and its provisions are in no danger of being violated. But precisely on the points which were adjusted with most difficulty, the greatest infractions of the treaty have been committed. Poland was the grand difficulty of the Congress; and the stipulations with reference to Poland have proved eminently deceptive, not only to her own injured inhabitants, but to, Europe. The Congress of Vienna did not, indeed, attempt to make the condition of Poland what it ought to be; but it sought to make it endurable. The unnatural division of the country, and the morbid excitement of the Polish nation, might, indeed, of themselves have compromised arrangements so precarious and artificial. But they have, in fact, yielded to another and a more powerful cause. They have been steadily undermined by the policy of Russia; and the same power which succeeded in obtaining at Vienna, in 1815, all she then required, upon certain conditions, has gradually, but effectually, dissolved all those conditions by her own authority and influence; until the annihilation of Cracow has removed the last pretence by which a vestige of those stipulations was still upheld.

The duties of the Congress of Vienna were twofold,—retrospectively, to heal the breaches caused by the long period of war and revolution through which Europe had just passed; and prospectively, to lay the basis of a lasting peace. In both these points of view the Polish question stood in the first rank. far as France was concerned, the success of the Allies, the restoration of the Bourbons, and the treaty of Paris, had virtually terminated the struggle which had raged so long on the battle-fields of Europe. On the French frontiers no momentous territorial questions remained open for discussion. It is worthy of particular observation, that the principal territorial questions to be determined by the Congress of Vienna lay, not between the conquering and the conquered parties in the late war, but between the allied conquering states themselves; and that they arose not so much out of the long convulsion of the French revolutionary war, as out of other events anterior to that struggle. The three Powers which had been actively engaged twenty years before 1815, in the final partition of Poland, found themselves at that date masters of the Continent, and intent upon the

reward of the exertions they had made in a more recent contest. They were, indeed, in the presence of England, whose all aprehad so essentially seconded their efforts; and the English minister at Vienna failed not to assert, the true principle of a just and prudent policy: But other circumstances had in the meanwhile given to Russia an indisputable ascendancy over her northern allies with reference to Poland. It was the first time, since the erasure of that ancient kingdom from the map of Europe, that a general Congress was assembled to adjust the balance of power. A new and most important combination had been formed. The ancient antagonism of Austria and Prussia, which had afflicted Germany and the Empire with continual hostilities down to the close of the Seven Years' War, appeared to be disarmed by the common interest of these two powers in the subjugation and possession of their Polish provinces; and Russian influence, which had originally lured them to the booty, now predominated over the triple councils, and extended itself, through them, into the heart of Europe. The partition of Poland had been the means of effecting that peculiar league or alliance of the Northern Powers; and at the Congress of Vienna the results of this very partition came for the first time under the direct cognizance of the other states of the West, and were incorporated into the act which comprised the final determinations of that conclave of nations.

Among the motives which are supposed to have induced the other courts to tolerate the first direct encroachment of the Northern Powers in 1772, there is not one which does not glaringly exemplify at the present time the folly of their conduct. Thus, when the Duc d'Aiguillon proposed to the cabinet of Louis XV., to attack the Low Countries in case the Empress-Queen assented to the proposed partition of Poland, the proposal was overruled upon the following pretexts:—

1. That among the three partitioning Powers, France would,

under a regular system of things, always find one ally.

2. That as the increase of force and territory was about the same to the three contracting parties, it operated no change in their relative strength.

3. It was supposed that the partition of Poland would speedily

sow discord between the partitioning Powers.*

These predictions deserve to be remembered, for the precision with which they have been, not fulfilled,—but reversed. France looks in vain for an ally in the North. The increase of

^{*} Hassan, vii. p. 90.

force and territory has not been equal, but eminently unequal, on the principle that to him who hath much, much shall be given. Yet the effect of this strange state of things has not been to sow discord between the partitioning powers, but to make the power principally interested in the success of the scheme, the real arbiter of the destinies of the other two.

It is worth while, in order clearly to comprehend the position of the question as it stood in 1815, and the conflicting views of the several powers before it received a definitive solution, briefly to follow the transferences of territory which have succeeded each other—all to the advantage of Russia—and all to the disadvantage of her allies. In September 1772, the territories of the Polish republic covered 13,000 square German miles, extending from Smolensko to the confines of Silesia. By the first partition, acceded to by the oppressed Diet of the Republic on the 18th September 1772, about 4000 square German miles were appropriated to the three Powers in the following proportions:—

, ,Տզւ	uare Miles.	Population.	
Russia,	1975	1,800,000	Including Polish Livonia, half the palatinate of Polocz, the palatinate of Witepsk, and a part of that of Minsk.
Austriu,	1280	2,700,000	Including the county of Spitz, half the pulatinate of Cracow, part of the pulatinate of Sandomir, Red Russia, &c.
Prussia,	630	416,000	Including Polish Prussia, but with- out Danzig and Thorn, and Pome- relia.—(Colson, p. 138, vol. i.)

If the continual influence of the ambassadors of Catherine had not been employed to practise on the weakness of the court of Warsaw, and to promote the division of the Polish nobles, the guarantee given by the three Powers for the remainder of the dominions of the republic, might not have been altogether void. There is one short period in the last melancholy years of the independence of Poland, which is even now not undeserving the attention of an English or a Prussian statesman. Even in the last years of the reign of Frederic H., that monarch appears to have discovered the infamy or the impolicy of annihilating a state which had been for centuries regarded as 'the barrier and outwork of Brandenburg against the barbarians of the north;' and, in 1782, when Potemkin required Count Goertz to communicate to his master the plan of a further partition, the Court of Berlin firmly rejected the proposal. In the volume of post-

humous memoirs of Frederic II., which relates the progress of the negotiations of 1772, nothing is more characteristic of the energy and sagacity of that prince, than the care he took to prevent a disproportionate aggrandisement of Russia; and he was ready to unite his arms to those of Austria, if Catherine evaded the stipulations of the convention signed on the 4th March 1772, in defence of the principle proposed by Baron Swieten, that the partition should be effected on a principle of perfect equality between the three powers. Upon the accession of Frederic William II. to the throne of Prussia, under auspices which seemed to promise a more glorious reign than history has had to record of him, the Prussian cabinet still stood aloof from its fatal connexion with Russia, and contracted closer relations with England, Holland, and Sweden. It seemed probable that the active and able representative of England at the court of Berlin, and subsequently at that of Warsaw, Mr Hailes, might succeed in forming an alliance of the minor northern Powers. the 4th December 1788, such an alliance was projected between Prussia, Poland, England, Holland, and Sweden; and, in connexion with this scheme, Michael Oginski was sent to London by the Polish Diet to discuss with Mr Pitt the provisions of a commercial treaty. A convention was actually signed between Prussia and Poland on the 29th March 1790, which guaranteed in the most formal terms the independence of Poland, and bound the King to assist the republic with his forces in case any foreign power should claim the right of interfering in its internal affairs.

Meanwhile the progress of the French Revolution drew the attention of the German powers to another quarter. The Prussian government of that day, which had recently congratulated its unfortunate neighbours on the success of their patriotic and constitutional exertions, suddenly turned round upon them, and, on the 8th June 1792, Lucchesini, the Prussian minister at Warsaw, was instructed to convey to the Poles the signal displeasure of his master at their presumption, in having framed a constitution without his knowledge or co-operation. 'Nevertheless,' says one of the most eminent of the former contributors to this Journal, (Ed. Rev. vol. xxxvii. p. 503,) 'three times did the government of Prussia, after the knowledge of the new constitution, ratify and confirm 'her alliance with Poland. Had it been reasonable to place any reliance on the faith of treaties, or on the honour of kings, the republic of Poland might have confidently hoped, that, if she were attacked by Russia, her independence and her constitution (that of the 3d May) would be defended by the whole force of the Prussian monarchy.' It has been

supposed, certainly not without some show of probability, that the disastrous conduct of the first Prussian campaigns against the French Revolution, predisposed the court of Berlin to accept compensation from a less formidable enemy; and it is certain that Catherine, who confined her hostility to the French to mere verbal denunciations, reserved her forces to take advantage of the general crisis, to secure the remains of Poland. From that hour the second partition was resolved upon; and here it deserves to be remarked, that Russia, which had so nearly lost the fruit of all her efforts by the temporary separation of the court of Berlin from her policy, did not hesitate to make considerable sacrifices of territory to regain her ascendancy in the Polish question over the Prussian court. We shall see by what means she recovered, in 1815, the apparent concessions of 1793 and 1795. The shares of the three powers stood then in these proportions :---

	Square Miles.	Population.
1793	. 4553	3,000,000
1795	2680	1,200,000
1793	1061	1,100,000
1795	997	1,000,000
, 1795	834	1,837,000
	1795 1793 1795	1795 2690 1793 1061 1795 997

The result of these arrangements was, that Russia had acquired 8500 square German miles of territory, with 4,600,000 inhabitants; Austria, 2100 square miles, with 5,000,000 of inhabitants; and Prussia, 2700 square miles, with 2,550,000 inhabitants.

These figures, however, convey no accurate notion of the relative political importance of the acquisitions of the three Powers. Russia had, indeed, made an enormous stride westward, in the direction which was one day to bring her to the confines of Germany; but her actual acquisitions were chiefly valuable as the path to what lay beyond them. The value of the share of Austria was greatly enhanced by the possession of the saltmines of Wielicszkov, and by the command of Cracow and the country beyond the Upper Vistula, which might have been converted into a more defensible northern frontier. But the share of Prussia was in truth the most seductive prize, though the smallest in extent. It gave her the whole navigable Vistula to the sea, and the most fertile and populous parts of Poland. It secured her frontier by the possession of Warsaw. Graudentz, Thorn, and Danzig; and it undoubtedly raised her to the highest rank she has ever enjoyed amongst the Nothern Powers, while the beneficial effects of her administration were not unfelt by that part of Poland.

This advantageous position of Prussia was of short duration. The catastrophe of 1806 was followed by the dissolution of the Prussian monarchy. By the treaty of Tilsit in the following year, the duchy of Warsaw was created, with a territory of 1850 square German miles, and a population of 2,200,000, taken from the Prussian portion of Poland.* In 1809, by the treaty of Vienna of that year, the addition of Western Gallicia, taken from the share of Austria, raised the extent of this little state to 2800

square miles, and its population to 3,780,000.†

Such was the state of Eastern Europe when the Congress of Vienna met, on the morrow of a storm which had lasted for a quarter of a century. The powers whose combined forces had just reduced France to her ancient limits, and restored three members of the house of Bourbon to their respective thrones, were called upon in the hext place to re-constitute the great political institutions of Germany; to determine the territorial division of Poland; to afford reparation to the bleeding nations of Europe for the evils they had suffered during the war and before it; to establish governments which should have some claim to national support, and in reality, (though England always refused to acknowledge a right in others which she disclaimed for herself,) to reward the princes who had taken the most conspicuous part in the last successful campaigns. We shall not here pause to enquire whether these ends have been attained, or, indeed, whether the noblest of them were

* The losses of Prussia in Poland were stated in Prince Hardenberg's despatch of the 16th Dec. 1814, according to the Tables of Hasselt, (1st edition, 1805,) to have been as follows:—

, ,		•		•	Population.	•
Departments of	Posen	•••	•••	•••	598,167	
·	Kalisch		•••	•••	395,452	
	Warnaw	•		•••	354,452	
	Plock	•••	•••	•••	315,542	
	Bialystock	•••	•••	•••	512,785	
	New Silesia	•••	•••	_ • • •	71,826	
Ceded portion of	of the district	of Net	ze	•	162,500	
	East Prussia		•••	•••	111,869	
Thorn	•	•••	•••	•••	10,000	
					2,532,598	• •

[†] The losses of Austria, as stated by Lord Castlereagh, were 1072 square German miles, and 1,883,899 population. Of this territory no part was recovered by Austria except the salt-mines of Wieliczskov.

honestly sought; but amongst them the questions affecting Poland claimed the first place. In Poland the most extraordinary changes had been accomplished, and were still unsanctioned by time or by general treaties. The just discontent and the perpetual protest of the Polish nation, claimed and required national institutions; if ever peace was to be restored to that disturbed and mutilated country. These were promised openly; but in secret all the Northern Powers, and especially Russia, looked to the confirmation and extension of their possessions in Poland as the substantial reward of their exertions in the late war. Thus, while the public ir England and the rest of Europe, intoxicated with success, and satisfied with the overthrow of Napoleon, appeared only too careless of the principles by which former wrongs might be redressed, and future dangers averted, Russia steadily carried on her secular policy through all the tumult of the French war, and was prepared to take the fullest advantage of her position in Poland, when the deliberations of

Vienna were opened. The summer months of 1813 turned the tide in the struggle with Napoleon. Already, in the preceding month of February, Prussia had signed with Russia the celebrated treaty of Kalisz, which guaranteed the reconstruction of the Prussian monarchy and the restoration of her provinces, 'more especially 'Old Prussia, to which a territory was to be united, which in all respects, military as well as geographical, should join 'that province to Silesia.' The combined operations of the Allied Powers were at length secured by the accession of Austria; and the basis was laid of that alliance of the Northern Courts, which must be regarded as the most constant and influential fact in the history of continental Europe during the first half of the 19th century, since it has subsisted undissolved, and almost unshaken, from the 27th June 1813, to the commencement of the present year. The armistice of Poischwitz had been concluded on the 4th June, and the remainder of the month was consumed in the abortive mediation of Austria and the pretended Congress of Prague. The convention, called the convention of Dresden, was signed on the 30th June, by which this mediation and this congress were recognised by Buonaparte. But the Emperor of Austria had already bound himself by the convention of Reichenbach, signed on the 27th June, to join his forces to the allied armies of Russia and Prussia, in the event of the failure of his proffered mediation. The first article of that memorable treaty declared, that the Emperor of Austria joined the coalition on certain conditions, which he thought necessary to the re-establishment of the balance of power and of

durable tranquillity in Europe; the second article provided as the first of these conditions 'the dissolution of the duchy of Warsaw, and the partition of the provinces which compose it between Austria, Prussia, and Russia, by arrangements to be 'taken by these three powers without the intervention of France' -France being then, it will be remembered, the France of Napoleon before the battle of Leipzig. Such was the position assigned, even at that important moment when the destinies of three empires and a monarchy were poised in adverse scales, to the mere remnant of the republic of Poland. later, the alliance, which had been contingent at Reichenbach, was perfected by the formal alliances signed on the 9th Sept. 1813 at Toplitz, in the shape of three distinct treatics between Russia and Austria, Russia and Prussia, and Prussia and Austria; which may be regarded as the definitive basis of this Northern Alliance.

On this occasion also, after providing in the body of the treaty for the vigorous conduct of the war, the three Powers established in six secret articles the fundamental conditions of the future peace. The first of these articles was, 'the reconstruction of the Austrian and Prussian monarchies, on a scale as 'near as possible to that in which they were in 1805.' The third was 'an amicable arrangement between the three courts of Russia, Austria, and Prussia, on the future condition of the duchy of Warsaw.' Such were the existing stipulations with reference to the distribution of the Polish territory at the very outset of the great coalition of 1813: and such were the principles on which the Congress of Vienna was bound to act when it met, in the following year, in pursuance of the secret article annexed to the treaty of Paris, to establish 'a real and durable system 'of the balance of power in Europe.'

The Congress of Vienna was to have met, in pursuance of another article of the last-mentioned treaty, at the expiration of two months from the 30th May 1814. The presence of the allied sovereigns in London, and the inability of Lord Castle-reagh, the British plenipotentiary, to withdraw from his parliamentary duties, led, however, to a further postponement. In reality, nothing had been done, notwithstanding the public impression to the contrary, to prepare or facilitate the territorial arrangements of the Congress; and on the 8th October a declaration was made at Vienna, which was then thronged by the potentates and statesmen of Europe, to the effect that the formal opening of the assembly should be further delayed until the questions to be submitted to it had reached at that point of maturity which would enable the powers to come to a prompt

and satisfactory result. The first regular protocol of the Congress was dated the 1st November. This interval of the month of October was, however, a period of the greatest importance; and the secret correspondence of the courts during that time, part of which has recently been laid before the British Parliament, furnishes the best insight into the difficulties, which at the time pressed most heavily on the deliberations of assembled Europe, and may well perplex the judgment of pos-

terity.

The question of Poland had not been generally regarded as one of the principal matters to be disposed of by the Congress; although it had been especially mentioned in the treatics of 1813, and although, to the honour of our own country, the independence of Poland had been advocated in the British Parliament in the following session, in the midst of all the triumphs of the allied armies. But no sooner were the Powers assembled at Vienna, than the Emperor Alexander and the Russian ministers disclosed pretensions which astonished and alarmed the courts of Germany, of France, and of England. The Russian armies still occupied Saxony and the duchy of Warsaw. They evacuated the former kingdom in favour of Prussia, which claimed the whole dominions of the King of Saxony; but it immediately became apparent that nothing but the force of arms would dislodge that insatiable power from the entire possession of her Polish booty.

In the diplomatic papers recently communicated to this country by the Austrian cabinet, and drawn up since the extinction of Cracow, the right of conquest acquired by Russia over the whole of Poland, in the campaign of 1813, is distinctly recognised. Prince Metternich says that, 'By agreement with Austria and ⁶ Prussia, the court of Russia separated from those countries, to dispose freely of which conquest had given her the unquestion-'able right, a certain portion of territory.' These are the doctrines of the cabinet of Vienna in 1846; but in 1814 she admitted no Russian right of conquest to bar the restitution of what had recently been her own. Still less were such doctrines admitted by any other powers. It was expressly to dispute them that Lord Castlereagh addressed to the Emperor Alexander those forcible and enlightened remenstrances which were first published in the Times newspaper of January last. English minister called on the Russian Emperor to observe the faith of those treaties which had just been crowned with such glorious success. He exhorted him to give an example of disinterested good faith, and to accede to an arrangement which would afford a just security to the immediate neighbours of

Russia; and satisfy the inhabitants of Poland by a due regard to their national rights. He declared that the complacency with which the progress of Russia was contemplated and avowed in her own state papers, was by no means calculated to dissipate apprehensions for the future; and he seems to have been animated with a prophetic feeling of the dangers and humiliations which the annexation of a Polish kingdom to the Russian crown reserved for the German states upon her western frontier.

The personal dislike of Alexander to Prince Metternich deterred the Austrian minister from engaging in a direct negotiation on the subject. It was not till the latter part of November that Prince Hardenberg remonstrated on the subject with the Emperor. In fact, whilst the plenipotentiary of England was thus manfully and wisely combating the pretensions of Russia, the German powers themselves were under the influence of other desires, or other fears. Prussia was wholly intent on the acquisition of Saxony. On this subject Lord Castlereagh observed to Prince Hardenberg, in his note of the 11th October 1814, 'I have no hesitation in entertaining the principle of the proposed arrangement, if it shall be necessary to place Prussia in the station she should 'occupy for the interest of Europe: But if this incorporation '[of Saxony] should be attempted as a means of compensating 'Prussia for unjust and dangerous encroachments on the part of 'Russia, and as an arrangement to reconcile her, uncovered in 'point of frontier, to submit to an obvious relation of military de-'pendence on that great power, in this latter alternative, which I should, for the honour and interest of all, and of none more than Russia herself, deeply deplore, I do not feel myself 'justified in giving your Highness the smallest expectation that Great Britain could, in the face of Europe, be a party to such 'an arrangement.' Prussia did not obtain Saxony; but she did submit to 'that obvious relation of military dependence on that great power,' which Frederic the Great had carefully guarded against in 1772, and which Lord Castlereagh warned her of in At the same time, M. Pozzo di Borgo, the most sagacious of the councillors of Alexander, taking into consideration the sole interests of Russia, and laying aside-all disguise, presented to the Emperor, by his command, a secret paper, which is now first published from an authentic source in M. Colson's valuable work. We interrupt our narrative to present some fragments of it to our readers; not only as a document of profound sagacity, and a model of political reasoning, but as containing the most striking exposition in existence, of the policy of Russia towards Poland, and towards her German neighbours:-

To his Majesty the Emperor.

SIRE,—Your Imperial Majesty having ordered me to submit to you my opinion with reference to the condition and the government of Poland, I think it my duty to lay at your feet the result of my reflections, with all the feelings, and I will add the terror, which the magnitude and the difficulty of the subject create in my mind. The territory of that which was once called Poland being divided as it is between the states of Austria, Russia, and Prussia, the consequence is that every measure of fundamental policy applied to this country has reference,first, immediately to the interests of Austria and Prussia, and indirectly to those of the other powers which are connected with them; secondly, to the interests of Russia, considered as the dominant empire, having a right to a preference of the first rank in all the decisions taken by her chief; lastly, to the welfare of Poland itself, as far as it may be practicable in the singular situation and circumstances in which she is placed. The tendency which the Poles have frequently shown to establish an independent government, and to shake off a foreign authority, is conformable to the nature of things and of mankind; but it may be inferred that as soon as a diet, a Polish army, and a representative system are called into existence, they will be a standard round which the whole nation may rally. Austria and Prussia cannot shut their eyes to the consequences of such an arrangement; and, as soon as it is made, it compels them to look upon their Polish subjects as in a state of permanent rebellion. In this position these two powers will naturally draw to one another to avert a common danger; whether they seek to preserve their own acquisitions, or whether they look to the final and absolute independence of Poland as a means of weakening Russia, and a compensation for the sacrifice of their own possessions—all their conduct would be directed to this object. The King of Prussia is undoubtedly bound to your Majesty; but suppose that monarch obtains, by the treaties now under consideration. all the objects of his desires and his ambition; suppose the frigid calculations of interest to govern his cabinet at some moment when the security of his possessions may be menaced; take into consideration the chances of change by order of succession, which must never be forgotten in matters of state, - and I have no doubt that Prussia will regulate her policy, as she ought to do, according to what suits her, without a very strong regard for past obligations. England will support this policy without hesitation; and France will profit by circumstances when division has been sown among the other powers. Your Majesty clearly perceives that in this case Russia would remain isolated, without even being able to rely on Poland herself, which would be seduced by her hope of complete independence to join the rest of Europe.

These prognostications, Sire, are no mere speculations. Your Majesty has before your eyes the germs of all these fearful disturbances: they may be discerned in the manifest opposition which you meet with from the whole of assembled Europe without exception; and even if you triumph, the temporary defeat of the other powers will only unite them all more closely against Russia. It is a great

error in politics to create universal and permanent interests against one's-self. The force of such a cause is generally irresistible; it suspends the rivalry of the most jealous courts, unites the most divided. and removes obstacles held to be insurmountable. * * The conduct of Russia towards Poland has constantly been-that of a strong and vigorous government towards another which is not so. In all countries and all circumstances, suppose a nation demoralized by unlimited political corruption, agitated and torn by factions,—by the side of her rival, a state which is directed by a robust and dexterous government, and follows a plan of systematic aggrandizement, which establishes military discipline in her armies, and rules an obedient and brave people, capable at once of submission and of enthusiasm—and the result may easily be foreseen. The history of the world would be a closed book if we could be astonished at what has befallen the Poles, or rather at what they have drawn down to that which has taken place on the western frontier. The conquest upon themselves. The destruction of Poland as a nation forms the modern history of nearly all Russia. The system of aggrandizement on the side of the Turks has been purely territorial, and I may venture to say secondary of Poland has been achieved principally in order to multiply the relations of the Russian nation with the rest of Europe, and to open to it a wider field, a nobler and more conspicuous theatre; where it may exercise its strength and its talents-where it may gratify its pride, its passions, and its interests. From this grand scheme, which has been crowned by the most complete success, habits and a sort of fusion have resulted, which cannot be dissolved by a proclamation, without risk of rending the empire . in its most delicate and vital part-the unity of its government. The title of King of Poland can never sympathise with that of Emperor and Autocrat of all the Russias.'-Colson, vol. i. p. 251.

By these remarks, some of them marked by a prophetic penetration, and all by an unscrupulous and undivided concern for the policy of the Bussian crown, Pozzo di Borgo endeavoured to wean Alexander from a plan which he had adopted with enthusiasm. That enthusiasm, however, did not prevent the Emperor from securing by sufficient bonds the largest share of the booty. The change in the person of the sovereign, to which M. Pozzo had adverted in his far-seeing speculations, occurred in Russia sixteen years before the King of Prussia was removed by death. Nicholas had annihilated, within four years of his accession, the constitutional edifice which his brother had raised in Poland; and after a few more years of a relentless and insidious policy, he was able to induce Austria herself to crush the last security given at Vienna for the nationality of Poland, by extinguishing the independence of Cracow. The suggestions with which M. Pozzo di Borgo concludes his memorandum, were not fully realised until 1832 and 1846.

In 1815, however, and at the Congress of Vienna, Alexander resolved to adopt a middle course, which secured to Russia all

the advantages of her systematic policy towards Poland, and to himself a large share of that popularity among the Poles, to which his vanity or his better feelings were not insensible. The author of the second Russian memoir, in answer to Lord Castlereagh's note at Vienna, remarked with a truth which Russia has now lost sight of, 'La Russie, pourrait-elle se flatter d'une parfaite sécurité dans son intérieur, si elle abandonnait encore au désespoir et à la séduction les habitans du Duché de Varsovie? Il est d'un intérêt majeur pour la Russie de mettre un terme à • toutes les inquiétudes des Polonais. Comprimées ultérieurement, elles réagiraient un jour sous une influence étrangère.' The project for re-establishing an independent Kingdom of Poland had probably never been seriously entertained by the other powers which had shared her spoils; and had been raised chiefly in opposition to the excessive claims of Alexander, with a view to effect a compromise with him. In a territorial point of view that compromise was null. Russia acquired all she could ever have hoped to possess. Prussia and Austria formally abandoned the hope of having a defensible military frontier, such as that of the Vistula and the Narew. But Russia herself had qualified these propositions, so manifestly tending to her own aggrandizement, by recommending,—in her note presented to the conference of the four powers forming the committee for Polish and Saxon affairs, by Count Rosoumoffsky on the 30th December,—that Thorn and Cracow should be declared neutral Free Cities, and that the duchy of Warsaw should be annexed to Russia by the national constitution of the kingdom of Poland. In the course of further negotiation Thorn was ceded to Prussia; but Cracow and the Polish constitution remained. They were, it should be observed, essential parts of the most arduous and protracted arrangement of the whole Congress, in which Lord Castlercagh had from the first taken a most active part.* The first intimation of these

^{*} The reason his name does not occur in the latest conferences held on this important subject, which he appears to have thoroughly understood, is, that he left Vienna on the 15th February 1815, and was succeeded as plenipotentiary of this country by the Duke of Wellington, who had arrived on the 1st of that month. The duke appears to have been as much disposed to second the scheme of Alexander, and to confide in his character, as Lord Castlereagh was to oppose the one and suspect the other. It must also be remembered that the return of Buonaparte from Elbs, interfered materially with the deliberations of the Congress; and the proposals made with due deliberation in January, were for the most part adopted with precipitation in May.

proposals by the Russian minister was received with no satisfaction by the conference. Europe was still in arms; and the attitude of Russia was so menacing, that four days afterwards (3d January 1815) a secret defensive alliance was concluded between 'England, France, and Austria, 'à cause des prétentions récem-'ment manifestées,' and for the purpose of maintaining the security and independence of the contracting parties to the treaty of Paris. Each power was to bring 150,000 men, or their equivalent, into the field in case of a rupture.

It is important to remark that the treaty of the 3d May 1815, by which the independence of Cracow was established by the three Northern Courts, which treaty those Courts now claim a right of annulling at their pleasure, was one of the forms eventually given to those very proposals made by the Russian plenipotentiary on the 31st December 1814. Yet Prince Metternich has now the hardihood to assert, in a paper purporting to defend the legality of the recent act of the three Powers, that the treaty of the 3d May 1815, was concluded without any notice having been given to any other court, without any demand for acquiescence having been made to any other power, as likewise without having been objected to by any one.

Our limits forbid us to enter into a minute investigation of these curious transactions, in which the interests of Saxony and Prussia, Poland and Russia, were intimately united. have indicated the importance and the difficulty of these arrangements; and if the nationality of Poland was reduced after this great diplomatic struggle to the narrow limits of the territory of Cracow, and the precarious conditions of a Russian charter of freedom, these results were nevertheless the more precious, and the more worthy of respect and protection, since they alone represented the imperishable principle of Polish nationality, which had been felt, asserted, and admitted, in every deliberation of the Con-The assembled powers of Europe were still haunted by the phantom of her who was not amongst them. Poland, at Vienna, was indeed but a territory and a people, without a monarch or a name. But it was in vain that other names and other monarchs had usurped her rights—The Congress of Vienna involuntarily did as much homage to the principle of the existence of the Polish nation, as if John Sobieski or the great Zamoyski had sate in its councils.

No doubt the jealousy which was felt at that time by Russia towards Austria, induced the court of St Petersburg to refuse the cession to that power of a point like Cracow, which might be of great military importance as a tête-de-pont on the left bank of the Vistula, as it covers the line of communication between

Moravia and Gallicia. But Russia has now acquired a more correct estimate of her own strength and Austria's weakness. The recent cession of Cracow, even if Austria be not restrained from fortifying the city by a secret article, cannot now cover the exposed flanks of the whole empire. But if this mutual jealousy of the northern powers might in part account for the neutrality promised to Cracow.in 1815, it is no adequate motive for the peculiar nationality then conferred upon her institutions. They proceeded from a higher motive, they have been the real object of the increasing persecution of the little state, and they alone have caused its final ruin.

It is almost superfluous to recapitulate in this place, the formal arrangements by which the independence of Cracow was estab-But those forms were unquestionably among the most complete used in securing any of the arrangements of the Congress. They were first comprised in the additional treaty of the 3d May, signed by Austria, Russia, and Prussia, which established in the minutest form the political constitution of Cracow, the protectorate of the three powers, and the neutral, commercial, academical and ecclesiastical privileges of the city. This separate treaty was afterwards incorporated in the general act of the Congress, by the comprehensive terms of the 118th article, which covers many of the most important existing arrangements of Europe. But as if this were not enough, the principal dispositions on which the independence and privileges of the Polish Republic rested, were set forth in Arts. 6, 7, 9, 10, of the general treaty of the 9th June. It may be argued, perhaps, that greater · legal precision still would have been attained, if the republic of Cracow had itself been made a contracting party: But the rights of that little state scarcely extended to complete sovereignty; and no one could have believed a contract to be insecure, in which all Europe stipulated on its behalf. The same form appears to have been followed with reference to the arrangements between the King of Sardinia and Geneva, to none of which Geneva is formally a contracting party. When we have passed in review the numerous proofs of extreme care and zeal shown by the plenipotentiaries of all the powers at Vienna with reference to the Polish question, and especially by those of Great Britainwhen we find that the scheme was debated by us, and with us, from its first conception-and that it was not held to be perfected until it had received the signature of the whole eight plenipotentiaries, who collected, established, and mutually guaranteed all the arrangements of the Congress by its final act, there is something inconceivably frivolous and dishonest in the allegation, now put forward by the Northern Courts, that they alone were the authors

of the arrangements relating to Cracow, and that they had consequently alone the right to destroy their work. It is not strictly true, as M. Guizot has incautiously remarked in his protest against the annexation of Cracow, that the independence of that city rested on the same basis as the cession of the Saxon provinces to Prussia; for the cessions to Prussia made in the 15th article of the general treaty, are expressly guaranteed by the other great powers in the 17th article. The term quarantee is nowhere applied to the intervention of the other powers in the establishment of Cracow; but the right of a principal contracting party is above the mere right of a guarantor. A mere guaranty does not authorise the guarantor to interfere in the execution of a treaty, or to press the observance of it of himself, and without being required.* But a principal contracting party has that right. We might have guaranteed to a weaker state the possession of certain advantages which were exclusively beneficial to itself; but when these advantages beneficial to itself are mixed up with other considerations of public policy, which we and all other parties to the treaty are interested in maintaining, then those parties become more than guarantors; they are invested with as full and complete a right of interference in defence of those articles, as in defence of any part of the treaty. They possess a subjective as well as an objective right, and the interests they may thus be empowered to defend, are legally identified with their own. No distinction can be drawn between the solemnity and validity of the several articles of a treaty. All the articles, says Grotius, of one and the same treaty, are conditionally included in one another, as if it had been said, I will do this provided you will do that; and Vattel formally lays it down, that the violation of one single article overthrows the whole treaty.* That is our true position with reference to the 6th, 7th, 9th, and 10th articles of the treaty ·What was the common principle which governed all the deliberations of the Congress? What was the general inducement to submit transactions affecting the mutual relations of the powers with each other, in larger or smaller groups, to the sanction of a general Congress? The preamble to the general treaty of the 9th June 1815, is the best answer to these questions :---

Les puissances qui ont signé le traite conclu à Paris le 30 Mai 1814, désirant maintenant de comprendre dans une transaction commune les différens résultats de leurs négociations, afin de les revêtir de leurs ratifications réciproques, ont autorisé leurs

^{*} Vattel, B. II. § 256.

' plénipotentiaires à reunir, dans un instrument général, les dispo-' sitions d'un interêt majeur et permanent, et à joindre à cet acte 'comme parties intégrantes des arrangemens du Congrès, les 'Traités, &c., tels qu'ils se trouvent cités dans le présent 'traité.' The final ratification under the great seal of England is to the same effect. The independence of Cracow thus took a prominent place among the arrangements of a considerable and permanent nature, and was clothed with the reciprocal ratification of all Europe. If the Northern Powers have had reasons of state to convert their protectorate into a crushing despotism, and to annihilate by three votes what was established by eight, we too may urge our reasons of state in opposition to this shameless proceeding. We look back with pride on the considerations so forcibly expressed by British ministers at the Congress of Vienna, and we adhere to the conviction, that the extinction of Cracow was a measure as illegal as it was impolitic and unjust.

The particular stipulations inserted in the treaty of 3d May 1815, in favour of the local institutions of Cracow, were all apparently dictated by the desire to strengthen the national veneration of the Poles for their ancient capital; and to secure certain relations, at least of social convenience, between Cracow and the other parts of Poland. These stipulations have from the first been grossly violated; and in the last communications from the Austrian cabinet they appear to be wholly forgotten. Metternich says, in his despatch of the 9th January 1847, with more energy than elegance of language or truth- The city and territory of Cracow had been declared a free port, (or 'rather warehouse.) The fate which awaits a free port having on other outlet except three bordering states surrounded by custom-houses, is self-evident. It must perish of misery; or must transform itself into a den of moral and material buccaneers. Thus Cracow became the retreat of every kind of brigandage. This city, which in 1809 was rich and prosperous, is at present poor, depopulated, and exposed to material and 'moral misery. You must not look for Cracow within its walls, but in the clubs of France and Brussels. That which bore the name of commerce was in great part nothing but poison deposited in the free state, which, by orders from the government of the Polish emigration, was disseminated in the • adjoining countries.'

When commercial freedom was adopted as one of the principles of the independence of Cracow, the ardour of prohibition was probably less strongly felt by the adjacent states than it is at the present day. Certain it is that freedom of transit was secured to it, as well as certain facilities of commercial intercourse with all the provinces of ancient Poland. To the restrictive system,

of the Austrian and Russian empires, such immunities might be unprofitable or inconvenient. But, on the side of Prussia, the trade of Cracow was open, not only to the German Commercial League, but to this country. . The principle of the free navigation of the Polish rivers, laid down in several separate treaties, and sanctioned by the 14th article of the general treaty of Vienna, undoubtedly ought to have opened a free and easy channel of trade by the Vistula from Cracow to Elbing and Danzig. And Cracow was in fact a considerable entrepot of British and German goods. The English consul at Warsaw informed his government in the spring of last year, that 'Cracow, since its ' elevation to an independent state, has always been the depot of very considerable quantities of English merchandise, sent ' thither by the Black Sea, Moldavia, and Gallicia, and even viâ 'Trieste; and which afterwards find their way to the surrounding countries. Before the current year elapses, Cracow will be in a direct railway communication with the great lines of Prus-'sian Silesia, and of Bohemia and Austria; and probably in the ' next year, it will constitute the central point of the important 'line of railway communication between the Adriatic and the Baltic.

'Ecoking, therefore, to the almost certainty of a very great part of the trade of the Levant, and even of India and China, finding its way up the Adriatic, it cannot be denied that it must be of the greatest commercial importance, even to England, to have such a station as Cracow in the centre of the great net of railways, connecting the Western and Eastern Continent.'

Such was the importance attached to the commercial privileges of Cracow by the mercantile houses of Silesia, that the Prussian government has had to deal with the strongest remonstrances from its own subjects on the extinction of this free market; and it has made tardy and unavailing efforts to repair the evil. deed, the suppression of the commercial privileges of the free state was delayed for some days at the instance of the Prussian agent, M. V. Kamptz. The Austrian custom-houses were not prepared to include the Cracovian territory till the 30th January of this year; and we believe the term of grace has been still further extended from necessity. Meanwhile, the destruction of the mercantile relations of the republic with the Zollverein, added materially to the depression of this trying winter, and contributed to the failure of several trading firms of note and credit in Breslau and the neighbourhood. The merchants of Cracow itself, whose stocks have been made to pay the Austrian duties, are utterly ruined. If Cracow had not been continually agitated and tormented by the sinister interference of the 'Protecting

Powers,' and if her commercial privileges had been as zealously defended as they ought to have been by the powers in Europe which were interested in maintaining them, we can entertain no doubt that this city would have become a mercantile position of first-rate importance. This very prospect, connected as it now is with the principal continental lines of railway, far from giving Austria and Russia an interest in the preservation of the

state, only made them more eager for its destruction.

The university of Cracow was one of the oldest and most important institutions of the kind in Europe; and, accordingly, its existence was formally recognized by the 15th article of the additional treaty; its property was secured to it; and leave was promised to the youth of all the adjacent Polish provinces to pursue their studies there, as soon as the academic constitution should be organized in conformity with the views of the protecting powers. The task of framing this constitution was assigned to a Passian, Baron de Reibnitz, and subsequently to an aide-de-camp of the Emperor of Russia; but all the other pledges of its prosperity The property of the university, in mortwere wiolated. gages, lands, benefices, &c., situated in various parts of the ancient kingdom of Poland, and proceeding from the munificence of Polish kings and nobles, from the fourteenth century downwards, amounted to no less than 8,231,762 Polish florins, or about L.200,000 sterling. In spite of the engagement in the 15th article of the additional treaty, which formally secured this property of the university, the three powers refused, in 1817 and 1819, to acknowledge the claims of the university to any funds or estates not invested or situated within the small territory of Cracow itself. The mortgages, bonds, and lands of the university in Russia and Austria, were literally confiscated. Even Prussia eventually refused to sanction a payment of some 3000 ducats, which were confessedly owing to the university by the city of Danzig. Every thing like freedom of instruction was of course impossible. Incessant clianges were introduced by authority into the academic body; every indication of national feeling, or intellectual independence, was repressed; and the Jagellonian university shared the fate of the nation to which it belonged, and the city in which it stood.

Throughout the time which has elapsed since the recognition of the independence of Cracow by the treaty of Vienna, the three protecting powers seem wholly to have lost sight of the motives which dictated those arrangements, and of the obligations they had contracted towards Cracow, whilst they insist with extreme care on the obligations Cracow had contracted towards them. It is unfortunate for this line of argument, that the con-

ditions they have set at nought, are express stipulations forming part of the written public law of Europe; whilst the obligations which the republic of Cracow is alleged—as we believe most falsely alleged—to have continually violated, are at most only the general obligations of peace and good-will existing between neighbouring states. This brings us to the issue of fact, upon which the perversions of law put forward by the North-They assert as the summary of their arguern Courts rest. ment:-

· 1. That Cracow, having for sixteen consecutive years vio-' lated the conditions of its independence, the Three Courts had the right to annul the treaty of the annul 1815, which laid 6 down these conditions as the basis on which the limited political 'existence of this state was to rest.

'2. That at the moment when the Three Courts took this resolution, they were as free to do so as they were when they concluded the treaty of the year 1815.

'3. That the act of the Congress of Vienna

'a. Has not deprived the powers whose treaties are registered in that document, of the power of changing them by sub-

'sequent arrangements;

' b. That the Three Courts have not only not violated the literal 'text of the act of the Congress of Vienna by the resolution 'which they have adopted as regards Cracow; but that they have acted in its spirit, since Cracow, during a long course of 'years, has acted in contravention of the explicit stipulations of that Act;

'c. That the right of the co-signing Courts of the act of the Congress of Vienna is to intervene as arbiters in disputes between the contracting parties, if called upon so to do. resolution in question in no manner militates against the principle of this right; inasmuch as in the matter under discussion relative to Cracow, there exists between the contracting parties to the treaty of the 21 April 1815, inserted in the Act of the Congress of Vienna, no difference relative to this treaty. ' material condition of a fact which might have led to this inter-' vention, does not therefore exist.'

Now, we contend, I. That there is a total failure of proof as to the alleged violation of these conditions of independence on the part of Cracow—that in fact no such violations as are imputed to her, did or could take place so as to endanger the security of three mighty surrounding empires—that the recognition of her independence and neutrality was not conditional but absolutenot temporary but permanent-not comprised within one partial treaty between three powers alone, but sanctioned by several

articles of the general treaty, into which general treaty her

separate treaty was also verbally incorporated.

II. The second proposition of the Austrian memorandum amounts to an explicit assertion, that the three powers were as free to break their promise in 1846, as they were to make it in 1815. It requires only to be stated in those terms to exhibit its absurdity; for if that, and no more, was the force and validity of the treaty, it clearly was no contract at all. In that case, it was a mere declaration of an intention to confer certain benefits during pleasure. It might be dissolved as a nudum pactum. The agreement would then have been binding as a reciprocal engagement on the parties which united in conferring it; but it would have established no existing and defensible interest in those on whom the advantage was conferred. We hold on the contrary, that it is impossible to admit that the series of treaties by which the independence of Cracow was established mounted to no more than a uni-lateral compact. 'The controls of 'its independence,' repeatedly mentioned by the three powers, though they are not set forth as such in any treaty, necessarily imply that Cracow had acquired positive rights under those treaties. It must be added, that the fact that these treaties only realized a proposition formally made to the Congress on the 31st Dec. 1814, and that they were subsequently corroborated by the 6th, 7th, 8th, and 9th articles of the general treaty, gives them all the sanction that they could possibly receive. There was an agreement, no doubt, of the three powers between themselves; but there was also an agreement between the three powers and the city of Cracow; and an agreement between the three powers as protectors, and between them and all the other principal contracting parties to the general treaty.

The first of these contracts might be dissolved by consent, but that consent of the three powers could have no effect on their other engagements. They were all made, in the words of the 118th article, 'parties intégrantes' of the arrangements of the Congress; and it is established beyond all doubt, by the terms of the preamble already quoted, that every portion of that act is indivisibly one. That 118th article recites and adopts, as is well known, several of not the least important measures of the Congress which had been settled in the form of separate treaties, between the powers most directly interested in them—as, for instance, the act which constitutes the German Confederation; the treaty which created the kingdom of the Netherlands; the declaration of the powers of the Helvetic confederation; the arrangement with the King of Sardinia with reference both to Geneva and to Genoa, and some other stipulations of great deli-

cacy and general application. Hence it has arisen, that some of the German writers on public law, who have discussed the questions relating to the annexation of Cracow and the protests of France and England, within the last few months, have evinced great jealousy of the claim of these two powers to interfere in behalf of these stipulations of the treaty of Vienna, lest their protest on the subject of Cracow should form a precedent for interference in the affairs of the German confederation. opinion, which naturally excites great jealousy in Germany, derives some colour from the fact, that France and England did protest in 1834 against the prolongation of the occupation of the free town of Frankfort by the troops of the great German powers, after the disturbances which had occurred in the preceding year. The Diet on that occasion refused, in its answer of the 12th June 1834, to acknowledge the right of any foreign power to interfere at all, in any of the internal affairs of the German confederation. The French government, in an able reply of the 30th June, said, that 'Le gouvernement Français en 's'élevant contre des exigences qu'il jugeait contraires à l'indé-'pendance de la ville de Francfort, s'est appuyé sur l'article du Traité de Vienne qui a consacré cette indépendance, qui, 'par conséquent, a donné à toutes les puissances signataires de cet acte, le droit de le protéger, soit dans l'intérêt de la ville, 'soit dans leur propre intérêt.' This note went on to observe that the Germanic body was not a state, but a confederation of states, constituted by the treaty of Vienna, and in pursuance of its stipulations, which was evident from the fact, that though a State cannot destroy its own independence, the German confederation might attempt the destruction of the independence of its This was the case which was apprehended at Frankfort. As a measure of police, it is impossible to deny that the troops of the German confederation had a perfect right to occupy the city in which the Diet itself holds its sittings, when these forces were necessary to protect that assembly from an armed conspiracy. But the English and French governments claimed a right of interference when the protracted occupation of the city appeared to indicate a design of destroying its independence, by what must be regarded as a foreign authority, though far less foreign than that of the three protecting powers of Cracow.

The distinction suggested by these two cases of Frankfort and Cracow appears to us very clear; and it was virtually recognised by the Diet itself in this Frankfort correspondence. The treaty of Vienna gives to the contracting parties who signed it, no right whatever to interpose their authority or their remonstrances in the internal affairs of any other parties to

that treaty; unless, as in the case of Holland and Belgium, some flagrant perturbation has overthrown the whole arrangement of the Congress, and caused a state of war. But where any existing arrangement of the treaty is menaced or overthrown by a revolution, or a combination of foreign powers, then all the other foreign powers who signed the treaty are interested in resisting an alarming precedent and an unjust encroachment. The peculiarity of the German confederation is, that the members of it are in some respects as much foreign states, as they were under the German empire; whilst in other respects they submitted to the control of one supreme federal authority, which as far as it extends is independent of all interference. It is remarkable that the northern powers which dispute our right to protest against their treatment of Cracow, nevertheless assert a far more controvertible right to maintain the federal pact of Switzerland; which was also included, though less formally than Cracow, in the provisions of the 118th article.

III. The arrangements of the Congress must be viewed as a whole, and every friend of public order, and of the established institutions of Europe, will repudiate the dangerous and subversive doctrine laid down in the third paragraph we have quoted from the Austrian memorandum. Nothing that has yet occurred in history, or been taught in law, warrants the assertion, that the great public act of a General Congress is a mere registration of separate treaties, which the parties immediately concerned have a right to change at pleasure; and that the other contracting parties have no right to interfere, until they are called in to arbitrate on some difference or dispute. If the term 'registration' has any force or precision in the place in which it has thus been introduced. it implies not a mere record of an act already public, but the sanction of a general assent and a higher authority, without whose concurrence a contract so registered cannot be an-This entire theory of international law is new; and is sanctioned by no previous agreement, and no practice. in the case of Cracow, even this extraordinary principle is inapplicable; for it is not contended that Cracow is a consenting party to the act which robs her of her commercial and municipal freedom, and places her in strict dependence on the power whose misgovernment has reduced Gallicia to a scene of massacre and If ever such a power of arbitration was contemplated by the treaty of Vienna, (which we cannot discover,) and if ever it was to be exercised, this of all others was the occasion to invoke its authority.

We are, however, inevitably brought back by this discussion to the question of fact upon which all these assumptions rest—namely, whether Cracow had violated the conditions of her in-

dependence, and whether she had, in fact, placed herself in an attitude so hostile to her protectors, as to justify the treatment applicable to a conquered enemy? The evidence which has been adduced by the Austrian cabinet in support of this assertion is so scanty and inconclusive, that we might at once dismiss it as utterly unworthy of notice. But there are some points in it which call for more particular animadversion. Prince Metternich having failed to discover any positive evidence, among the inhabitants of Cracow themselves, of the frightful designs imputed to them, throws his charges to a great distance, and assures the world that he is justly punishing the Poles for the crimes of the Polish emigration! 'The Polish emigration, ignorant of the inte-' rests and wants of the soil-it has quitted, imbued with notions which are in no respect applicable to the mass of the inhabitants of that soil, excited and flattered by the homage it receives abroad, has forgotten that a liberation from burdens, not only 'public, but likewise from such as attach to property, is a very dangerous doctrine to preach to the masses. And yet it is to cuch means that that portion, which styles itself the democratic when in emigration, has had recourse; and it is to those means that the aristocratic portion has associated itself, (so great was ' the illusion under which the whole emigration laboured,) in ' the hope of securing the support of the rural population. This 'was a grave error; and the consequences which have thence resulted, form now a great accumulation of embarrassment for the government and the country. It is not with impunity ' that one stratum of the social hierarchy of a political body can disappear; and yet such is the effect which has resulted from the events of from four to five days in Gallicia, or, to be more 'historically accurate, of the 18th and 19th of February, in the 'Circle of Tarnow, on which the conspirators had based their ' plan of general revolution.'*

By a strange perversion of political principles and historical truth, the very enormities of which the Austrian minister accuses the emigration, were perpetrated by his own subordinate agents. He acknowledges a little further on, that not one of these accused incendiaries appeared upon the field of battle—a singular reproach to address to men whose rashness is their chief fault; and the dangerous doctrines preached to the masses of Gallicia, were disseminated by very different agents from those whom Prince Metternich has here denounced to the world. There is something burlesque in the declaration, that—'The city of Cracow has

^{*} Parl. Papers on Cracow, p. 17.

' proved that it was a political body evidently too weak to resist the unceasing machinations of the Polish emigration, who 'held it morally subjected.' If we are to suppose that this little state, under the 'moral coercion' of a few refugees in Paris, was nevertheless more than a match for the police of Prince Metternich, and the regiments of the Emperor Nicholas—those refugees must, indeed, be the guardians of a sacred and most irresistible right, if they can, from such a distance, infuse such strength into the weak, and such weakness into the strong! That right and that power they undoubtedly possess; for it is inherent in the cause for which they suffer: But we deny that the leading party in that emigration, to which the reproaches, calumnies, and injuries of the Austrian government are particularly addressed, has at any time been disposed to use the dangerous and disgraceful means ascribed to them. We say with authority, that the most strengous exertions of the aristocratic Polish leaders abroad, have been constantly directed to prevent any desperate insurrections against the authority of the three powers; to reject the alliance of revolutionary principles and revolutionary agents; and to employ no means for the regeneration of their country unworthy of the spotless name of their illustrious chief, Prince Adam Czartoryski. The deplorable outbreak of February 1846 did not originate in Cracow, which took no part whatever in the disturbance, until the precipitate retreat of the Austrian general, Collin, before an imaginary party of rebels, had left the city totally without magistrates and without troops: Still less did it originate in the foreign emigration, which was palpably ignorant of every part of the conspiracy, and was grossly misled by the exaggerated accounts inserted in the German newspapers. The Austrian government published, in its own official pamphlet, certain proclamations bearing the names of Prince Adam Czartoryski and Count Ladislas Zamoyski; but it cannot have been ignorant that those proclamations were gross forgeries. Not a particle of genuine evidence has ever been produced to implicate any Polish emigrant of the slightest note, with that insurrection. Though the Austrian government punished Prince Adam Czartoryski for his supposed crime by the sequestration of the estates of his noble wife, they have not attempted to bring home to him any charge but that of a general hostility to the enemies of his country; which he never condescended to disavow.

If the Austrian government be really intent on discovering the causes and the authors of the horrible occurrences which devastated the circle of Tarnow, and repeated some of the worst scenes of the French Revolution on the persons of nearly two thousand victims, taken from the landed gentry of the province, it must look nearer home. The absurd and oppressive conditions of the tenure of land by the peasantry, the gross ignorance of the people, the criminal neglect of the supreme government, the vile deceptions and suggestions of their inferior agents, and the atrocious character of some of their principal instruments, are sufficient to account for one of the most sanguinary pages in the history of our time. Nor was the influence of the direst superstition wanting to complete the horror of this modern edition of the massacre of St Bartholomew's. The governor of the province of Gallicia knew of the existence of the conspiracy; but he refused to send for fresh troops, and chose to rely for the suppression of the outbreak, on the hateful irritating deceptions which the Jews and inferior agents of the government had practised on the peasantry. Yet, on the eve of this monstrous crime the authorities hesitated; and it was not until they had received absolution from a Jesuit confessor, who gave it in consideration of the service about to be rendered to the house of Austria, that the order for the destruction of the Polish landowners was given. Such a fact as this can scarcely be known with absolute certainty; but it is thoroughly believed by those who are best acquainted with the history of these transactions; and the character of some of the actors in them renders it highly probable.

It would be beside our present purpose to pursue the chain of evidence which has yet to be unravelled as to the Gallician massacres; but we most confidently affirm, that the connexion which the three powers have endeavoured to establish between Cracow and the conspiracy which was discovered in the Prussian and Austrian dominions, is altogether false and unfounded. No arms were found in Cracow; no preparations for rebellion; and no resistance was offered by the inhabitants? Yet the German papers, under the direct control of their respective governments, did not scruple to publish accounts of a fierce and protracted contest between the Austrian troops and the citizens; with all the additions which such a fabulous rencounter might admit of. It has subsequently been fully ascertained and published with the consent of the Prussian government, that no such disturbances whatever occurred on the part of the Cracovians! and that, when General Collin withdrew to Podgorze on the evening of the 22d February, under the belief that the insurgent leader Patelski was marching on the city with ten thousand men, this Patelski, who had never had more than eighty mounted peasants in his company, was, in fact, a fugitive; and not one of the insurgents from the neighbouring provinces was in arms on the territory of the republic. As General Collin, however, had carried off with him the senate, the

police, and the militia, nothing remained for the citizens to do but to form a temporary municipal government. In this government some unknown democratic adventurers took a leading part; but no excesses were committed, although the protecting powers had certainly abandoned Cracow to its fate. Such is the true history of what Prince Metternich calls the internal dissolution of Cracow in February last, which filled the measure of her misdeeds. Austria had supplied her chief magistrates, her police, her commander-in-chief, and her troops. On the first false alarm, these gallant representatives of the imperial power retired across the Vistula. The city remained in complete anarchy; and it was doomed to forfeit its independence for ever, for the monstrous crime of having provided for its own safety in that time of trouble, when the governments of the great adjacent empires were convulsed with terror!

Not one of these allegations will bear a moment's examination. And, in truth, the naked act of violence and injustice would hardly have been so repulsive as the pretences which have been employed to disguise it. We must seek elsewhere, and further back, for the true history of the crime which has just been consummated, to the astonishment of Europe. With the accession of Nicholas to the throne of Russia, all the pledges of Polish nationality and constitutional government which Alexander had given in his better days, were destined to be dissolved. The policy of the court, and the personal character of the Grand Duke Constantine, prepared that general insurrection of the kingdom of Poland which followed the French revolution of 1830. The Emperor Nicholas then thought himself released from his engagements to the Polish nation; and he adopted that stern line of policy for the extirpation of their nationality, to which, during a reign of twenty years, he has implacably adhered. The existence of Cracow, though not seriously compromised by its conduct in 1831, was a lasting memorial of the very different views towards Poland which had been recognised at Vienna in 1815, and a living protest against every fresh measure of the Russian government. In the eyes of the cabinet of St Petersburg. the extinction of the neutral and independent state became from that moment a favourite and important object. At Vienna, the recovery of the tête-de-pont on the Vistula overcame the habitual caution of the Austrian chancery; and there appears to be little doubt that, in the summer of 1834, a secret convention was signed by the three sovereigns at Münchengratz, by which the extinction of Cracow was determined on. The late King of Prussia acceded to this measure, on condition that it should not be put into execution until he should acknowledge that a fit opportunity had arrived.

This species of pledge descended to his son Frederic William IV. The disturbed state of Poland last spring was strenuously used by the other powers to overcome his strong reluctance. General de Berg and M. de Ficquelmont were sent to Berlin to urge, that if the promise of the late king was ever to be held good, the present was the time. Austria had surrendered her real or affected reluctance, to a threat of Russia, that if Cracow was not peaceably annexed to one empire, it should be forcibly joined to the other! Prussia wavered, lamented-and eventually obeyed! On the 15th April 1846, a secret convention was signed at Berlin by the members of this conference, which determined that the scheme of Münchengratz should be promptly executed. Prussia succeeded at that time in introducing a stipulation, that England and France should be consulted before the blow was struck; which relieves her from some portion of the bad faith which would otherwise attach to her conduct. On the very day that secret convention was signed, Lord Westmoreland writes:-- The proceedings which I have above detailed (for the ' trial of the Polish prisoners,) will probably not be terminated 'under twelve or eighteen months; till which time the protecting governments will allow the present provisional authority to continue its functions, after which a plan for the permanent estab-'lishment of the government of the state of Cracow will be ' prepared, and submitted for discussion to the governments of ' England and France.'

And two days later he adds:—'The conference established in this capital, which has now broken up, (as General Count Ficquelmont has this day quitted Berlin,) has decided that all Polish questions between the three states shall be treated in a

'conference to be established at Vienna.

General Canitz has stated to me, that as soon as the proceedings against the prisoners in Cracow have been completed, the question of the establishment of the government of the state of Craow will be entertained; and the proposals of the three protecting powers upon that subject referred to the allied governments of England and France; but that they will be such as are entirely in accordance with the stipulations of the treaty of Vienna, to which Prussia will most rigidly adhere.

At that moment, perhaps, the Prussian minister believed the assurance he gave; and thought that the condition on which his sovereign had consented to the measure would be respected. The autumn, however, brought an unexpected change in the relative position of the states of Western Europe; and whilst France and England were engrossed with the Spanish marriages, Austria, who had undertaken, as the party beneficially interested

in the acquisition of Cracow, to break the scheme at London and Paris, found it more convenient to proceed to extremities, and present this gross act of violence and injustice to the world in that shape which seems now-a-days to defy infamy and opposition—the shape, we mean, of a fall accompli! Russia was triumphant—Austria had seized the booty—Prussia had yielded to the policy of the Northern Alliance; but, we trust, that history will add—for the last time.

Whatever historical interest may be attached to the partitions of Poland-still replete with instruction and with food for reflection even in our day—whatever desire we may have wilt to place on record our indignant protest against the violation of the rights of nations in Cracow—we should hardly have been led to enter so fully into this subject, if it were not intimately connected with the most turning and momentous questions of policy that agitate, menace, and perplex the nations of Europe. The fate and condition of Poland are now, as they have been for the last century, the key to the whole policy of Russia. Constantinople understood the fall of Cracow; for the Divan has all along watched the destruction of the Polish nation, with a deep consciousness of the evil which that fatal change betokened to itself. It was to save Poland, that Turkey alone, of all the powers of the earth, declared war against Russia in 1768. It was to rescue Moldavia and Wallachia from the grasp of Russia, and to remove her armies from the frontiers of Hungary and the banks of the Danube, that Prince Kaunitz and Maria Theresa assented to the first treaty of partition. Poland accordingly has been engorged in the Russian empire: But to Turkey, to the principalities of the Danube, and to Austria, the menace and the peril are still the same. Above all, it was the anarchy, the partition, and, finally, the possession of Poland, which established for nearly eighty years the ascendancy of the policy of Russia over the most important courts of Germany. The treaty of 1763, which put an end to the animosities of the courts of St. Petersburg and Berlin on the accession of Catherine II., pledged the Prussian government to conspire with the Empress to perpetuate the abuses and to prohibit the reforms of the Polish constitution. That plot was doubly successful. For it was scarcely more fatal to the existence of the court of Warsaw than to the independent policy of the court of Berlin. In our own time, especially since 1815, the personal intimacy of the sovereigns, the mystical bonds of the Holy Alliance, a common abhorrence of constitutional liberty, and habits of dependence on one side and of authority on the other, contributed to prolong this state of bondage as long as the late King of Prussia lived.

Even on the present sovereign it has not been without a powerful influence; but his last act of reluctant submission in the affair of Cracow, was speedily followed by his first measure of complete independence—the convocation of the estates of his kingdom. On the 11th April of this year, and at the moment when these pages are made public, King Frederic William IV, will open in person the first general diet of his kingdom; and proceed with the auspicious task of founding anew the constitution of Prussia. This is not the place to discuss the causes, or to speculate on the results, of an event which is pregnant with the fate of the German people: But it is already evident, that from the day on which the ordinances for the convocation of these estates were promulgated. Prussia ceased to travel in the path of the absolutist powers; sprang over the barriers which had enthralled her for more than thirty years, and recovered a will and a policy of her own, which will henceforth count in Europe. If the fatal reverse of Jena preceded the regeneration of the monarchy in 1807, the diplomatic catastrophe of Cracow has now given an irresistible impulse to the emancipation of the court of Berlin, from the bondage of that alliance which was cemented by the ruin of Poland and the denial of constitutional rights to the people of Prussia. .

In Austria the subordination of the cabinet is more complete. The causes of this subserviency to Russia are more irresistible; and as long as Prince Metternich lives, they will yoke the empire of the Cæsars to the empire of the Czars, by closer and more oppressive ties. The person of the sovereign, afflicted by the extremest degree of mental incapacity which is consistent with the formal possession of a crown, inspires in the princes of his own house no confidence, and in his allies only compassion or con-The policy of the empire is identified with the minister who has governed it so long; but, like him, it is tottering to We do not impute unmitigated hypocrisy to Prince, Metternich, when we find him dwelling with morbid anxiety on the dreaded influence of France, on the intrigues of democratic conspiracies, and all the ominous signs of the ultimate victory of those principles of freedom against which the energy and activity of his life have been directed. A course of policy, so long adhered to, is not easily changed by its author. session of Italy by Austria, is a difficulty, in comparison with which that of Poland itself dwindles to a secondary question. The necessity of giving a bold front to the empire on the one side, is the apology for leaving it exposed to the formidable hostility of race, religion, and military power on the other. too late for the present Austrian councils to make an effective

stand against Russian influence; and thus, probably, they were not sorry to yield to a sort of pressure which placed them in possession of so important a position as Cracow on the Vistula. If Austria is in a condition to fortify Cracow, and to give that city all its proper military importance, that consideration may have prevailed over great political objections: For in Gallicia and northern Hungary, Austria has not a single fortified position; and the whole of her north-eastern territories might be overrun by an army, which would meet with no resistance until it reached Olmfitz in Moravia, and Komorn on the Waag and the Danube, Nevertheless, as a mere calculation of policy, independent of its gross illegality and injustice, there never was a more mistaken measure than the suppression of Cracow. The shock it has given to the faith of nations and the law of treaties, has reverberated through Europe. France has already hailed an event which she interprets as the signal of her own deliverance from the galling limitations of 1815. The menacing state of the Swiss cantons has been aggravated by the knowledge that one act of violent foreign interference had already been consummated elsewhere; and that the same power which has occupied Cracow has her troops in the Vorarlberg, and her emissaries among the Grey League. Prussia herself, we trust, may be held to have withdrawn in penitence from the alliance of the oppressors of Poland; and to have manifested her intention to base her foreign policy henceforth, not on the suggestions of foreign potentates, but on the interests of her own subjects and the sympathy of the German people. Lastly, for the first time since Queen Victoria ascended the throne of England, she has been called upon to apply to certain of the foreign sovereigns, her allies, words so justly severe, that the discomfited ambassadors of the three powers were not to be seen within the walls of Parliament when they were uttered.

Such are the memorable and significant results of an act which might, it was supposed, be consummated in obscurity. Happily the temper of the times we live in, is not prone to overlook the abuse of force and the violation of a public right. The scene of action may be small—the sufferers may be weak and few,—but a right has no such circumscribed dimensions. Whether it be broken by the ruin of a semi-savage ruler of the Society Islands, or by the extinction of a sixth-rate city of the continent of Europe, the blow is felt and resented in the name of those principles of good faith and justice which can alone preserve the peace of the world. The first disclosure and execution of the scheme for the partition of Poland itself in the last century, produced less commotion in Europe than the anni-

hilation of the rights of Cracow has done in our day—an auspicious change! an honourable indication of the progress which has been made, even on the steep path of political morality! but, above all, an encouraging proof that the activity of our age has really diffused amongst all nations a more enlightened acquaintance with their individual rights and their common interests!

ART. II.—1. Lives of the English Saints. Parts I. to XII. 12mo. London: 1844-5.

2. Essai sur les Légendes Pieuses du Moyen-Age. Par L. F. ALFRED MAURY. 8vo. Paris: 1843.

A REMARKABLE contrast is presented by the circumstance, that while in France—a Roman Catholic country—writers are investigating, in a purely philosophical spirit, the legends and miracles of those morally dark ages, from the influence of which we have fortunately been long emancipated, there are found men in Protestant England—men bound by their duty to defend the church which they are deliberately undermining—eagerly engaged in republishing these legends to the world, and recommending them even with more than popish bigotry.

It is singular that any modern religious party, instead of resting its claims on some show of reason, should reject contemptuously the plainest dictates of common sense, and exact a blind acceptance of the most ridiculous, and most disgusting portions of the belief of the middle ages. With these men, absurdity is the extreme of wisdom; and the very errors which liberalminded Romanists now reject, are, by our would-be ' Catholics,' held forth as the gage of orthodoxy. Justification is not sought in scriptural or apostolical authority; and the pure and simple faith which Jesus taught to his disciples is represented to be but a rude outline, an ébauche, which it required the 'wisdom' of the dark ages to develope. The testimony of history is rejected, for it has too much to say: while the mere belief of the 'Catholic' is the only sure evidence of truth, in this new school of religious professors. Such, at least, is the doctrine expressly inculcated in the tracts before us; which are generally attributed to a late proselyte to Romanism, who, at the time of their publication, was a member of the Church of England, and was receiving wages at its hands.

With regard to the principle upon which these Lives have been composed, and on which it is intended that they should be now studied, there is neither disguise nor reservation. We are warned, from the beginning and throughout, that in matters of this high order our belief is relieved from subjection to the ordinary rules of In a completely un-historical account of the primitive British church, (given in Part III., and containing the first portion of the life of St Augustine,) after stating the want of historical evidence of the pretended visits of the Apostles Paul and Peter to Great Britain, the writer observes, 'yet it has undoubtedly been 'long received as a pious opinion by the Church at large;' after which, he immediately informs us, that 'this sort of argument, although it ought to be kept quite distinct from documentary and historical proof, and will form no substitute for such proof with those who stipulate for something like legal accuracy in enquiries of this nature, will not be without its effect upon devout minds. In telling a miracle of a saint of the seventh century, which is not mentioned before the fourteenth century, our historian adds. This story is given on the authority of Capgrave, not of Bede; ' not that there seems any reason for doubting its truth.' After the relation of several absurd miracles, without meaning and without object, we meet repeatedly with remarks like the following:- 'And from not understanding them (the saints,) 'we go on to criticise them, not always or at once remembering, that "the natural man discerneth not the things of the spirit," and that, in the case of certain given persons, it is on the whole far more likely that such as we 'should be in the dark, than such as they in the wrong.' 'If the reader so far forgets that he is occupied upon a por-'tion of ecclesiastical history as to stumble at the marvellous 'portions of the present biographical sketch, it is hoped he will at ' least suspend his judgment till a few pages further on, or accept the statement, subject to any qualifications which may secure them from the chance of irreverent usage, and him from the risk of that especial blasphemy which consists in slighting the manifestations of God's Holy Spirit; a sin, one should have thought, denounced by our blessed Lord in language sufficiently awful to make the possibility of it an unspeakably 'more formidable alternative than any amount of credulity.' When, to the readers of one age, the miracles of another long ' past, away appear so grotesque as to provoke amusement, their speming eccentricity is no ground for rejecting them. If men fare to be taught, the teaching will be shaped for them, adapted to their way of looking at things, corresponding to their habits of thought, and, as it were, echoing the actual life and

Supposing a miracle wrought for the 'manner of the times. conversion of a barbarous people, will it not almost certainly have a barbarous aspect, and be what a philosophical age would deem a gross display of supernatural power or goodness? Somewhere, near York, St Augustine restored a blind man to his sight in the name of Jesus Christ. At this time of day, there is something unusually naive in the exclamation,—'Why should not that name work miracles at any time? Why not among ourselbes now-a-days? Truly, because we lack the conditions of its power—Catholic faith and Catholic sanctity! This is precisely the way in which the late Mr Irving accounted for the withdrawal of miraculous powers from modern prayers. In another place we are gravely told that the reason why we cannot believe the miracles of the saints is, that we are labouring under an intellectual darkness caused by three centuries of Heresy!

Ravings like these may appear at first sight undeserving of notice. Can they have any weight with any body? But when we look further into the body of the tracts themselves, (and they have been widely distributed,) we find their fallacious doctrines put forth so Jesuitically, the poison so cunningly hidden beneath the sugar, that it is right to exhibit the working of the system in its true colours. The object of this writer may be discovered without difficulty. He knows that the voice of the past, if impartially listened to, is against him—therefore he would cast a discredit upon all but ecclesiastical history. The cross-examination of his witnesses by a skilful advocate must be fatal—therefore he would impress upon us not only the danger, but the 'especial blasphemy' of criticising. The scheme, too, has been to a small degree successful; because the experiment has fallen upon an age, when people are off their guard. At the time of the Reformation, the whole case was before the eyes of every body; the conviction of its rottenness was general among as many as ventured to enquire, because the evidence was overwhelming. On the contrary, our own age, satisfied with the judgment of our forefathers, has been gradually forgetting the evidence by which that judgment was obtained, until at last a little special pleading is enough to throw doubts upon its justice among the credulous and simple-The only remedy is to produce the evidence again; and fortunately we shall find that in the present case it is increased rather than diminished by lapse of time. The Romanist knows this well, and therefore he sets his face against historical criticism and the publication of historical documents. This is the spirit exhibited in these new 'Lives of the English Saints;' on which account it will not, we think, be uninteresting, to examine a little into the materials of which those extraordinary fabrications called Lives of Saints were constructed,

and into the mode in which they were put together.

The Lives of Saints may be arranged in several classes. Some were mere forgeries, inventions to serve the purposes of those who first compiled them; others, equally lives of persons who never existed, had their foundation in nothing but popular fables, and even in mistaken allegories; in other instances, they are the mere legends which during ages had gathered round the memory of some personage known only by name, and confe mitted to writing long after the period at which he lived; while, in many cases, we have the life of an individual written by his contemporary, sometimes a friend, almost always a prejudiced chronicler, intentionally or unwittingly inserting much which it would have been very difficult indeed to have ever authenticated or ascertained. The saints of this latter class (the only one which has much historical importance) are of two races. They gained a place in the calendar, either by the part they took in supporting the usurpations of the church upon the civil power, during the long struggle in which the former was not overdelicate in the choice of its weapons, or by their activity as missionaries in converting the heretics or the heathen to the Church of Rome. In general, the more authentic the lives, the fewer the miracles; and, in like manner, the earlier lives of the same saint contain much fewer miracles than the later ones. The mass of the mediæval miracles appears to have originated in the mixture of ideas produced by the conversion of the pagan tribes by men who, though Christians, were almost as superstitious and credulous as themselves.

When the missionaries first entered upon their labours among the people of western and northern Europe, they found a creed which acknowledged two classes of supernatural beings,—the gods (dii majores) of the respective tribes, such as Odin, Thor, &c.—and a multitude of lesser spirits, who were believed to haunt wood and valley and mountain, and to inhabit air and water, continually intermixing visibly or invisibly with mankind, and exerting over individuals an influence for good and for evil. The worship of the gods was the province of the higher classes, and was the business of priests; the minor spiritual beings, elves or nymphs, held intercourse with individuals at their pleasure, or sometimes by compulsion: And these individuals (the originals of the sorcerers and witches of later times) obtained by this means miraculous powers; for instance, the power of-caring diseases, of working good or evil like the spirits themselves, and of foretelling future events. They were consulted by those who laboured under such diseases, who had sustained

losses by accident or robbery, or who sought to gratify revenge or conciliate love. As the monkish missionaries made their way, they banished the heathen priests—whose gods and their worship were soon forgotten—while their mythic histories were preserved in the form of romances and popular stories. But the elves and minor spirits, in whose existence the monk believed equally with the peasant, still held their sway; and sorcerers and witches continued to follow their occupations.

. We trace, indeed, every where the attempts of the ecclesiastics, down to a late period, to turn the popular superstitions to their own Elves and nymphs were, in their creed, innumerable hosts of demons, against whom they had to contend. martyrs of the primitive ages of the church had suffered for refusing to worship idols of wood and stone; the monkish saints advanced a step further, and embarked in a more substantial warfare with spiritual beings. These imaginary demons were naturally opposed to the intruders; and we find them seizing every opportunity of tormenting the missionaries and their con-In the seventh century, St Sulpicius, while a mere child, went to pray by night at a ruined church near his father's house; two black demons, who haunted the ruin, would have scared him from his devotions, but he drove them away with the sign of the cross. With the same weapon his contemporary. St Frodobert, at that time also a boy, drove away a devil which used to stop him on his way to school. So late as the beginning of the thirteenth century, the Belgian St Juetta, when she went to her devotions at night, was persecuted by demons who appeared to her in every variety of form, ludicrous as well as fearful. We might fill a volume with such stories. The tricks which these demons played upon their spiritual adversaries are often of the most frivolous kind; precisely such as are laid to the charge of the playful elves of the popular mythology. When St Frodobert was at his nightly devotions in the church, a devil overthrew his candlestick and put out the light. A demon stole the bread of St Amatus, abbot of Remirement. St Benedict. the father of monachism in the west, lived for some time at the summit of a rock, and his food was sent up by a rope to which a bell was attached; one day the Evil One threw a stone at the bell, and it was broken, whereby the saint could no longer make known his necessities. In a majority of cases, the demon exhibited much greater malignity; as in another adventure of St Amatus, when the fiend threw down the upper part of a lofty rock, that it might crush him in his cell; but the saint caused it miraculously to stop in the midst of its descent. Like the spiritual beings of the popular mythology, we often find the

demons in possession of ruined cities or fortresses; we have already had an example in which they haunted a ruined church; St Salaberga encountered a whole host in the ruins at Laon, who appeared in the shapes of fierce wild beasts. in the Teutonic mythology, the special haunts of spirits of almost every description were the wild morasses and the unfrequented woods. It was in such places that the mythic heroes of the pagan creed went to encounter them, or that the charmer consulted them; accordingly, when their imitators, the monks, had gained strength in the country, and were no more subject to the attacks of the demons at home, they began to act upon the offensive, and followed the enemy into his own dwelling-place. Under these feelings, St Guthlac took up his residence amid the marshes of Croyland, and St Cuthbert established himself in the island of Farne; where their legendary histories describe their long-continued struggle with their spiritual adversaries. People implicitly believed that such places could only be rendered habitable by the holiness of the saints. We sometimes find these saints contending with water-demons, the nickers of the ancient creed, the memory of whose transformation is still preserved in the popular term of 'Old Nick.' In a district in France, there was in the seventh century a certain whirlpool (gurges) in the river, which the pagans had consecrated to their demons,' and these demons dwelt in it, and, if any one entered the river in its vicinity, they dragged him to the bottom and he was drowned. The people of the neighbourhood prayed St Sulpicius to drive away these demons, which he did, but as it appears ineffectually; for a man falling in soon afterwards was strangled by the fiend, and brought out lifeless. The saint, to save his credit, restored the drowned man to life. The nymphs of the older mythology also acted their parts; according to the popular fables, they became frequently enamoured of men, and had intercourse with them, an intercourse which is the foundation of many romantic stories. The monks and hermits were no less frequently the objects of their amorous propensities, and had need of all their piety and miraculous powers to withstand their seductions. Water-nymphs appeared to St Gall (Act. Ss. Bened. sec. ii. p. 237); a nymph (or, according to the legend, a devil in woman's form) came by night to St John, abbot of Moultier, in the diocese of Langres, and tried her seductive arts upon him in vain; a similar attempt was made upon St Benedict. and it was repeated with a multitude of other saints.

Such, evidently, was the origin of a considerable portion of the multifarious demoniacal agency, which fills the mediaval saints' legends. The monks borrowed another class of miracles from the

observances of paganism, in their desire to vindicate to themselves powers, the same as those with which popular superstition hadinvested priests and sorcerers. The heathen priest, as well as his Christian rival, cured diseases: and that by charms, which were in many cases identical, if we except the mere insertion of Christian For instance, the pagans applied to their priests and sorcerers for the detection of robbers, and for the recovery of goods lost or stolen; in due course this attribute was transferred to the . monkish saints, whose legends are so full of instances of the miraculous detection of theft and robbery, that it is unnecessary to particularise them. We know what reverence our heathen ancestors paid to fountains—the favourite objects of their pilgrimages and religious ceremonies: These also enter extensively into the saints' legends; and were every where translated into objects of Christian worship under the Romanized Christianity of the middle ages. If we believe the legends, the saints made so many of the fountains now in existence, that the pagans can hardly have found a spring to worship at. The stories of fountains produced miraculously by the saints are repeated, by the compiler of our new Lives of Saints, without a smile, -on the contrary, he seeks to awe us into the impression, that it would be a 'special blasphemy' to disbelieve them. (See the Life of St Augustine, p. 87.) The monks even fell into the reverence with which the people cherished the barrows, or graves, of their ancestors, and they sometimes dug up their bones to make relics of them. Thus, while the unconverted Saxon revered the barrow, because he believed that it was from time to time revisited by the spirit of its tenant, the English monk, with a grosser superstition, worshipped the bones, the last mouldering witnesses of his mortality. On the site of the present town of Ludlow, in Shropshire, stood, in early times, a large barrow, probably Roman: It was an object of popular superstition, and in Christian times a church was built beside it. In 1199, as we learn from a document printed in Leland's Collectanea, (iii. 407,) it being found necessary to remove the mound, three sepulchral interments were discovered. The clergy of the adjoining church carefully gathered up the bones, and placed them in a coffer; telling people that they were the blessed relics of three saints of Irish extraction—St Fercher, St Corona, and another, whose name is preserved only imperfectly in the document l

One of the most common exploits of the heroes of the Teutonic mythology was the destruction of dragons, which were supposed to have the care of treasures concealed in old ruins and in the barrows of the dead. The monks would have their saints rival

these mythic heroes. When St Julian preached the gospel to the Franks, a dreadful dragon brooded in a ruined temple at 'Artinas,' which the saint immediately put to flight. Nearly at the same period, in another part of France, (in deserte Thornodorensi,) a dragon, the terror of the neighbourhood, dwelt in an ancient pit or well; it was killed by St John of Remiremont. When, in the sixth century, St Tozzo, St Magnus, and St Gall had wandered through France to the borders of Switzerland, they came to the Roman Campodunum, (Kampten,) which was then a deserted mass of ruins. A presbyter of the neighbourhood told the strangers that the people of the country sometimes visited the ruins by day; but that at night the access was dangerous on account of the fearful dragons and serpents which had their dens there, and which frequently slew such incautious hunters as might be led thither by their eagerness in the chase. In the midst of their conversation, 'a great serpent called a boa' (egressus est foris de oppido vermis magnus qui dicitur boa) suddenly issued from the ruined town and approached the saints; Tozzo and one of his companions sought refuge in a tree; but St Gall miraculously slew the assailant, and afterwards cleared the old town of its noxious occupants. In the sequel of their journey, the saints came to a narrow pass in the mountains of Switzerland, called Rosshaupten, which was strictly guarded by a ferocious dragon; they pitched their tent, and spent the night in prayers for the overthrow of the monster, and in the morning they found it stretched lifeless on the ground. St Maximin, abbot of Micy, in the diocese of Orleans, slew a dragon which vomited flames, and ravaged the country far and wide, sparing neither age nor sex. By his own desire, the saint was buried on the spot where he vanquished this dragon, and many miracles were afterwards performed at his grave. Towards the end of the seventh century, his bones were dug up and carried to the church of Micy, where they were preserved as relics endowed with miraculous powers. It is probable that these also were nothing more than bones found in an ancient barrow, the hero of which was believed to have slain a dragon. St Samson, bishop of Dol, slew several dragons in Brittany; St Gildas destroyed a dragon in Italy; and St Lifard killed another at Méhun, in the diocese of Orleans. M. Alfred Maury (in the work indicated at the head of the present article) enumerates nearly forty saints who slew dragons, chiefly in France. There is no room for mistaking the animals slain; they were the identical dragons of the Teutonic mythology-imaginary beings, in the existence of which we will, fearless of the consequence of our 'irreverence to the saints,' venture to disbelieve.

The outline or groundwork of the lives of many other of the saints is clearly taken from some of the stories of the earlier mythology of the people, or of the mediæval romances which sprung out of it. The saint is often a creature of the imagination; while sometimes the story is attached to a name which had been handed down almost without a history. Thus, from the early writers, we know little more of St Furseus, than that he was the hero of a vision of purgatory. But a monk, at a later period, has compiled a wild and extravagant life, in which we are told that his father had contracted a secret marriage with a king's daughter without the monarch's consent; and that, when the damsel was discovered to be with child, her father, in his wrath, ordered her to be burnt for her incontinence. As she was dragged to the place of execution, the child, which was Furseus, cried out from its mother's womb, and declared that it was unworthy of a king to condemn his daughter without a trial. The king treated the admonition with contempt, and the lady was thrown into the fire; but she shed so large a flood of tears that the flames were instantly extinguished. The king, finding that he could not burn his daughter, banished her from his kingdom; and she gave birth to Furseus in a foreign land. This is an incident which occurs, with some variations, and without the miracle, in several mediæval romances, from one of which it was doubtless taken. The mother of St Samson of Dol was barren, to the grief of her lord; they went to a wise man or priest, by whose blessing the lady's infirmity was removed; and his prophecy that she should soon give birth to a son was confirmed by the visit of an angel, to announce to the mother her pregnancy. A similar story is told of the birth of St Molagga, and it is repeated in the legends of other saints. A somewhat similar incident occurs also in some of the mediæval romances, such as that of Robert le Diable; but, in the Saints' Lives, it is probably imitated directly from the New Testament.

Invention, we are aware, is a rare talent. Nevertheless, we are surprised at finding how often the same incident is repeated in the lives of different saints. St Mochua was attended by a multitude of people in a wild district, where he had nothing to offer them for food. In this dilemma, the saint called to him stags from the forest, and, having killed them, they were soon eaten up; but he had given strict orders that the bones and skin should be carefully preserved and kept together: Next morning, after he had said a blessing or a charm over them, the stags were restored to life and vigout, and hurried off to their old haunts in the woods. St Euchadius was, on one occasion, obliged to kill a favourite cow to regale his guests; after the meal, he

placed the bones in the skin, and restored the animal to life. St Finnian performed the same exploit with a calf. These are all variations of one original story, and that story, singularly enough, is found in the ancient mythology of the North. We quote it from the readiest source at hand-Pigott's Manual of Scandinavian Mythology, p. 117. Thor, on one of his expeditions against the giants, went in his car, drawn by two he-goats, to seek a lodging in the hut of a peasant. 'The peasant's family consisted of himself and his old wife, and of a son and daughter, Tialf and Roska. The old woman lamented to Thor, that she had nothing to offer him for supper but some roots. Thor answered that he would provide food, and bade her prepare the table. He then took Miölner, his hammer, and slew his two he-goats; and having stripped them of their skins, put them into the boiler. The skins were spread out carefully before the hearth, and Thor desired the peasant to be sure to put all the bones into them. When the meat was cooked, they all sat down to supper During the supper, however, Tialf, the boy, had contrived to get a thigh-bone of one of the goats, which he brake for the sake of the marrow. Thor staid over the night in the cottage. The next morning, before dawn, he rose, and taking Miölner in his hand, he swung it in the air over the goat-skins and bones. The goats immediately sprang up in life and spirits; but one of them was lame in the hind-Thor's anger on this was kindled. He said that the pea-'sant or his people must have been careless with the bones, seeing that a thigh-bone had been broken.' Mr Piggot observes in a note, that 'the heathen Fin-lapps still take care not to break the bones of the animals which they sacrifice, saying that the gods may put flesh and skin on them again.' It would not be easy to conceive a more convincing illustration of the source of the mediæval legends of saints.*

If more of the stories of the earlier mythology of the Teutonic race had been preserved, we should without doubt be enabled to identify in this manner many particulars in the outlines of the Saints' legends, of the origin of which we are now ignorant. With such a beginning, it was easy to fill the canvass; and we shall find that, in the composition of the life of a saint almost every class of materials was made to contribute. In

^{*} Several of the saints, like St Colman, left the imprint of their feet or hands on rocks, which were afterwards worshipped. These marks had no doubt been objects of pagen reverence, as we still find them in the East.

illustration of this part of the subject, we would point out to particular attention the number of interesting facts collected together by M. Maury, in his Essay on the Pious Legends of the Middle Ages. He has divided the mediæval miracles into three classes—1. Miracles imitated from the Gospels, and from the Old Testament; 2. Legends formed by confounding the figurative meaning with the literal, in consequence of the tendency of uncultivated minds" to refer every thing to material life; and, 3. Miracles or legends invented to explain figured symbols or emblematical images, the real meaning of which had been forgotten. Instances of the latter class meet us at almost every turn. We know that even in modern times people are frequently inventing fables to account for pictured representations which have been handed down from the past without any authentic explana-The number of imitations—evident copies—of the Scriptural miracles, found in the legends of the saints, is perfectly extraordinary. M. Maury cites imitations of the Annunciation in the lives of fourteen different saints; twenty-nine imitations of the miraculous multiplication of food, and of the changing of water into wine; and so on with every miracle in the Old and New Testaments. Instances of the two other classes of miracles are equally numerous; even the Gospel parables, or Eastern apologues, are thus transformed. After this fashion, in the coarse comprehension of these monkish biographers, the odour of sanctity, or its reverse, become material scents; the bones of the saints, when dug up from the grave, smell like roses, while those of sinners and pagans stink insufferably. 'The new writer of Saints' Lives gravely informs us, that 'It is said of the holy Sturme, a ' disciple and companion of Winfrid, that in passing a horde of 'unconverted Germans, as they were bathing and gamboling in a stream, he was so overpowered by the intolerable stench [of sin] 'which arose from them, that he nearly fainted away.' Thus, also, the fervour of holiness becomes a material warmth. It is related in the life of St Fechin, how his piety was so fervent, that when he bathed himself in cold water, the water was rendered nearly boiling hot. A similar unspiritual conception led the monks of Mont St Michael to show amongst their relics the spear and shield with which St Michael was armed when he encountered the dragon of the Revelations; and we have read that some relic-monger of old, mistaking the symbolical representation of the Holy Spirit for a real pigeon, exhibited to the pious gaze of his hearers a feather of the Holy Ghost!

Then the immense profusion of miracles! This is a characteristic of the mediæval lives of saints, which immediately attracts the attention of the reader. In Scriptural history, the Creator inter-

rupts rarely the laws which he has given to Nature, and that only on occasions of extraordinary importance; On the other hand, the monkish saints seem to be so overburdened with the miraculous power, that they daily perform miracles, the only object of which appears to be the relieving themselves of the desire of exercising it. Their frequency must have changed altogether the aspect of society. Prisons are so often miraculously opened by their intervention, that the ordinary course of justice must have been constantly at fault: while between those whom they relieved from wounds and sickness, and the dead whom they recalled to life by dozens and scores, the land must have been peopled for successive generations with a population literally stolen from the grave. When the Franciscans compared the miracles of their founder with those of the Saviour, they boasted that, for Christ's single transformation, St Francis had exhibited twenty; that Jesus had changed water into wine but once, whereas St Francis had performed the same miracle thrice; and that in place of the small number of miraculous cures enumerated in the Gospel, St Francis and his disciples had restored more than a thousand blind to their sight, and more than a thousand lame to the use of their limbs, and had raised more than a thousand dead to life.

The writer of the Lives of the English Saints argues insidiously, that as the object of the miracles was teaching, we have no right to judge, by our present standard, of the homely and ridiculous character which they often possess. The criterion which he proposes is—were they fitted to the manners and comprehension of the age? In any thing but miracles, an argument like this might have its weight. But, instead of considering the false logic implied in it, let us show that it is founded on an untrue statement. A majority of the saints' miracles are performed for the most frivolous causes—often with selfish motives and for personal revenge, and very commonly in private. A few examples taken at random, will give the best idea of their usual character.

The object of many of these miracles was the mere personal convenience or advantage of the saint. When St Mochua wanted a fire in his cell, he called down a flame from heaven to light it. The candles of the saints were often lit in a similar manner. When St Senan found that he had only one small candle, and that no more were to be obtained, he caused it miraculously to burn during a whole week without consuming. When St Faro of 'Meldis,' in Burgundy, was at supper, his cup-bearer let fall the vessel from which he drank, and it was broken to pieces. The saint, by a miracle, made it whole, and continued his meal.

St Goar, of Treves, seeking a beam to hang up his cape, saw a sunbeam that came through the window, on which he suspended it, and it remained hanging there till he took it down. The biographer observes, that 'it is not to be wondered at if a ray of the sun assumed the hardness of wood in obedience to the holy man, since, to one who lives in devout intimacy with the 'Creator, the creature is also subjected by the Creator's will.' The same miracle was performed by (among others) St Aicadrus, abbot of Jumieges, who similarly hung up his gloves on a sunbeam. St Leufroi, when in summer the flies infested his cell, and settled on his food, drove them away by a miracle. By another miracle, St Columbanus kept the grubs from his cabbages, when other gardens were over-run by them. St Cuthbert, in a similar manner, kept the field he had sown with corn from the intrusion of birds. St Fechin, on his return from a distant excursion, finding that he had a long way to travel before he regained his monastic home, and perceiving the approach of night, caused the sun to stand still, in order that he might not be overtaken by darkness. Thus the miracle which God had once vouchsafed in the hour of battle for the salvation of his chosen people, was here repeated at the caprice of an individual to avoid a very slight inconvenience. The want of a meal was a sufficient cause for a miracle. When St Fintan expected company, having no flour to make bread, and there being no water to turn the mill, he ordered the mill to work of itself, and it obeyed. When St Cadec was travelling in Cornwall, and overtaken by thirst in a district where there was no water, he struck his staff into the ground, and a beautiful stream at once administered to his wants. When St Mæl was in want of fishes, he caught them on dry ground; and when another Irishman, St Berach, wanted fruit, he caused the willows to bear apples. When St Aidan. bishop of Ferns, was hungry, he took a handful of leaves and turned them into bread; and when St Fechin wanted meat, he took acorns and turned them into pork. St Tillo on visiting his monks, finding they had no wine to give him, filled their barrel by a miracle. St Romaric also miraculously filled a vessel with wine, and another with ale. Turning water into wine was the most common of miracles.

In the course of their travels, the saints had still more frequent occasions for the exertion of their miraculous powers; which, to judge from the narratives of their biographers, must have rendered their labours extremely easy. In the first place, they were not liable to be wet. When St Albin, a French saint of the sixth century, (even in his youth,) went forth with his companions, he alone was untouched by the

heaviest rain. When St Roger, abbot of Elant, fell into a river, he was brought out perfectly dry. The saints, indeed, seem to have possessed the same power over the elements as the witches and sorcerers, from whom probably the idea was derived. Columbanus forbade the rain to wet his corn in harvest time; and when the reapers of St. Geneviève were occupied in the field, she ordered the rain away, that they might not be inconvenienced by it. When St Gildas and his companions resolved to take their lodging in an island that was inconveniently small, it miraculously expanded at their desire. When St Trivier, with two or three companions, was on his way to Italy, and they lost their way in the woods, at his prayer two wolves came forward to offer themselves as guides. It may be observed, too, that he must have been only a second-rate saint; for Bolland, who prints his life from a manuscript, acknowledges that he could not find his name in any of the calendars. When St Fechin was travelling, he came to where a large tree had fallen across his path; instead of taking the trouble to walk round or scramble over it, he merely ordered it to make way: and immediately it raised itself upright in its place. St Dominic, under similar circumstances, beheld a large beech-tree falling upon him; he stretched out his arms towards it, and it drew itself back and fell in the contrary direction. St Corbinian travelled on horseback from France to Bavaria; in his way through a forest, a savage bear rushed out, and killed and devoured his horse: another saint would have restored the horse to life, but a word from Corbinian rendered the bear as tame as a lamb; the saint saddled and bridled it, and so proceeded on his journey. On St Mochua getting tired of walking, he called to the nearest wood for a wild stag, which became as tame as St Corbinian's bear.

No amount of water was any obstacle to their progress. When St Mochua with his disciples came to a deep and rapid river, he threw his cloak on the water; and they all passed over upon it as in a boat. A lady saint, St Fanchea, passed over the sea on her cloak in a similar manner. In the popular mythology, we frequently meet with nymphs and witches passing the water on carpets, sieves, or other articles of magical power. Another lady, St Cannera the virgin, when she had to pass the sea, walked upon the water. This was a very common practice. One day as St Scothinus was walking in this manner across the Irish channel, he met St Barras the bishop passing him in a ship. The bishop appears to have been jealous, and asked him what he was walking upon; to which St Scothinus replied that it was a beautiful green meadow. When St Barras

denied this, he stooped down and gathered a handful of fresh flowers; St Barras, to refute him in his own way of arguing, also stooped down, and, dipping his hand in the water, drew it out full of fishes. This is duly set forth in the authentic life of the saint printed by Colgan. St Aidan, or Maedhog, bishop of Fern, at the beginning of the seventh century, was one day walking over the sea from Ireland to Wales; upon which, an angel came and checked him for his presumption in performing a miracle like this without God's permission. He returned, however, by the same road; and on his arrival in Ireland, finding that he had inadvertently left a bell behind him, he had only to wish for it, and This saint subsethe sea brought it over to the Irish shore. quently made a practice of taking his horse exercise at sea. Either the same, or another saint with a similar name, drove a waggon and a team of horses over an Irish bog; and as often as he and his friends went out to sea on horseback, the water became hard under their horses' hoofs. The saints who rode thus were chiefly Irish; and every one acquainted with the fairy mythology of the sister island, will remember its stories of troops of horsemen riding over lakes and seas. Other saints advanced a step in the miraculous: St Patrick passed over the sea, and St Cuanna over a lake, mounted on a large flag-stone instead of a boat. When St Cadoc came with twenty-four of his disciples to a wide and rapid river, he struck it with his staff; the waters separated and left a dry path to the other side. In like manner, St Serenicus caused the waters of a river in the diocese of Sens, in France, to divide, that he might pass over dry. These, of course, are imitations of the passage of the Red Sea by the people of Israel.

There is another problem, which has attracted the attention of several ingenious persons—the possibility of being in two places at once. The saints long ago approached very near to its solution. The compiler of the original Life of St Cadoc, having confounded a British saint with an Italian, and finding that the same person was thus on the same day in Italy and in Britain, tells us that, as he was occupied about his affairs in the latter country, he was suddenly seized up in a cloud or whirlwind, and that in the winking of an eye (quasi in palpebræ motu) he was set down at Beneventum. It is scarcely necessary to observe, that this was precisely the method of travelling practised by the witches, fairies, and other beings of the popular creed. Several saints might be mentioned as repeating this experiment of passing to a distant place 'in the winking of an eye.' St Scothinus, already cited for his exploits on the sea, usually went to Rome in a day,

transacted his business there the same evening, and returned to

Ireland the next morning.

We may trace this rage for miracles into every department of the monastic life. When St Fechin designed to build a magnificent church in a valley that was too narrow, he ordered one of the hills to move further off, and was obeyed. When St Laumer was building a monastery at the place called after him, Laumerle-Moutier, a large oak stood in a position where it was difficult for the woodmen to cut it; he said a prayer, (a Pagan would have called it a charm,) and the oak at once moved to a more convenient place. St Maedhog was desirous of building, and had no architect; he took the hand of a rough, untaught labourer, named Gobban, and blessed it; and Gobban in an instant became a most skilful architect, and built for the saint a noble church.* One of the most common miracles connected with building, was that of lengthening a beam which the carpenter had made too short. This was performed by St Amatus and St Gall for their cells or oratories; and by St Pardulf, St Æmilian, and St Augustine of Canterbury, for their monasteries and Churches. It was repeated by several English saints. The carlier monasteries were sometimes built in elevated positions: When the monks complained of the labour of fetching water from the plain, their founders, as in the cases of St Benedict and St Basoli, brought the fountains to the top of the hill. St Fechin built a mill on a hill-top; the carpenter employed upon it accidentally exclaimed, in the midst of his work, that he wished he might live till he saw there water to work it. saint reproved him for his want of faith, and walked to a lake about a mile distant, into which he threw his stick; the stick followed him on his return, and the water after it: a plentiful stream soon set the mill to work.

It would be an endless task, and by no means an agreeable one, to mention all the different miracles said to have been performed, with no other object than to increase the comforts of the monks, individually or collectively, as soon as they were established in their monasteries. St Fechin's monastery had once the misfortune to have a monk so ugly that he was ashamed to show himself among his brethren; the saint spat on the ground, and anointed his ill-favoured features—they instantly underwent so

^{*} Quodam tempore cum B. Maedhog basilicam sibi ædificare voluisset, non potuit artificem tum invenire. Confidens in Deo, benedixit manus cujusdam ineruditi nomine Gobbani, et statim subtilissimus artifex est' factus. Postea în summâ arte illam başilicam ædificavit.'—Acta Sancterum Bolland, Mens. Jan., vol. ii. p. 1118.

great a change, that he was ever afterwards remarkable for his beauty. St Berach also made an ugly man handsome, and a short man long. St Gerald and St Abban, both Irish saints, changed girls into boys. All these are incidents of the old fairy legends. The same may be said of another marvel; that of purses or vessels endowed with the quality of furnishing a constant supply of money or liquor. The stories figure among the myths of the Scandinavians and Germans. St Odilo of Cluny, visiting a dependent cell, found that there was only a small vessel full of wine to serve a large number of monks; nothing daunted, he ordered them to pass it round, and, after every one had drunk his fill, the vessel was found to be just as full as when they began. A similar miracle was ascribed to St Patrick. There can be but little doubt, but that the principal precedent upon which the worthy man proceeded in this instance, would be that of Elijah and the widow's cruise.

As we have already intimated, many of these miracles were performed with no other apparent object than the gratification of a very petty feeling of revenge. St Roger, abbot of Elant, was treated with disrespect at a priory he visited; he went away praying fervently that the uncourteous monks might be punished. The priory immediately took fire and was burnt to the ground. A man who refused to carry St Fechin in his boat, was drowned. St Cadoc made some trifling demand of a peasant, which the latter refused jeeringly; the saint turned up his eyes to heaven and at his prayer fire came down and destroyed the clown who had thus offended him. Men who laughed at St Præjectus of Clairmont, were struck dead. A monk, who had offended St Molagga, diedimmediately This revengeful feeling of the saints was cherished even towards animals. In the Life of St Bertulf. we are told that a monk named Leopardus had the care of his vineyard, where, one day, he found a fox devouring a bunch of grapes. Leopardus rebuked the fox, and forbade its touching the grapes in future. But the fox, unable to resist the temptation, returned to the vineyard, seized a bunch of grapes in its mouth, and instantly dropped down dead. St Attracta changed dogs, which disobeyed her, into stones. The same fate was experienced by two wolves, which attacked the sheep of St As St Marius, abbot of Revons in France, in the sixth century, was proceeding to visit his dependent cells, a dog ran after him and tore his robe. A simple ejaculation from the saint was sufficient to call two wolves from a neighbouring wood: and they immediately devoured the offending animal. St. Ebrulf had a monastery in the wilderness of Ouche; a raven built its nest near him, and frequently stole the provisions of the monks;

which one of them, who wished to try his hand at a miracle in imitation of his master, prayed that it might be punished, and it was immediately afterwards found dead. A raven flew away with one of the gloves belonging to Columbanus; but it came back and restored it at the call of the saint. In the same manner a fox, which bad stolen a cock belonging to St Condedus the hermit, was made to bring back its prey with the greatest contrition. Some crows carried away part of the thatch of St Cuthbert's hut to build their nests; at his rebuke, they not only made an apology, but they brought him a piece of hog's lard (which they must have stolen from somebody) to make him amends for the injury he had sustained. This miracle is told by Bede, whose authority our modern miracle-mongers look upon it as little less than blasphemy to doubt.

The class of miracles which our new writers of saints' lives are willing to consider as coming under the title of 'grotesque,' but which they think there is nevertheless 'no ground for rejecting,' is also extremely numerous. We confess that we do not always very easily perceive their object or utility, even for 'teaching.' For instance, St James of Tarentaise, a disciple of St Honoratus, hoping to conciliate the favour of the Burgundian prince, Gundicarius, by a present of ice in the summer, carried it on the back of an ass: by miraculous interference, the sun, though intensely hot, had no effect upon it. But the saint and his attendants, having forgotten to put themselves under protection of the miracle, were soon overcome by the heat, and they retired to a shady spot to seek repose. raven suddenly pounced upon the head of the ass, tore out one of its eyes, and flew away. The saint, on learning what had happened, made a hasty invocation: Upon this, the raven instantly returned croaking forth its penitence, and carrying the eye The eye was replaced in its socket, and, wonderful to relate! the sight of the ass was so perfectly restored, that the animal arose more vigorous then ever, and continued its journey. The Irish St Ita, finding a man with his head cut off, restored it to his shoulders, and sent him about his business. St Cronan caused a wild beast, which had killed and eaten a man, to reject the meal from its stomach, and he then brought back the man to St Maclou found a boy weeping for the death of one of his swine; he stretched out his hand, and it immediately rose up alive, St Melorus having lost his hand, caused another to be made of silver, which became fixed to his arm and as pliable as a hand of flesh and muscles. St Benedict employed a man to clear the' thorns and brushwood from the side of a lake, and lent him an for the purpose. While he was actively employed in his

work, the head of the axe flew from the handle into the lake. St Benedict, informed of this accident, took the handle, blessed it, and cast it into the lake; it sank, and then returned to the surface of the water, with the head firmly attached to it. St Leufroi performed the same miracle in a river. Both, of course, copies of the miracle of the prophet Elisha, in the Old Testament.

Such, it appears, is the trash in which 'devout minds' are required to believe; and for the sake of believing which, we are called upon to relinquish our right of investigating and judging. We have taken our examples very indiscriminately, from less than a tithe of the materials of this description which have been committed to print, and among which may be found many more absurd miracles than any here alluded to. Several, indeed, of the very worst class are retailed with becoming gravity in the Lives of the English Saints, which are lying before us. What, for instance, shall we think of the following? We are told of St Augustine's missionary wanderings, that 'there is no record, or even tradition, of his reception in the north of England having been otherwise than favourable, and even hearty. Very different from this are the accounts of his travels in Dorsetshire. While there, we 'hear of his having come to one village where he was received ' with every species of insult. The wretched people, not content with heaping abusive words upon the holy visitors, assailed them with missiles, in which work, the place being probably a seaport, the sellers of fish are related to have been peculiarly active. 'Hands, too, were laid upon the archbishop and his company. Finding all efforts useless, the godly band shook off the dust from their feet and withdrew. The inhabitants are said to have suffered the penalty of their impieties even to distant genera-'tions. All the children born from that time bore and trans-'mitted the traces of their parents' sin in the shape of a loathsome 'deformity.'-(Life of St Augustine, p. 327.) The insult put upon the saints was that of attaching the tails of fish behind To explain the nature of the deformity, it will be enough to state that, according to the narrator of this miracle, the inhabitants of the village in question were ever afterwards born with tails! It was probably in reference to this judgment, pronounced on some of their countrymen by their great apostle, that the English crusaders who accompanied Richard I. are reported to have been mocked with the title of caudati, or the people having tails.

Vast as was the number of miracles said to have been performed by the saints while alive, they bear no comparison with those performed in after ages by their bones. This is one of

the many startling problems, which are to be found among Pascal's 'Thoughts.' 'The lives of saints,' says their modern biographer, in 'many cases do not end with their deaths; their influence over the visible church is often more signally exerted through their relics than it was in their sojourn upon earth. Somewhat of that power which they now have in their glori-'fied state is permitted to be transfused into their mortal re-'mains, and through them to act upon the church. Many of the saints have lived and died almost in obscurity, whose ' relics have worked wonders for centuries; God, who saw them in secret while on earth, thus manifesting them openly after ' He has taken them from us.' In fact, some of the saints, who lived not in obscurity, but of whose lives we have more authentic memorials than of the others, worked no miracles until ages after their death; and when their marvellous qualities had become a matter of pecuniary importance.

It takes but a step to pass from the age of saints to that of relics. The worship of relics, and a faith in the miracles performed by them, are surely a degrading superstition under any system. In all ages, and under all creeds, a reverence for the memory of the individual has often been transmitted to his mortal remains, and to the spot in which they are deposited. the same universal sentiment; which makes us desire to fix some lasting memorial over the graves of departed friends; and in credulous ages, it easily degenerated into a belief that the relics of the dead possessed something more of the living individual than their mere physical structure might indicate. Under this impression, the bones of the saints (the most durable parts of their frames) were looked upon as representing the saints upon · earth, and purified from fleshly taints. From this supposition it was an easy step to believe that they possessed the power of working miracles-greater than they have worked while in the For it seems to have been the vulgar notion, that the miracle was not so much done by God at the saint's intercession, as by some mysterious influence with which the latter was endowed. The bodies of individuals whose sanctity was thus acknowledged became the objects of pilgrimage, and were believed to perform miraculous cures on those who solicited their As few would seek a benefit of this kind without making some offering in return, (this offering being not unfrequently the measure of the efficiency of the relics,) they soon became a source of great riches to the church or monastery which possessed them. When this was once discovered, the relics themselves increased rapidly in number; until there was scarcely a parish church but, if it could not boast of a whole saint, could at

least show a fragment of one. This gave rise to an extensive and disgraceful system of jugglery and deception, which was carried to the most extraordinary lengths. It was only necessary to open some long-forgotten grave, or to meet by accident with a heap of unknown bones; a monk of the house was brought forward to declare that he had received a divine intimation, either in a vision or in a dream, that they were the remains of a saint; the bones were carried with great ceremony into the church; and, if such a saint had never been heard of before, the fertile brains of the same or of some other monk, soon produced a life filled with marvellous details. Such, in all probability, was the origin of three-fourths of the saints in the calendar. the materials were chiefly derived, has been already shown. We have seen, in at least one instance, bones taken out of a Pagan barrow and turned to this purpose. The period during which the greatest number of relies were thus miraculously discovered, was that of the rebuilding of monasteries which followed the devastating invasions of the Danes and Normans.

It was a very suspicious circumstance attendant on these relies, that in general they gave no miraculous evidence of their existence, except while they were in the hands of those who were making a profit of them. St Marcellus, the pope, was buried in the monastery of Hautmont, in the diocese of Cam-It had been almost destroyed by the Huns, and lay ruined and neglected till the eleventh century. At that period, Abbot Ursio juled over it; and it was reduced to such extreme poverty that, tormented by enemies, and perhaps by creditors, the abbot scarched every part of the house in the hope of discovering some lost object of value which might be turned into money. Usio and his monks found, accordingly, in a lumber-room, a coffer of silver; but they were totally ignorant of its contents, and are said to have telt some unaccountable hesitation in opening it. After consulting together, they laid their doubts before the Bishop of Cambrai, and, by his advice, proceeded to examine it with becoming reverence. When they opened it, a sweet odour issued from the interior, where they found a parcel of bones, which a document-placed there, it was said, in the time of Dagobert—stated to be the relics of St Marcellus. was now nothing but rejoicing among the poverty-stricken A new and elegant shrine was constructed; the bones. which had been so long dormant, began to work miracles anew; . and a crowd of devout worshippers soon relieved the monastery from its pecuniary difficulties. The tomb of St Frodobert had in like manner been forgotten, and the church in which he lay had long been a ruin. As long as they had been unknown, his

bones had worked no miracles; but some pious and rich individual took it into his head to rebuild the church in a stately manner. Upon this, the saint gave information of his resting-place; and, as soon as due honours and offerings were paid to his tomb, he vouchsafed to perform an endless list of miraculous cures.

We are the more surprised at the caprice with which the relics sometimes kept themselves long hidden, when we compare this with the jealousy with which, at other times, they punished the slightest disrespect or neglect. When enemies ravaged the territory of Arbonne, a soldier who attempted to open the coffin of St Gall was seized with madness. A man who struck the tomb of St Erminold irreverently, fell down dead. A hundred similar instances might be quoted. This jealousy was cherished even against those who robbed the shrine of its dues. A good dame of Ratisbon, unable by the severity of her disease to go herself, sent another woman, who dwelt with her in the same house, to offer for her a piece of money and light candles at the tomb of St Erminold. She came with a crowd of devotees, and offered her money and lighted her candles; but, incited by covetousness, in the confusion created by the crowd of offerings, she drew back the money with her hand. She was putting it in her purse, when her fingers became suddenly stiff and contracted, and adhered so firmly to the coin, that she was compelled in her agony to confess her crime. On receiving absolution, her hand was restored to its natural condition. Considering the innumerable miracles of this kind which are related in the Romish legends, it seems remarkable that, when the Reformers (who certainly provoked such manifestations as much as any Pagan invaders) drew forth these time-worn relics at a later period, and scattered them to the winds, no miracle was ever wrought for their protection. The age of saints' relics, as well as that of saints' miracles, was then past; and a second time--

> ' Peor and Baalim Forsook their temples dim.'

In older times, if we believe their story, this jealous spirit of self-defence was often found necessary to guard against pious, as well as impious, depredators. For the possession of relics was sometimes the cause of dire war, even between the inmates of different religious establishments. When St Fanchea died in Ireland, the inhabitants of two petty kingdoms assembled in separate parties to fight for her body, almost as soon as the breath had left it. Instead of loathing the quarrelsome spirit

which had brought them together, the saint declared by a miracle to which party she wished to belong. The body of St Furieus, in like manner, was nearly the subject of a battle.

Sometimes, when a larger or more influential party were in want of the relics of a saint of celebrity, they looked round for a weaker who possessed them, and plotted a pious theft. succeeded, it was a miracle by which the saint showed his preference for the new place of abode; if they failed, the miracle was in favour of the old possessors. The bones of St Neot were preserved at the church in which he had been buried, St Neot's in Cornwall, until the year 974. A powerful Saxon earl at that time founded a priory at Eynesbury, now St Neot's in Huntingdonshire, and wanted a patron saint. He obtained for his praiseworthy attempt the sanction of three powerful individuals, Brithnod of Ely, Athelwold bishop of Winchester, and King Edgar. The official guardian of the shrine in Cornwall was corrupted: he secretly fled with the treasure entrusted to his care, reached Huntingdonshire in safety, and was received into the earl's house, as the monastic buildings were not yet completed. The people of the Cornish St Neot's, when they discovered the theft, armed themselves hastily, and pursued the fugitive to Eynesbury, where they besieged the house, and demanded their relics. But the king scnt an armed force to drive the Cornishmen out of the village, with orders to put them to the sword without mercy, in case of resistance. It was proclaimed that the saint, having been disgusted with the sins of the Cornishmen, had miraculously made known his wish to seek a new and more splendid shrine in the county of Huntingdon.

In the tenth century, the relics of St Bertulf were deposited at Boulogne. They were one night stolen in order to be carried over to England to King Athelstan: But the robber had proceeded no further than the Flemish port of Audinghem, when he was overtaken by the clergy of Boulogne, and obliged to surrender his prey. A miracle had withheld him from passing the sea. In the latter part of the eleventh century, the clergy of Laon made an attempt to steal the body of St Theodoric (or Thierri,) abbot of Rheims, which had been laid in a richly ornamented coffin by Abbot Raimbald. There was at this time a dispute between the clergy of Laon and the abbot of Rheims. The relics of St Theodoric were carried to Laon to decide the cause, and were honourably received in the church. As appears to have been the custom in such cases, the guards of the sacred deposit were chosen from the clergy of Laon, and not from those of Rheims. This circumstance furnished the looked-for opportunity for the theft. In the dead of the night, when the treacherous keepers were intention-

ally off their guard, two persons entered the church, silently lifted the cover of the shrine, and put in their hands. To their astonishment they found the coffer empty. Supposing that they had been anticipated, they left the church as noiselessly as they came: and the clergy of Rheims carried home their relics in safety. The thieves, however, subsequently made a full confession: And it was placed among one of the most remarkable miracles of the saint, how his relics had become invisible and intangible, when an attempt was made to steal them. This system of relic-stealing had become so common, that in some houses extraordinary precautions were taken to prevent it. We are told that it was an ordinance strictly enforced in the church of St Cadoc at Beneventum, that no native of the British Isles should be allowed to pass the threshold, in consequence of an old prophecy that a monk of Lancarvan would one day steal and carry away St Cadoc's relics. Other arts than that of robbery were employed at times to gain possession of relics. St Romanus, the abbot, was buried at Fontrouge, near Auxerre. It appears that the clergy of this place did not make the most of his relics, and, under pretence that the saint was dissatisfied with so obscure a resting-place, they were removed to a church in Auxerre. There they soon became famous for miraculous cures, and the bishop, not without much trouble, effected their translation to his cathedral. But even here they were not allowed to rest quietly; for, at a subsequent period, the Archbishop of Sens employed similar intrigues to obtain them for the metropolitan church.

It was not only found necessary to guard against these attempts to steal the bodies of the saints: there were many depredators on a smaller scale, who attempted to gain possession of a bone or a fragment. In such cases the bones, when taken away, either lost their power of working miracles, or they became hurtful, and even fatal, in the hands of their new possessors. The bodies of St Deicolus and St Columbanus were deposited in shrines in the church of Lure. The Countess Hildegardis was anxious to carry some portion of the relics with her into Alsace: she went to the church, and tried in vain to lift the cover of the coffin of St Deicolus. As she persisted in her attempt, a sudden earthquake, accompanied with thick darkness, shook the monastery from its foundation, and struck the inmates with terror. The Countess now desisted; and, turning to the shrine of St Columbanus, opened it with comparative ease, and abstracted a tooth. From this moment, until the time she returned, and publicly restored the tooth, we are told that the Countess was never free from excruciating toothache! When the relics of St Genevieve

were carried about in fear of the Norman invaders, an abbot, Herebert, more pious than wise, (zelum quidem pietatis habens, sed non secundum scientiam,) stole one of the teeth; but he was punished with a succession of fearful dreams and visions, until he restored it. Something of a similar kind happened to the relics of the Belgian hermit, St Gerlac. A stranger came from a distance to offer at his shrine, and under pretence of devoutly kissing his head, drew out a tooth unperceived, and went his way, exulting in the possession of so holy a relic. His joy, however, was not of long duration; for, within a year, he brought back the relic in penitent humility, and showed the guardians of the shrine that, in the interim, he had himself lost every tooth in his head.

When, however, the elergy sold their relics, which they often did by bit and bit, their virtues remained unimpaired; and this became, in course of time, a very lucrative source of revenue. Long before the Reformation, the bones (or pretended bones) of the principal saints of the Romish ritual had been scattered over every part of Europe; and, by some mysterious power of development or multiplication, there were often found as many heads, arms, hands, &c., of the same saint, as, put to-

gether, would have made a dozen individuals.

Such is, in brief, the history of saints' lives and saints' miracles. It must be confessed not a very flattering one for human reason; although an instructive one for those who would study the errors of the past in the light of a warning for the future. The Reformers were not far from the truth when they charged with idolatry the church of the middle ages; that church which, after it had once lost its original purity, seems to have gone on adopting some of the most exceptionable characteristics of almost every pagan creed with which it came in contact. At first, probably, these corruptions were taken up unwittingly: And various allusions in old canons and homilies would seem to show, that there were at all times enlightened members of the Roman communion, who set their faces against them. There are instances of fathers of the mediæval church lifting up their voices against these pretended miracles, as mere instruments of worldly vanity. indeed, of the wiser Catholics, even of the naddle ages, who must have known well the secrets of their order, can have ever looked upon them as any thing better than as parts of the painful chapter of serviceable frauds.

If any thing on such a subject ought to astonish us, the revival of these legends in our own age and country is surely an astonishing phænomenon. But it it useless to talk of reason and of evidence to enthusiasts, young or old, who have made up their minds to believe, without evidence and against reason. We will

end with a modern story, which our readers may apply. Every body has read of the miraculous cures performed, not long ago, by Prince Hohenlohe. In 1821, the magistrates of Bamberg forbade him to exercise his miraculous powers without first acquainting the police, nor unless in the presence of a commission deputed by the authorities, nor unless one or more physicians were in attendance. The Prince appealed to the Pope. The Pope ordered him to conform to the restrictions. The miracles have not been heard of since.

Ghosts prudently withdraw at peep of day.'

- ART. III.—1. A Brief Sketch of the Life of the late Miss Sarah Martin of Great Yarmouth: with Extracts from the Parliamentary Reports on Prisons; and her own Prison Journals. 8vo. Yarmouth: 1844.
- 2. Selections from the Poetical Remains of the late Miss Sarah Martin of Great Yarmouth. 8vo. Yarmouth: 1845.

THE town of Great Yarmouth in Norfolk, which has been for many ages a place of considerable commercial importance, was originally a mere fishing-station. The men of the Cinque Ports, who were in early times the principal fishermen of the kingdom, used to assemble on that coast during the herringseason; and a sand-bank, situate at the mouth of an arm of the sea, which then flowed far into Norfolk, was their usual landing-place. There, upon the deanes, or dunes, by the seashore, they spread their nets to the sun, repaired their boats, and cured or otherwise disposed of their catch of fish. The recession of the sea, the convenience of the situation, and the periodical visits of a concourse of busy men, led to the permanent occupation of this bleak and barren spot. The rearing of a few huts for the residence of such handicraftsmen as could assist the fishermen in the repair of the barks and nets, and of such dealers as could supply their accustomed wants, was the first advance towards a settlement. The next was the erection of a little chapel upon a green, bent-covered hill in the sand, which was indiscreetly dedicated to the patron of black monks, Saint Benedict. Hence arose discord and confusion. The men of the Cinque Ports had probably begun to doubt the efficacy of the winds which they bought before they started upon their voyages; and, in lieu of the

ancient application to the wise woman, now took with them a chaplain, some true clerk of St Nicholas, the seaman's universal The fisher-priest soon quarrelled with the clerk of St Benedict upon the subject of oblations; and, as must have seemed likely from their respective habits of life, the worshipper of St Nicholas 'removed, expelled, and evil-intreated, his adversary. He probably even pulled down the little opposition chapel to the ground; for antiquarian diligence has never been able to discover the slightest trace of it. But the triumph of this vigorous stroke of conservative policy was short-lived. Some few years afterwards, a bishop of Thetford, the same who removed that see to Norwich, happened to be the king's chancellor, and a churchbuilder. He heard the Norfolk priest's cause in his equitable tribunal, and, with an appearance of kindness, as well as impartiality, settled the dispute, by himself erecting, not far from the mouth of the river Yare, a church so large, that both priests might officiate in it at separate altars! and, by way of compensation to the prescriptive rights of the men of the Cinque Ports, he dedicated the whole building to the true saint of the sea-shore. St Nicholas. The church thus erected was rendered by subsequent additions one of the largest parish churches in England, and remained, until a comparatively recent period, the only church in Yarmouth.

Within the next hundred years after the settlement of this church question, the importance of Yarmouth increased rapidly, and, at the end of that time, the town was raised into the first rank of English municipalities by a royal charter, which conferred upon the burgesses a great variety of privileges, and, amongst them, that of trying pleas of the crown, or criminal causes, 'ac- cording to the law and custom of Oxford.' Hence arose the necessity for a prison; and a building was erected for that use on the site of the present strange, grotesque, and in part ancient jail, whose ugliness seems intended to aid the law in exciting feelings of terror and aversion in the minds of evil-doers.

According to the theory of our ancestors, the people of Yarmouth had now advanced to the point of completeness as a borough. Law and gospel had each its representative amongst them. Their sanctions and their penalties were brought home to every man's own door. When men sinned, the church assessed a compensation to Heaven, in the shape of penances, and insisted upon external marks of contrition before the offender was permitted to resume his standing in the visible congregation of

the faithful. When men committed crimes, the law mulcted them in pecuniary fines, or deprived them of their liberty, sequestered them from kirk and market, but, instead of aiming at reformation, or even at penitence, sought only punishment; secluded them in loathsome places of confinement; subjected them to the tyranny of ignorant, and often brutal keepers, who were responsible only for their safe custody; and herded them all together, whatever their ages, stations, or offences, without occupation, without instruction, and sometimes even unfed and unclad, save by the poor proceeds of a begging-box, the rattling of which invoked the charity of passers-by. Strange as this now seems, it continued for centuries. The church was the first to awake. She discovered that her outward penances were unavailing towards the rectification of the heart, and following out that principle, effected all the changes of the ecclesiastical There, for a time, the course of social improvement seemed stayed. The law, in spite of this glimmer of right reason in its sister institution, still held its ancient way. Jails were thought to be places by means of which men were to be intimidated from crime; but it was not seen, or the fact was disregarded, that such jails were mere academies of crime, and that, through their instrumentality, the law itself was the principal teacher of the science of law-breaking.

Yarmouth was one of the last places in the kingdom to become convinced of this fact. The town, however, increased in size and importance. A spacious quay afforded accommodation for the numerous fleet which carried the produce of Yarmouth fisheries, and the manufactures of Norwich, to the remotest quarters of the globe: noble mansions testified to the wealth of Yarmouth merchants; while no less than four hundred narrow lanes, locally termed rows, by which the principal streets are intersected at right angles, demonstrated the existence of a dense population. The whole place looked prosperous, cheerful, busy; and gay visitors flitted about, in search of health or pleasure, upon that very beach on which the men of the Cinque Ports had spread their nets. Still there stood that jail, with its long succession of corrupt and even-corrupting inmates. Infinite changes and improvements had taken place around it, but within, the system of mismanagement remained almost untouched. after generation passed along that narrow street, and looked with the oftward eye upon that hideous abode of misery and built; but their feelings were so thoroughly engrossed by their own affairs, their merchandise or their farm, their pleasures or their griefs, that they remained mentally unconscious of the guilt which the continued existence of such a building and such

a system was entailing upon society at large. And this continued down to the year 1819, and even much later. There was no schoolmaster, no chaplain, no attempt at occupation or reformation. 'The doors were simply locked upon the prisoners . . . their time was given to gaming, swearing, playing, fighting, and bad language; and their visitors were admitted from without with little restriction.'* There was no divine worship in the jail on Sundays, nor any respect paid to that holy day.+ There were 'underground cells,' (these continued even down to 1836,) 'quite dark, and deficient in proper ventilation. The prisoners describe their heat in summer as almost suffocating, but they prefer them for their warmth in winter; their situation is such as to defy inspection, and they are altogether unfit for the confinement of any human being.' 1. The whole place was filthy, confined, unhealthy; and its occupants were 'infested with ver-'min and skin disease.' Such a state of things could not continue for ever. It is the great comfort and consolation of all persons who seek after social reformation, that the abuses of sosciety have within them a principle of decay, under the influence of which no power can long uphold them against the peaceable assaults of advancing civilisation. Human impatience has often caused premature reformation, after many a hard struggle, to stop short of the point which might have been attained with ease, if the over-hasty hand could have been stayed, until the arrival of that 'fulness of time' which the laws under which all human things exist are surely bringing about. At Yarmouth, that fulness of time was allowed to travel onwards at its slowest pace; but arrive it did at last, and then these iniquities fell before the touch of apparently the weakest instrument that could have been raised up to wield a lance against them.

In August 1819, a woman was committed to the jail for a most unnatural crime. She was a mother who had 'forgotten her 'sucking child.' She had not 'had compassion upon the son 'of her womb,' but had cruelly beaten and ill-used it. The consideration of her offence was calculated to produce a great effect upon a female mind; and there was one person in the neighbourhood of Yarmouth who was most deeply moved by it. She was a poor dressmaker; a little woman of gentle, quiet manners, possessing no beauty of person, nor, as it seemed, any peculiar endowment of mind. She was then just eight-and-twenty years

^{*} Life of S. Martin, p. 27. † *Ibid*, p. 12.

[†] Report of Inspector of Prisons, Northern District, 1836, p. 67.

^{||} Life of S. Martin, p. 27.

of age, and had, for thirteen years past, earned her livelihood by going out to the houses of various families in the town as a daylabourer in her business of dressmaking. Her residence was at Caister, a village three miles from Yarmouth, where she lived with an aged grandmother, and whence she walked to Yarmouth and back again in the prosecution of her daily toil. This poor girl had long mourned over the condition of the inmates of the jail. Even as long back as in 1810, 'whilst frequently passing the jail,' she says, 'I felt a strong desire to obtain admission to the prisoners to read the scriptures to them; for I thought much of their condition, and of their sin before God: ' how they were shut out from society, whose rights they had ' violated, and how destitute they were of the scriptural instruc-'tion which alone could meet their unhappy circumstances.'-(Life, p. 11.) The case of the unnatural mother stimulated her to make the attempt, but 'I did not,' she says, 'make known 'my purpose of seeking admission to the jail until the object ' was attained, even to my beloved grandmother; so sensitive was my fear lest any obstacle should thereby arise in my way, and the project seem a visionary one. God led me, and I 'consulted none but Him.'-(Ibid. p. 12.) She ascertained the culprit's name, and went to the jail. She passed into the dark porch which overhung the entrance, fit emblem of the state of things within; and no doubt with bounding heart, and in a timid modest form of application, uttered with that clear and gentle voice, the sweet tones of which are yet well remembered, solicited permission to see the cruel parent. There was some difficulty—there is always 'a lion in the way' of doing goodand she was not at first permitted to enter. To a wavering mind, such a check would have appeared of evil omen; but Sarah Martin was too well assured of her own purposes and powers to hesitate. Upon a second application she was admitted.

There has been published an interesting account of Mrs Fry's first entry into the female ward of Newgate. Locked up with viragos, amongst whom the turnkeys had warned her that her purse, her watch, and even her life, would be in danger, 'she addressed them with dignity, power, and gentleness,' and soon awed them into compliance with a code of regulations which there was a committee of ladies ready to aid her in carrying into execution. All this was very admirable, and, in its results, has been most beneficial. But Mrs Fry was a woman of education, and had something of the dignified bearing of a person accustomed to move in the higher walks of life; she was also a practised speaker in the meetings of the religious community of

which she was a member, and was supported by influential and well-tutored assistants. Sarah Martin's position was the reverse of this in every respect. 'My father,' she says, 'was a village tradesman. I was born in June 1791; an only child, deprived of my parents at an early age, and brought up under the care of a widowed grandmother, a poor woman of the name of Bonnett, and by trade a glover, at Caister. Sarah Martin's education was merely such as could be obtained at a village school; all her real information was acquired by self-tuition in At fourteen she passed a year in learning the busiafter-life. ness by which she was to earn her bread, and, after that time, being a superior workwoman, was constantly employed. had no other preparation for becoming a jail-visitor than could be acquired from teaching a class in a Sunday-school, or from occasionally reading the Scriptures in the sick-ward in the workhouse. Without in any degree undervaluing, but, on the contrary, highly applauding the labours of Mrs Fry, we think there was something far more simple, and far more nearly heroical, in the conduct of her humbler sister. Of Mrs Fry's adventitious advantages Sarah Martin had none; but she had drunk deep into the spirit of that book, 'which ever tells,' she says, 'of mercy,' and in the strength of that spirit she proceeded, without confidant or companion, to convey comfort to those wretched outcasts.

The manner of her reception in the jail is told by herself with admirable simplicity. The unnatural mother stood before her. She 'was surprised at the sight of a stranger.' 'When I 'told her,' says Sarah Martin, 'the motive of my visit, her 'guilt, her need of God's mercy, &c., she burst into tears, and 'thanked me!' Those tears and thanks shaped the whole course of Sarah Martin's subsequent life. If she had been rudely repelled, even her fortitude might have given way. But the messenger of mercy is ever welcome to those who feel their guilt, and the more guilty the more welcome, if the glad tidings be but kindly proclaimed. 'I read to her,' she adds, 'the 'twenty-third chapter of St Luke;'—the story of the malefactor, who, although suffering justly by man's judgment, found mercy from the Saviour.

Her reception at once proved the necessity for such a missionary, and her own personal fitness for the task; and her visit was repeated again and again, during such short intervals of leisure as she could spare from her daily labours. At first she contented herself with merely reading to the prisoners; but familiarity with their wants and with her own powers soon enlarged the sphere of her tuition, and she began to instruct them

in reading and writing. This extension of her labour interfered with her ordinary occupations. It became necessary to sacrifice a portion of her time, and consequently of her means, to these new duties. She did not hesitate. 'I thought it right,' she says, 'to give up a day in a week from dressmaking, . . . 'to serve the prisoners. This regularly given, with many an 'additional one, was not felt as a pecuniary loss, but was ever 'followed with abundant satisfaction, for the blessing of God 'was upon me.'

Her next object was to secure the observance of Sunday, and, after long urging and recommendation, she prevailed upon the prisoners 'to form a Sunday service, by one reading to the 'rest; . . . but aware,' she continues, 'of the instability of a practice in itself good, without any corresponding principle of preservation, and thinking that my presence might exert a beneficial tendency, I joined their Sunday morning worship as

'a regular hearer.'

After three years' perseverance in this 'happy and quiet 'course,' she made her next advance, which was to introduce employment, first for the women prisoners, and afterwards for the men. In 1823, 'one gentleman,' she says, 'presented me with ten shillings, and another, in the same week, with a 'pound, for prison charity. It then occurred to me that it would be well to expend it in material for baby clothes; and having borrowed patterns, cut out the articles, fixed prices of payment for making them, and ascertained the cost of a set, that they might be disposed of at a certain price, the plan was carried into effect. The prisoners also made shirts, coats, &c. 6. . . . By means of this plan, many young women who were 6 not able to sew, learned this art, and, in satisfactory instances, had a little money to take at the end of the term of imprison-The fund of L.1, 10s. for this purpose, as a foundation and perpetual stock, (for whilst desiring its preservation, I did not require its increase,) soon rose to seven graneas, and since its establishment, above L.408 worth of 'various articles have been sold for charity.'

The men Were thus employed:-

'They made straw hats, and, at a later period, bone spoons and seals; others made men's and boys' caps, cut in eight quarters—the material, old cloth or moreen, or whatever my friends could find up to give me for them. In some instances, young anen, and more frequently boys, have learned to sew grey cotton shirts, or even patch-work, with a view of shutting out idleness and making themselves useful. On one occasion I showed to the prisoners an etching of the Chess-Player, by

Retzsch, which two men, one a shoemaker and the other a bricklayer, desired much to copy; they were allowed to do so, and being furnished with pencil, pen, paper, &c., they succeeded remarkably well. The Chess-Player presented a pointed and striking lesson, which could well be applied to any kind of gaming, and was, on this account, suitable to my pupils, who had generally descended from the love of marbles and pitch-halfpenny in children, to cards, dice, &c., in men. The business of copying it had the advantage of requiring all thought and attention at the time. The attention of other prisoners was attracted to it, and for a year or two afterwards many continued to copy it.

After another interval she proceeded to the formation of a fund which she applied to the furnishing of work for prisoners upon their discharge; 'affording me,' she adds, 'the advantage

' of observing their conduct at the same time.'

She had thus, in the course of a few years—during which her mind had gradually expanded to the requirements of the subject before her—provided for all the most important objects of prison_ discipline; moral and intellectual tuition, occupation during imprisonment, and employment after discharge. Whilst great and good men, at a distance, unknown to her, were inquiring and disputing as to the way and the order in which these very results were to be attained—inquiries and disputes which have not yet come to an end-here was a poor woman who was actually herself personally accomplishing them all! It matters not whether all her measures were the very wisest that could have been imagined. She had to contend with many difficulties that are now unknown; prison discipline was then in its infancy; every thing she did was conceived in the best spirit; and, considering the time, and the means at her command, could scarcely have been improved.

The full extent to which she was personally engaged in carrying out these objects, has yet to be explained. The Sunday service in the jail was adopted, as we have seen, upon her recommendation, and she joined the prisoners, as a fellow-worshipper, on Sunday morning. Their evening service, which was to be read in her absence, was soon abandoned; but, finding that to be the case, she attended on that part of the day also, and the service was then resumed. 'After several changes of readers, the office,' she says, 'devolved on me. That happy privilege thus graciously opened to me, and embraced from necessity, and in much fear, was acceptable to the prisoners, for God made it so; and also an unspeakable advantage and comfort to myself.'—(Life, p. 13.) These modest sentences

convey but a very faint notion of the nature of these singular services. Fortunately, in a report of Captain Williams, one of the inspectors of prisons, we have a far more adequate account of the matter. It stands thus:—

'Sunday, November 29, 1835 .- Attended divine service in the morning at the prison. The ntale prisoners only were assembled; a female, resident in the town, officiated; her voice 'was exceedingly melodious, her delivery emphatic, and her enunciation extremely distinct. The service was the liturgy of the Church of England; two psalms were sung by the whole of the prisoners, and extremely we'l-much better than I have frequently heard in our best-appointed churches. A written discourse, of her own composition, was read by her; it was 6 of a purely moral tendency, involving no doctrinal points, and admirably suited to the hearers. During the performance of the service, the prisoners paid the profoundest attention, and the most marked respect, and, as far as it is possible to judge, appeared to take a devout interest. Evening service was read . by her afterwards to the female prisoners,' - (Second Report of Inspectors of Prisons, 1836, p. 69.)

Sarah Martin is here brought before us in a new character. Hitherto we have seen her pursuing, energetically and successfully, certain definite practical ends of plain and obvious utility. She now claims our attention as a moral teacher. commencement of her Sunday labours, which began probably in 1820, or shortly afterwards, up to 1832, she read printed sermons; from that time to 1837, she wrote her own sermons; from 1837 to the termination of her labours in 1843, 'I was 'enabled,' she says, 'by the help of God, to address the 'prisoners without writing beforehand, simply from the Holy Scriptures.'—(Life, p. 13.) We were curious to know what kind of addresses a person so intimately acquainted with the habits and feelings of criminals would think it right to deliver to such an audience, and have been kindly permitted to peruse her unpublished notes of various sermons delivered by her in the year 1835. They have certainly surprised us.

We believe that there are gentlemen in the world who stand so stiffly upon the virtue of certain forms of ministerial ordination, as to set their faces against all lay, and especially against all female, religious teaching. We will not dispute as to what may, or may not, be the precise value of those forms. They ought to confer powers of inestimable worth, considering how stubbornly they are defended—and perhaps they do so; but every one amongst us knows and feels, that the power of writing or preaching good sermons is not amongst the number. The

cold, laboured eloquence which boy-bachelors are authorised by custom and constituted authority to inflict upon us—the dry husks and chips of divinity which they bring forth from the dark recesses of the theology (as it is called) of the Fathers, or of the middle ages, sink into utter worthlessness by the side of the jail addresses of this poor uneducated seamstress. From her own registers of the prisoners who came under her notice, it is easy to describe the ordinary members of her congregation :- pert London pickpockets, whom a cheap steam-boat brought to reap a harvest at some country festival; boors, whom ignorance and distress led into theft; depraved boys, who picked up a precarious livelihood amongst the chances of a seaport town; sailors, who had committed assaults in the boisterous hilarity consequent upon a discharge with a paid-up arrear of wages; servants, of both sexes, seduced by bad company into the commission of crimes against their masters; profligate women, who had added assault or theft to the ordinary vices of a licentious life; smugglers; a few game-law criminals; and paupers transferred from a work-, house, where they had been initiated into crime, to a jail, where their knowledge was perfected. Such were some of the usual classes of persons who assembled around this singular teacher of righteousness. Their characters were as distinct as their crimes. A few extracts from Sarah Martin's 'Prison ' Records' will exhibit their variety:-

'W. W. Homely villager. Very good natural powers; temper good; grateful for instruction; desirous of improving.

W. Wa. Inferior capacity; inoffensive; always behaved well; does

not seem to have had a bad character.

'J. B. Extremely ignorant; low habits.

'B. P. Quiet; slow in capacity and habits; shrewd in his way, and

W. T. Depraved; deceitful; full of pretence; obsequiously oblig-

ing; troublesomely forward in manners.

J. S. With me, still and almost dumb—he soon compelled the governor to order him to the cell for the most violent conduct.

'J. C. One of the very worst. Foolish; hardened; idle; lazy; and destitute of the wish to improve. In prison a corrupter.'

Judging from the notes which we have seen, her addresses to this strange auditory were formed upon a regular system, which was calculated to set before them that particular view of Christian truth which she thought best suited to their circumstances and comprehension. She principally urged three points. I.—
The inseparable connexion between sin and sorrow; the great fact, that, in spite of all the allurements and artful promptings of

temptation, misery 'doth vice, e'en as its shade, pursue,' and with the same certainty that effect follows cause in any of the physical operations of nature. This was a foundation upon which, before such an auditory, she might most safely build; and, whilst she reiterated the position in many varieties of expression, her hearers must have felt bitterly conscious that she was not dealing with ah imaginary case, but with a stern truth of which they were themselves the evidences and the victims. second point was, that there was a similar and equally indissoluble connexion between goodness and happiness. Station, wealth, and the pleasures of life, when viewed at a distance, seemed to lead to a different conclusion. They promised fairly, but if approached, or partaken of, it became evident that they excited hopes which it was not in their power to gratify, and that unless united to goodness, sorrow-was their inseparable adjunct. God is eternally happy only because He is immutably good, and man can procure exemption from misery only by attaining to freedom from the shackles of vice. III.—Her third point was -= to lead her auditors to the ever-open door of mercy, and, in ' glowing strains of Bible-eloquence, to invite, intreat, and urge them to enter in. The Almighty was held forth to them as desirous to communicate of his own sinless happy nature to all who came to Him as the willing servants of the crucified Redeemer; ready by his own Spirit to purity and guide them; to be to them as a hiding-place from trouble, a pavilion in which they should be kept secretly from the strife of tongues, a place of refuge in which they should be compassed about with songs of deliverance. Thus were the realities of their position traced to their fountain-head, a way of escape was pointed out, and, in the midst of their sin and shame, they were affectionately allured towards the service of God, as that which should give them freedom, peace, and happiness. There is reason to believe that these doctrines, urged with a kindly, warm-hearted sincerity, were eminently successful. The respect and attention which would not have been yielded to a preacher who had endeavoured to excite alarm by the enforcement of religious terrors, were willingly conceded to an instructor who sought to win them to a love of purity, by considerations which, without being directly personal, flowed naturally out of a knowledge of their feelings. The papers we have seen are, for the most part, mere skeletons or rough notes of sermons, and their entire publication would not be desirable; but in any more extended biography, a few extracts from them might be very usefully introduced.

In the year 1826, Sarah Martin's grandmother died, and she came into possession of an annual income of ten or twelve pounds.

derived from the investment of between two and three hundre ' pounds.' She then removed from Caister to Yarmouth, where she occupied two rooms in a house situated in a row in an obscure part of the town, and, from that time, devoted herself with increased energy to her philanthropic labours. A benevolent ladv. resident in Yarmouth, had for some years, with a view to securing her a little rest for her health's sake, given her one day in the weck, by compensating her for that day in the same way as if she had been engaged in dressmaking. With that assistance, and with a few quarterly subscriptions, 'chiefly 2s. 6d. each, for bibles, testaments, tracts, and other books for distribution,' she went on devoting every available moment of her life to her great purpose. But dressmaking, like other professions, is a jealous mistress; customers fell off, and, eventually, almost entirely disappeared. A question of anxious moment now presented itself, the determination of which is one of the most characteristic and memorable incidents of her life. Was she to pursue her benevolent labours, even although they led to utter poverty? Her little income was not more than enough to pay her lodgin and the expenses consequent upon the exercise of her charitable functions: and was actual destitution of ordinary necessaries to be submitted to? She never doubted; but her reasoning upon the subject presents so clear an illustration of the exalted character of her thoughts and purposes, and exhibits so eminent an example of Christian devotedness and heroism, that it would be an injustice to her memory not to quote it in her own words :-In the full occupation of dressmaking, I had care with it. and anxiety for the future; but as that disappeared, care fled also. God, who had called me into the vineyard, had said, "Whatsoever is right I will give you." I had learned from the scriptures of truth that I should be supported; God was my master, and would not forsake his servant; He was my father, and could not forget his child. I knew also that it sometimes seemed good in his sight to try the faith and 'patience of his servants, by bestowing upon them very limited means of support; as in the case of Naomi and Ruth; of the willow of Zarephath and Elijah; and my mind, in the contem-' plution of such trials, seemed exalted by more than human energy: for I had counted the cost, and my mind was made up. If. whilst imparting truth to others, I became exposed to temporal want, the privation so momentary to an individual, would not admit of comparison with following the Lord, in thus administer-'ing to others'—(Life, p. 30.)

Noble woman! A faith so firm, and so disinterested, might

ave removed mountains; a self-sacrifice founded upon such principles is amongst the most heroic of human achievements.

This appears to have been the busiest period of Sarah Martin's life. Her system, if we may so term it, of superintendence over the prisoners, was now complete. For six or seven hours daily she took her station amongst them; converting that which, without her, would have been, at best, a scene of dissolute idleness, into a hive of industry and order. We have already explained the nature of the employment which she provided for them; the manner of their instruction is described as follows: - Any who could not read I encouraged to learn, whilst others in my absence assisted them. They were taught to write 'also; whilst such as could write already, copied extracts from books lent to them. Prisoners who were able to read, com-' mitted verses from the Holy Scriptures to memory every day according to their ability or inclination. I, as an example, 'also committed a few verses to memory to repeat to them every day; and the effect was remarkable; always silencing excuse when the pride of some prisoners would have prevented their doing it. Many said at first, "It would be of no use;" and 'my reply was, "It is of use to me, and why should it not be 'so to you? You have not tried it, but I have." Tracts and children's books, and larger books, four or five in number, of which they were very fond, were exchanged in every room daily, whilst any who could read more, were supplied with ' larger books.'—(Life, p. 32.)

There does not appear to have been any instance of a prisoner long refusing to take advantage of this mode of instruction. Men entered the prison saucy, shallow, self-conceited, full of cavils and objections, which Sarah Martin was singularly clever in meeting; but in a few days the most stubborn, and those who had refused the most peremptorily, either to be employed or to be instructed, would beg to be allowed to take their part in the general course. Once within the circle of her influence, the effect was curious. Men old in years, as well as in crime, might be seen striving for the first time in their lives to hold a pen, or bending hoary heads over primers and spelling-books. or studying to commit to memory some precept taken from the Holy Scriptures. Young rascals, as impudent as they were ignorant, beginning with one verse went on to long passages; and even the dullest were enabled by perseverance to furnish their minds and memories with 'from two to five verses every day.' All these operations, it must be borne in mind, were carried on under no authority save what was derived from the teacher's

innate force of character. Aware of that circumstance, and that any rebellion would be fatal to her usefulness, she so contrived every exercise of her power as to 'make a favour of it,' knowing well that 'to depart from this course, would only be 'followed by the prisoners doing less, and not doing it well.'— (Life, p. 104.) The ascendancy she thus acquired was very singular. A general persuasion of the sincerity with which 'she watch'd, and wept, and pray'd, and felt for all,' rendered her the general depository of the little confidences, the tales of weakness, treachery, and sorrow, in the midst of which she stood! and thus she was enabled to fan the rising desire for emancipation, to succour the tempted, to encourage the timid, and put the erring in the way.

After the close of her labours at the jail, she proceeded, at one time of her life, to a large school which she superintended at the work-house, and afterwards, when that school was turned over to proper teachers, she devoted two nights in the week to a school for factory girls, which was held in the capacious chancel the old church of St Nicholas. There, or elsewhere, she was every thing. Other teachers would send their classes to stand by and listen, whilst Sarah Martin, in her striking and effective way, imparted instruction to the forty or fifty young women who were fortunate enough to be more especially her pupils. Every countenance was riveted upon her: and, as the questions went round, she would explain them by a piece of poetry, or an anecdote, which she had always ready at command, and, more especially, by Scripture illustration. Bible was, indeed, the great fountain of her knowledge and her power. For many years she read it through four times every year, and had formed a most exact Reference Book to its contents. Her intimate familiarity with its striking imagery and lofty diction, impressed a poetical character upon her own style, and filled her mind with exalted thoughts. After her class duties were over, there remained to be performed many offices of kindness, which with her were consequent upon the relation of teacher and pupil; there was personal communication with this scholar and with that; some inquiry here, some tale to listen to there; for she was never a mere schoolmistress, but always the friend and counsellor, as well as the instructor.

The evenings on which there was no tuition, were devoted by her to visiting the sick, either in the work-house, or through the town generally; and occasionally an evening was passed with some of those worthy people in Yarmouth by whom her labours were regarded with interest. Her appearance in any of their houses was the signal for a busy evening. Her benevolent smile

and quick active manner communicated her own cheerfulness and energy to every one around her. She never failed to bring work with her, and, if young people were present, was sure to employ them all. Something was to be made ready for the occupation of the prisoners, or for their instruction; patterns or copies were to be prepared, or old materials to be adjusted to some new use, in which last employment her ingenuity was preeminent. Odd pieces of woollen or cotton, scraps of paper, mere litters, things which other people threw away, it mattered not what, she always begged that such things might be kept for her, and was sure to turn them to some account. If, on such occasions, whilst every body else was occupied, some one would read aloud. Sarah Martin's satisfaction was complete; and at intervals, if there were no strangers present, or if such communication were desired, she would dilate upon the sorrows and sufferings of her guilty flock, and her own hopes and disappointments in connexion with them, in the language of simple, animated truth.

Her day was closed by no 'return to a cheerful fixede pre'pared by the cares of another,' but to her solitary apartments,
which she left locked up during her absence, and where 'most
'of the domestic offices of life were performed by her own
'hands.'* There she kept a copious record of her proceedings
in reference to the prisoners; notes of their circumstances and
conduct during such time as they were under her observation,
which generally extended long beyond the period of their imprisonment; with most exact accounts of the expenditure of the
little subscriptions before mentioned, and also of a small annual
payment from the British Ladies' Society, established by Mrs
Fry, and of all other monies committed to her in aid of any
branch of her charitable labours. These books of record and
account have been very properly preserved, and have been presented to a public library in Yarmouth.

During all this time she went on living upon her bare pittance; in a state of most absolute poverty, and yet of total unconcern as to her temporal support. Friends supplied many of her necessities by occasional presents; but, unless it was especially provided, 'This is not for your charities, but for your own exclusive use and comfort,' whatever was sent to her was given away to persons more destitute than herself. In this way she was furnished with clothes, and occasional presents were sent to her of bread, cheese, eggs, fruit, and other necessaries of a simple

^{*} Poems of S. Martin, p. x.

kind. Some members of the corporation were desirous that a pecuniary provision should be made for her out of 'the borough funds; but the proposal was soon laid aside, in deference to her own most strenuous opposition. In 1841, the question was renewed, and the wife of one of the magistrates wrote to her:— 'We consider it impossible, from the manner in which you live, 'that you can long continue your arduous labours at the jail, '&c. Mr — and myself will feel angry and hurt if you 'refuse to accept it. I must intreat you to do this,' &c.

Angry, forsooth! Poor lady! Sarah Martin's answer ran

'Here lies the objection which oppresses me: I have found voluntary instruction, on my part, to have been attended with great advantage; and I am apprehensive, that in receiving payment my labours may be less acceptable. I fear, also, that my mind would be fettered by pecuniary payment, and the whole work upset. To try the experiment, which might injure the thing I live and breathe for, seems like applying a knife to your hild's throat, to know if it will cut. Were you so angry as that I could not meet you, a merciful God and a good conscience would preserve my peace; when, if I ventured on what I believed would be prejudicial to the prisoners, God would frown upon me and my conscience too, and these would follow me every where. As for my circumstances, I have not a wish ungratified, and am more than content.'—(Life, p. 35.)

Such scruples should have been held sacred. Corporation gratitude should have been exhibited in some way which would not have excited a feeling of self-degradation; but, alas! a jail committee does not enter into questions of feeling. It was coarsely intimated to this high-souled woman, 'If we permit 'you to visit the prison you must submit to our terms,' (p. 36;) and these worshipful gentlemen, who were then making use of Sarah Martin as a substitute for the schoolmaster and the chaplain, whom it was by law their bounden duty to have appointed, converted her into their salaried servant by the munificent grant of L.12 per annum! If the domestic liberality of these gentlemen bears any proportion to their corporate generosity, one would be curious to know after what rate they remunerate their maids-of-all-work and their shop-boys.

Sarah Martin lived for two years in the receipt of this memorable evidence of corporation bounty. In the winter of 1842 her health began to fail, and it was with pain and difficulty that she continued, day by day, up to the 17th April 1843, to visit the jail, 'the home,' she says, 'cof my first interest and pleasure.' From that day she was confined to her apartments by a painful

disease, accompanied by extreme bodily weakness. But nothing could restrain the energy of her mind. In the seclusion of a solitary chamber, 'apart from all that could disturb, and in a 'universe of calm repose and peace and love;' when, speaking of herself and her condition, she remarked, in words of singular beauty,

So near the heavenly portals bright,
I catch the streaming rays that fly
From eternity's own light;'—

at such a time—she resumed the exercise of a talent for the writing of sacred poetry, which had been early developed, and had even been occasionally exercised in the midst of the occupations of her busy life. A selection from her poems is the second of the books named at the head of this article. The publication is a kind, but, as we think, not altogether a wise one. The fact that Sarah Martin wrote such poetry is important in her biography. It is deeply interesting to know, that after -- me of the most exciting incidents of her life-the establishment of a fund for the relief of prisoners after liberation—the death of her grandmother, and that of the father of a lad whom she had reclaimed—an opposition or a success which she met with in the jail-she could retire to her chamber and pour out her heart in strains of Christian praise and gratitude. above all things, interesting to be told that this brave woman could cheer the sacred loneliness of her entrance into the dark valley of the shadow of death, with songs of victory and triumph. The compositions here published not only prove all this, but they evidence the existence in the mind of their author of an unquestionable vein of real poetry. They exhibit some specimens of true poetic ore, and contain separate lines, and occasionally whole stanzas, which evidently came fresh from the mint of a strong mind and fervid heart. But her compositions have those defects, which mark the imitative and unpractised artist. They are the poems of one whose time was devoted to the acting of poetry rather than to the writing of it; and it would have been better if the author of the clever memoir which is prefixed to the volume before us, had interwoven such facts and lines as are worthy of being remembered, with a complete biography, rather than have published the whole poems in a separate volume.

Sarah Martin struggled against disease for many months, suffering intense agony, which was partially relieved by opiates. A few minutes before her death, she begged for more of the opiate, to still the racking to ture. The nurse told her that she believed the time of her departure had arrived. She,

clapping her hands together, exclaimed, 'Thank God! Thank God! and never spake more. This was on the 15th October 1843. She was buried at Caister, by the side of her grandmother; and a tombstone in the churchyard bears a simple inscription, written by herself, which commemorates her death and age, but says not a word of her many virtues. The Yarmouth corporation ought to creet a tablet to her memory; either in the jail, or in the chancel of the church of St Nicholas, in which she taught her class of factory girls. Her services, and the debt of gratitude which the whole town owes to her, will not be forgotten, although no marble tell the tale: but such a monument, if erected by the corporation, would relieve them from the suspicion that they were as ignorant of the moral worth, as they were of the money value, of such labours as Sarah Martin's. Since her death, the corporation has been compelled to appoint both a jail-chaplain and a schoolmaster.

The length to which a detail of individual cases would necessarily run, alone deters us from quoting many instances in which there can be no doubt that Sarah Martin's labours were followed by most happy results. We will give a few cases:—

'B. B., age about twenty-three. Could neither read nor write. Offence, smuggling. After the lapse of twelve years from his imprisonment, Sarah Martin writes, "He entirely learned to read and write in prison, and immediately after his discharge left off smuggling. He wrote to me afterwards, and expressed the comfort he found in being able to write. • • • • I have heard from him many times. He sails in a small vessel from Dunkirk to London, to sell butter and eggs."

'R., E. C., and four others. Offence, smuggling. Had been in prison before for the same offence. Were supported in Yarmouth jail by a band or club of smugglers. After the lapse of four years, this is Sarah Martin's report :- "E. C. had a wife and six children in Harwich, where they now live. The profits of smuggling were tempting, but he afterwards told me he found it impossible, as he then viewed the thing, to engage in the traffic again, and abandoned it. Since his discharge, I have received four letters; two written by him, one by his wife, and another written partly by him and partly by his wife, Also, I have seen him twice, when the schooner to which he belongs sailed through Yarmouth Roads. By him I was informed, in August last, that the five who were in prison with him had all left off smuggling. He gave me a satisfactory account of each. These men, when I took leave of them, seemed reluctant in promising to give up a profession of fraud, involving habitual lying, &c. &c., yet allowed me to believe that, ceasing to reconcile them to its principles, they wished, and would not be unwilling, to do it " She writes subsequently: -- " February 5, 1840. - This morning. R. the former master of the smuggling vessel called upon me, being he first time he has been in Yarmouth since his discharge. is now master of the St Leonard, a respectable merchant-ship.

gratitude for what he thought his obligation to me, led him to bring from France a present of a vase covered with shells, and a curious glass hox. He was fourteen months without a vessel after his discharge, with a wife and family to support, and desiring to get free from the traffic of

smuggling."

'R. M., aged seventeen; offence, felony; six months in jail. Former character, idle and profligate. After three and a half years, she writes: "Effectually reclaimed. After considerable perseverance, he obtained a gentleman's service, and has earned his living respectably and honestly ever since. He is now butler in a gentleman's family. I frequently saw him before he left Yarmouth. Have seen him twice since, when he came to see his mother and grandmother, and continue to hear of him twice or more every year."

'S. B., aged thirty-nine, charged with felony. Could neither read nor write. Accounted a disorderly person and a thief, and had been in prison before. After three and a half years. "Perfectly reclaimed. She has never been guilty of any immoral practice since, and seems to have been the means of reclaiming her husband, whose former character was had. I see her every month or two. She has suffered much from

poverty and illness, without complaint."

'A.B.; offence, felony. After two and a half years. "Since his discharge he has conducted himself well towards his family, and borne an honest character. He keeps cows, and carries about milk to sell. His wife told me last week, it was a good thing her husband learned to read in the jail, as he now takes up a book of an evening; and it was a good thing he learned to write too, because he can now keep his accounts, and write his milk bills."

'T. B., aged eighteen; offence, felony. Five months in Yarmouth jail, and afterwards in the Penitentiary at Milbank. After nine and a half years. "After his return from the Penitentiary, he immediately called upon me. His parents were poof, living in a row, and keeping a small vegetable shop. With no character, he seemed destitute. His next step was this: he went to his father's, and took a small box, which he had left locked up, containing L.102 and some shillings, and carried it to his master, from whom it had been stolen. Mr D. entreated him to take L 5 of the sum returned, but could not prevail; all he accepted was the odd L.2 and shillings, saying, 'Sir, I robbed you of more than that.' The circumstance became public. Mr B., tailor and salesman, took him for two years to learn his trade. He conducted himself better, Mr B. informed me, than any former apprentice. Since then, he has been married to a young woman who was taught by me in a Sunday school, and by honourable and successful industry supports himself, by keeping a respectable little shop as a tailor and salesman in the ——.""

Such cases, which are as instructive as they are interesting, might be multiplied manyfold out of the papers of Sarah Martin.

^{*} Fifth Report of Inspectors of Prisons, p. 124.

If they exhibit the results of careful, kindly prison instruction, every one would wish that such instruction could be rendered universal. With such cases before us, who shall doubt that many of the ignorant and the weak, those who have failed in their duty to their neighbour, because they have been permitted to go forth into the world unarmed against its temptations, and uninstructed in their duties, are still within reach of the reclaiming efforts of active benevolence? But such cases give no encouragement to any cold philanthropy, if any such can be; nor to any kind but weak enthusiasm, which seeks for proofs of amendment of life in the mere raptures of excited feeling; nor to that proud and condescending bounty, which chills even whilst it overpowers with a multiplicity of obligations. Sarah Martin governed these people, and reformed them, as their cases testify, not merely by instructing them in useful arts, and inculcating in their minds right principles of duty and action, and informing their understandings as to their real interests; but more especially by opening her own heart them, by entering with warm and genuine sympathy into their real feelings and condition, and by aiding them in devising and carrying out measures of true practical amelioration, suited to their circumstances, and their habits of thought and feeling. She did not shower down bounties as from a heaven above, but, placing herself upon a par with them in every thing but their guilt, was ever ready to drop a tear over their misery, and to join with them heart and soul to procure relief. They who would obtain Sarah Martin's success must feel her sympathy, and acquire her true practical wisdom.

> 'The high desire that others may be blest, Savours of heaven.'

The words are her own, and her life was a comment upon them. 'Her simple, unostentatious, yet energetic, devotion to 'the interests of the outcast and the destitute,' remarks Captain. Williams, one of the inspectors of prisons, who had many opportunities of judging of her labours, and whose experience gives great value to his testimony—'her gentle disposition, her temper 'never irritated by disappointment, nor her charity straitened by 'ingratitude, present a combination of qualities which imagination sometimes portrays as the ideal of what is pure and beautiful, but which are rarely found embodied with humanity.* . . . She was no titular Sister of Charity, but was silently felt and acknowledged to be one, by the many

[•] Letter of Captain Williams .- Life, p. 126.

outcast and destitute persons who received encouragement from her lips, and relief from her hands, and by the few who

' were witnesses of her good works.' *

It is the business of Literature to make such a life stand out from the masses of ordinary existences, with something of the distinctness with which a lofty building uprears itself in the confusion of a distant view. It should be made to attract all eyes, to excite the hearts of all persons who think the welfare of their fellow-mortals an object of interest or duty; it should be included in collections of biography, and chronicled in the high places of history; men should be taught to estimate it as that of one whose philanthropy has entitled her to renown, and children to associate the name of Sarah Martin with those of Howard, Buxton, Fry—the most benevolent of mankind.

ART. IV.—Essai sur les Ecoles Philosophiques chez les Arabes. Par Auguste Schmölders. 8vo. Paris: 1842.

The basis of modern culture has been, and must ever be, rested on the immertal writings bequeathed to us by Greece and Rome. They have the glorious privilege of being always young—the teachers of generation after generation. Other ancient states can offer us little but curiosities. We may penetrate into the chambers of the Pyramids; we may wonder at the relics of the swarthy, taciturn, monumental race of Egypt; we may accumulate fragments of the lore of Ind; we may collect antiquities from China, from Arabia, or from Yucatan; but our best endcavours in these directions accomplish little more than the gratification of a dilettante curiosity. Of them we never ask, 'Watchman, how goes the night?' To them we never recur for instruction on the topics which have vital and perpetual interest for mankind.

This has become so thorough a conviction amongst all but professed archæologists, that the recent historians of ancient philosophy have quietly eliminated all oriental speculations as having exercised no real influence on the development of European culture. And this is the cause of that neglect of Arabian Philosophy, complained of by M. Schmölders, in the admirable work which we have placed at the head of this article. While all Europe

^{*} Eighth Report of Inspectors of Prisons, p. 182.

resounds with the fame of the splendid achievements of Arabian arms, none but a few oriental scholars now bestow a thought upon Arabian Philosophy. In spite of the gratitude due to the Arabs as the great depositories of Grecian lore, during the dark ages; in spite of the romantic interest attached to the people and their history, not one lettered European in five thousand cares for their philosophy. And the explanation is simple: the Arabian philosophy lies beside the sphere of European development; has not influenced it, cannot influence it. What the Arabs have taken from the Greeks to blend with Islamism, we have taken from the Greeks to blend with Christianity; every thing, therefore, that is novel to us, in 'their speculations, is repugnant. Were it not so, abundant translations would long ere this have gratified our curiosity.

The reader will easily guess, from what we have been saying, that it is not our intention in the present article to oppress him with any thing so uninteresting as a dissertation on Arabian Philosophy would in all probabilitation out. Our purpose is rather to present an outline of the history of an individual mind. Whatever may be the indifference felt towards a nation, all men feel attracted towards an individual. And upon this ground the work of M. Schmölders deserves attention, since it presents us with the entire translation of a very singular treatise, hitherto known only to a few Arabic scholars, which contains the history of a philosophic life. Considering the great risk such a work runs of never extending beyond the small circle of oriental scholars, we trust to earn the thanks of our readers for directing their attention to it.

M. Schmölders is a German, but has written his essay in French, thus placing it before all cultivated men. Its principal attraction is the translation of the treatise of Algazzāli—'ce qui 'sauve des égarements, et ce qui éclaircit les Ravissements,'—with the Arabic text. But it also contains an account of the various sects of philosophy among the Arabs; and an outline of the doctrines of Algazzāli himself. The reputation of M. Schmölders is a guarantee for the fidelity of his translation; and his book exhibits all the patient industry which Germans usually bring to their tasks, unencumbered by their ordinary defects of rash generalization, and idle subtleties. Indeed, we have rather a complaint to make against the deficiency of any thing like philosophical use of his materials. He has not * pointed out the

^{*} A beginning has been made in This direction by Mr Thompson, of the Bengal Civil Service, in the introduction and notes annexed to his translation of the Akh-lāk-Jalāli, for which we have to thank the Ori-

mixture of the Oriental and Greek elements in the Arabian systems; though for this we should have been thankful; as also for a passing indication of the numberless anticipations of more modern speculations which are to be detected in the Arabian.

But to Algazzāli:—There are few persons who have not heard something about this pillar of the Mosque—this light of Islam, who, under the names of Gazzali, Ghazail, Algazel, and Algazzāli, is so often spoken of by writers on Arabia; and who was known long ago to the schoolmen, through the writings of his adversary, Abou Roshd (Averroës;) and through a bad translation of his 'Rules of Science:' Logica et Philosophia Algazelis Arabis, by Petrus Liechtenstein, Cologne, 1506. But these sources are altogether insufficient to furnish any idea of his philosophy. He is frequently cited both by Pococke and by Sale.

Aboû Hâmid Mohammed was born in the city of Tous, A. D. 1058. He was the son of a dealer in cotton thread, $(Gazz\hat{a}l_{1})$ whence his name. Losing his father in early life, he was confided to the care of a Soufi, (a stic,) whose influence extended through his subsequent career, as we shall see. On finishing his studies, he was appointed professor of theology at Bagdad. Here he achieved such splendid success, that all the Imaums became his zealous partisans. So great indeed was his renown, so ardent the admiration he inspired, that the Mussulmans sometimes said, 'If all Islam were to be destroyed, it would be but a slight loss, provided Algazzāli's work on the Revivitica-'tion of the Sciences of Religion were preserved.' Of this remarkable man, we have now before us a remarkable treatise. for the first time translated, wherein is given the history of his mind in the pursuit of truth; and for which we can find no better title than that affixed to the posthumous work of Coleridge: Confessions of an Inquiring Spirit.' It bears a very striking resemblance to the 'Discours sur la Méthode,' and 'Médita-

ental Translation Fund. The original is a Persian treatise on Mahommedan Ethics: was composed towards the end of the fifteenth century: and is of great authority over Middle Asia. It is a great addition to our knowledge of Mahommedanism, and of the spirit of its philosophy. In the first volume of M. Libri's very remarkable work on the History of the Mathematical Sciences in Italy, he notices rapidly the great sources and channels of Arabic learning; and states that M. Pallia, a young Orientalist of Piedmont, was then preparing a treatise on the philosophy of the Arabs. If it has been since published, we have not had the good fortune to see it.

'tions' of Descartes, as the reader will perceive from the follow-

ing analysis.

After describing how from his earliest youth his spirit had been vexed by the mysterious problems of existence; how, like Descartes, he had in vain interrogated each sect for a distinct and satisfactory reply; and how he had finally resolved to throw off all authority, and to free himself from all the opinions which had been instilled into him during the unsuspecting years of youth—how in short he had resolved to begin, like Descartes, with universal doubt, he says:—

'I said to myself: my aim is simply to know the truth of things; consequently, it is indispensable for me to ascertain what is knowledge. Now, it was evident to me that certain knowledge must be that which explains the object to be known, in such a manner that no doubt can remain, so that in future all error and conjecture respecting it must be impossible. Not only would the understanding then need no efforts to be convinced of certitude; but security against error is in such close connexion with knowledge, that even were an apparent proof of its falsehood brought forward, would nevertheless cause no doubt, no suspicion of error being possible. Thus, when I have acknowledged ten to be more than three, if any one were to say, "On the contrary, "three is more than ten; and, to prove the truth of my assertion, I will "change this rod into a serpent;" and if he were to change it, my conviction of his error would remain unshaken. His manœuvre would only produce in me admiration for his ability; I should not doubt my own knowledge.

Then was I convinced that all knowledge which I did not possess in this manner, and respecting which I had not this certainty, could inspire me with neither confidence nor assurance; and all knowledge

without assurance is not knowledge.'

One scems to hear Descartes speaking. Yet there can be no suspicion of imitation on his part, this work of Algazzāli being in every shape unknown even to the schoolmen. The confession proceeds thus:—

Ilaving examined my knowledge, I found myself divested of all that could be said to have these qualities, unless perceptions of the senses and irrefragable principles were to be considered such. I then said to myself: now having fallen into this despair, the only hope remaining of acquiring incontestible convictions is, by the perception of the senses and by necessary truths. Their evidence seemed to me indubitable. I began, however, to examine the objects of sensation and speculation, to see if they could possibly admit of doubt. Then doubts crowdéd upon me, in such numbers that my incertitude became complete. Whence results the confidence I have in sensible things? The strongest of all our senses is sight; and yet looking at a shadow, and perceiving it to be fixed and immovable, we judge it to be deprived of movement; nevertheless experience teaches us that, when we return to the same

place an hour after, the shadow is displaced; for it does not vanish suddenly, but gradually, little by little, so as to be never at rest.* If we look at the stars, they seem as small as money-pieces; but mathematical proofs convince us that they are larger than the earth. These and other things are judged by the senses, but rejected as false by reason. I abandoned the senses, therefore; having seen all my confidence in their truth shaken.

Perhaps, said I, there is no assurance but in the notions of reason—that is to say, first principles. Such as, ten is more than three; the same thing cannot have been created and yet have existed from all eternity; to exist, and not to exist, at the same time, is impossible.

'The senses replied: what assurance have you that your confidence in first principles is not of the same nature as your confidence in us? When you relied on us, reason stepped in and gave us the lie; had not reason been there, you would have continued to rely upon us. Well, may there not exist some other judge superior to reason, who, if he appeared, would refute the judgments of reason in the same way that reason refuted us? The non-appearance of this judge does not prove his non-existence.'

The student of ancient philosophy will recognise the arguments of the sceptics in these passages. Indeed, these two ideas may be regarded as the pith of the sceptical argument; and Sextus Empiricus is but a voluminous expansion of these two ideas.† The reader, however, suspects that Algazzāli, like Descartes, only exposes the sceptical arguments that he may triumph over them; and, like Descartes, he finds in sleep fresh arguments against belief:—

'I strove in vain to answer the objections. And my difficulties increased when I came to reflect upon sleep. I said to myself: during sleep you give to visions a reality and consistence, and you have no suspicion of their untruth. On awakening, you are made aware that they were nothing but visions. What assurance have you that all you feel and know when awake does actually exist? It is all true as respects your condition at that moment; but it is nevertheless possible that another condition should present itself, which should be to your awakened state, that which your awakened state now is to your sleep; so that, in respect to this higher condition, your waking is but sleep.'

^{*} How very oriental this illustration! Descartes, though his argument is the same, gives it his own peculiar form; as unlike that of Algazzāli, as an European is to an Arab.

Algazzāli, as an European is to an Arab.

† We do not thereby imply that the work of Sextus Empiricus is not of very great importance; as an arsenal of sceptical weapons, as a trustworthy collection of the opinions of ancient philosophers, it will always maintain a high rank.

How often has that thought presented itself to reflective minds! Sometimes in mere philosophical fantasy, as in Shakspeare; sometimes in gloomy splendour, as in the famous burst of Calderon, Qué es la vida? and sometimes in bitter despondency, as in the words attributed to Pythagoras, by Clemens Alexandrinus: θανατος ἐστιν, ὁποσα ἐγερθεντες ὁρεομεν ὁποσα δὶ εὐδοντες, ὑπνος. But in Algazzāli this reflection reveals the outlet from scepticism. If there is such a superior condition, in which our waking state will be regarded as sleep, can we, he asks, ever attain to any participation in it? He suspects that the ecstasy described by the Soufis must be that condition. But he is unable to escape the consequences of scepticism. The sceptical arguments could only be refuted by demonstrations; and demonstrations must be grounded upon first truths. If, therefore, the first truths were uncertain, no demonstration can be irresistible. He adds:—

'I was thus forced to return to the admission of intellectual notions as the basis of all certitude.' This, however, was not by systematic reasoning, and accumulation of proofs, but by a flash of light which God sent into my soul. For whoever imagines that truth can only be rendered evident by proofs, places narrow limits to the wide compassion of the Creator.'

In other words, he escaped the consequences of scepticism, not by refuting them, but, as the Alexandrian philosophers had escaped them, by taking refuge in the higher region of Faith. Here we have to note the wide divergence from the route taken by Descartes, which hitherto Algazzāli had so steadily pursued. Descartes proceeded from certain intellectual notions as the source of all truth; but he founded their credibility not on any revelation from above, but on the revelation from within—the clear, indisputable, indestructible evidence of consciousness.

Algazzāli having thus evaded scepticism, cast his eyes around him, and reviewed the various sects of the faithful, whom he ranged under four heads:—I. The Dogmatists, who ground their doctrines purely upon reason. II. The Bastints (allegorists,) who receive their doctrine from an Imam, and believe themselves the sole possessors of the truth. III. The Philosophers, who call themselves masters of Logic and Demonstration. IV. The Soufis, who pretend to an immediate intuition, and who perceive the real manifestations of truth as common men perceive material phenomena. These various systems he undertook to thoroughly master.

The Dogmatists came first. In their writings he acknowledged that their aim was realized; but their aim was not his'Their aim is the preservation of the Faith from the alterations introduced by heretics. God, through the mouth of his prophet, has given a rule of faith, which contains the truth respecting all that has relation to man's temporal and spiritual happiness. The Koran promulgates the rule—Satan has suggested to the heretics doctrines contrary to tradition. By these, the heretics have almost succeeded in altering the true faith. Therefore, God has raised a sect of dogmatists, burning with desire to defend the old traditions by systematic reasonings, and to expose the artifices and disguises fabricated by the heretics.'

In short, the Dogmatists played the same part as was subsequently played in the middle ages by orthodox schoolmen. They could have but little influence, therefore, on Algazzāli, who was prepared to admit only primitive ideas as the premises of all reasoning. His object in fact was philosophical, theirs theological. So he turned to the philosophers, and studied their writings with intense ardour, convinced that he could not refute them until he had first thoroughly understood them. A considerable portion of the present treatise is occupied with a refutation of these writers, but we cannot stop to notice it. We believe it differs very little from the refutation contained in his celebrated treatise Destructio Philosophorum, which is printed together with the Destructio Destructionis in the ninth volume of Averroës' Works, where the curious reader may consult it, if he have sufficient patience to endure such an amount of quibbling subleties, wiredrawn distinctions, and idle syllogisms; a patience, we must own, that has not fallen to our share. A Latin translation of it was published at Venice, in 1560.

Finding all the philosophical schools incompetent to aid him, Algazzāli turned to the writings of the Soufis. He there found a doctrine which required the union of action with speculation in which virtue was the guide to knowledge. Their aim was to free the mind from earthly considerations, to purify it from all passions, to leave it only God as a subject for meditation. There he learned the great principle of Soufism, viz., that the highest truths are not to be attained by study, but by transport—by a transformation of the soul during ecstasy. There is the same difference between this higher perception of truth and ordinary science, as between being healthy and knowing the definition of health. It is related by Avicenne, who was born in the preceding century, that, when he could not find his way out of a logical difficulty, he used to repair to the Mosque and pray for illumination. The knowledge which he thus acquired, he received as a communication from Heaven. ports his own proceedings as very unsatisfactory for a time.

Then it became apparent to me that the Soufis were men of intui-

tion, and not men of words. I saw that I had learned all that could be learned of Soufism by study; and that the rest could only be attained by abandoning myself to ecstasy and living a pious life. The different branches of knowledge which I had cultivated, and the various methods I had pursued in religious and philosophical enquiries, had inspired me with a devout faith in God, in his prophet, and in the last day. These three fundamental dogmas were indelibly impressed upon my soul, not by means of any precise argument, but by circumstances and experiences impossible for me here to detail. I was convinced that we can only aspire to happiness in this world by subduing the soul, and turning it aside from concupiscence; and that the most important of all things was to extirpate from it the attachment to this world; and humbly to direct our thoughts to our eternal home. Reflecting then upon my situation, I found myself bound to this world by a thousand ties; temptations assailed me on all sides. I then examined my actions. best were those relating to instruction and education; and even there I saw myself given up to unimportant sciences, all useless in another Reflecting on the aim of my teaching, I found it was not pure in the sight of the Lord. I saw that all my efforts were directed towards the acquisition of glory to myself."

Long was he tormented with this idea. But he struggled against it in vain; the world enchained him, and the world's applause subdued his wavering repentance. The morning saw him clad in heavenly confidence, and resolute in his newly awakened ambition. The evening left him vanquished by his passions, a slave to the world's good will. In his heart alternately whispered the two voices, and one said:—

Forerun thy peers, thy time, and let Thy feet, millenniums hence, be set In midst of knowledge dream'd not yet.

Thou hast not gain'd a real height, Nor art thou nearer to the light, Because the scale is infinite.'

An accident terminated the struggle. As he was one day about to lecture to his accustomed auditors, his tongue fefused utterance: he was dumb! He looked on this as a visitation of God, and was deeply afflicted at it. He lost all appetite. His frame sank slowly. The physicians declared his recovery hopeless unless he could shake off his deep-seated sadness:—

Then, feeling my helplessness, I had recourse to God, as one who has no other resource in his distress. He compassionated me, as he compassionates the unhappy who invoke him. My heart no longer made any resistance, but willingly renounced the glories and the pleasures of this world. * *

'Having distributed my wealth, I left Bagdad and retired into Syria; where I remained two years in solitary struggle with my soul, combating my passions, and exercising myself in the purification of my heart, and in preparation for the other world. I frequented the mosque at Damas, and there was wont to mount the tower and remain alone all day in prayer! I also visited Jerusalem, and made the pilgrimage to Mecca. Then the urgent requests of my children, and some affairs of my own, made me return to my country, in spite of the resolution I had formed never to revisit it. Solitude had augmented my desire of living in retreat, there to complete the purification of my heart, and rightly dispose it for meditation.'

This looks like a bit of sophistication; though he may perhaps have deceived himself. Solitude had evidently failed to realize his expectations. He was restless, could not remain in one spot, longed again to mingle in the busy haunts of men, longed again to hear their 'sweet voices' ringing praises in his ears; and consequently returned to Bagdad on the first good He says, indeed, that many vicissitudes, family affairs, and want of means of subsistence, frustrated his design of living a purely solitary life; but what would a genuine ascetic say to this? What could vicissitudes, family affairs, or even want, be to one who had really banished the world from his heart? The very excuse condemns him. It is clear he was never meant for an ascetic; his best endeavours failed to overcome the potent fascinations of the world. He confesses, perhaps in lieu of explanation, that he had not perfectly attained to the ecstatic state.

We have already more than once alluded to this strange doctrine of ecstasy, which the Arabs unquestionably borrowed from the Alexandrians; it may be necessary here in a few words to explain what that doctrine was. The soul was regarded as an emanation from the divine intelligence; and this soul, as finite, could only know finite things, because knowledge and being are identical, according to Plotinus. For the human intelligence to comprehend, to know the divine intelligence, it is absolutely necessary that it should be that divine intelligence; and this not simply as an emanation from it, but as an identification with it. In a word, the soul must lose its personality. The act in which the soul divests itself of its personality is called ecstasy. In this ecstasy the soul is loosened from its corporeal prison, is separated from individual consciousness, and becomes absorbed in the infinite intelligence from which it emanated. It is a flash of rapturous light, in which reminiscence is changed into intuition, in which the captive soul is given back to its parent, to its God. Algazzāli had failed in his endeavours to exalt his soul to

such a rapturous condition. Occasional glimpses of its glory were all he could attain; isolated hours of exaltation passing quickly away—

'Nevertheless I did not despair of finally attaining this state. Every time that any accident turned me from it, I endeavoured quickly to re-enter it. In this condition I remained ten years. In my solitude there were revelations made to me, which it is impossible for me to describe, or even to indicate. Enough, if, for the reader's profit, I declare that the conviction was forced upon me that the Soufis indubitably walked in the true paths of salvation. Their way of life is the most beautiful, their morals are the purest, that can be conceived.'

Is there not something very curious in this picture of a semimystic, unable altogether to abandon himself to the splendid illusions of mysticism, and yet dazzled by their splendour, and earnestly exhorting all men to approach it? His description of the method pursued by the Soufis in their purification, is worth transcribing:—

The first condition is, that the novice purge his heart of all that is not God. The means consist in humble prayers which escape from the fervent soul in its meditations upon God, wherein the heart must be entirely absorbed. The object of Soufism, at least as much of it as we may be permitted to reveal, is absorption in the Deity. But, in reality, this is but the beginning of the Soufic state.

'From the very first, the Soufis have such astonishing revelations, that they are enabled while waking to see visions of angels and the souls of the prophets; they hear their voices and receive their favours. Afterwards, a transport exalts them beyond the mere perception of forms, to a degree which exceeds all expression, and concerning which, we cannot speak without employing language that would seem blasphemous. In fact, some have gone so far as to imagine themselves to be amalgamated with God, others to be identified with him, and others to be associated with him. All these are sinful.'

It would thus appear that there was a wide distinction between the absorption in the Deity during ecstasy, and the amalgamation, or identification with the Deity, boasted of by some of the ardent Soufis. And we were sometime before we could understand this distinction; nor can we feel very positive that we apprehend it now. We would therefore simply suggest, that the distinction is between a transitory and a permanent state. Plotinus tells us that ecstasy is not a permanent faculty, like reason: it is essentially transitory; and consequently, the absorption in the infinite which takes place during ecstasy, must be transitory also. The bolder Soufis, however, seem to have gone beyond this, and to have declared that their absorption was permanent.

So far Algazzāli refused to accompany them; and it is interesting to see him shrinking from consequences which could not subsequently arrest Spinoza or Hegel. In the East, this doctrine of absorption,—a spiritual Pantheism,—has always been a favourite; yet we see an Eastern thinker afraid to accept its consequences, which some Northerns have resolutely proclaimed as the aim of all philosophy. Algazzāli's good sense and piety were stronger than his logic; and he shrunk back.

Algazzāli declines giving any more detailed account of the ecstasy. He says it is enough to assert, that whose knows not this transport, knows prophetism only by name. But even those who have never experienced it, will acknowledge its existence if

they have had any intercourse with the Soufis.

He explains the nature of prophetism, as the fourth stage of intellectual development. The first stage is that of simple sensation. About the age of seven, the second, that of understanding, developes itself. This is followed by a third reason, in which man perceives the necessary, the possible, the absolute, and all those higher objects which he knew not in the other stages. We need only allude to the similarity of this psychology to that of Kant, whose three elements, Sunlichkeit—Verstand—and Vernunft, are here anticipated. And still more curious is it to observe Algazzāli not stopping where Kant stopped, but proceeding, in anticipation of Schelling and Hegel, to introduce a fourth stage, which is superior to reason and irrespective of logic, viz., that of intellectual intuition. He says:—

After this comes a new period, when another eye is opened by which, man perceives things hidden from others—perceives all that will be—perceives things which escape the perception of reason, as the objects of reason escape the understanding, and as the objects of understanding escape the sensitive faculty.'

There is this peculiarity in Algazzāli, that he regards the existence of this faculty as demonstrable; Platinus and Schelling are content to assume it. Here is a singular passage:—

Doubt respecting prophetism must refer either to its possibility or to its reality. To prove its possibility, it is only necessary to prove that it belongs to the category of objects of knowledge which cannot be regarded as the products of intelligence: such, for example, as astronomy and medicine. For whose studies these sciences, is aware that they cannot be comprehended except by divine inspiration, with the assistance of God, and not by experience (1) Since there are astronomical indications (phenomena) which only appear once in a thousand years,—how could they be known by experience?* The properties of medicine are

^{*} This passage is at the service of Dr Whewell, in support of his ar-

of the same nature. From this argument it is evident, that it is very possible to perceive things which the intelligence cannot conceive. And this is precisely one of the properties of prophetism, which has a myriad other properties; but the others are only perceptible during ecstasy, by those who lead the life of the Soufis.'

In this way he establishes, that there are more things betwixt heaven and earth than are dreamt of in our philosophy; and that as philosophy, from its very constitution, cannot embrace these things, some other aid must be sought. To this had his enquiries brought him! After running the round of philosophy-after having wasted the best years of his life in earnest study of the various solutions offered by his predecessors, and still finding the great problem unsolved, he was forced to pronounce philosophy incompetent, and to seek in some higher faculty than reason the solution of his doubts. The intellectual intuition, or ecstasy of the Soufis, was to him a sort of revelation. Nor is this doctrine of intellectual intuition incapable of consistent explanation, wild as it may seem. Once admit that the human mind, 'in the spacious circuit of its musing, can extend its excursions into the supersonsual and supernotional regions, (an assumption upon which all metaphysics repose;) and then admit that reason is nocompetent guide into these illimitable spheres, (an admission which the whole history of opinion, no less than the arguments of sceptics, forces upon the mind;) and what can be more natural than that, if you are unwilling to renounce the search, you should conclude the only method must be by an exaltation of the mind from out of its accustomed sphere? With ordinary faculties you have failed; you must therefore try the extraordinary. You conclude that you will rise above humanity by casting off your imperfections, by fasting, by subjugation of the passions, by purging your heart from all mundane desires, so as to leave unclouded all your intellectual faculties. Unhappily, when men endeavour to raise themselves above humanity, they always grovel below it.

In thus attempting to render these doctrines consistent, the reader will not suspect us of any wish to support them. Our exposition of the system has, we trust, absolved us from the idle task of criticism. We before hinted the object of this paper to be biographical and historical rather than philosophical; and as the biographical interest of Algazzāli's treatise ceases at this point, we may conclude with the examination of a few points of historical interest raised by M. Schmölders' publication.

guments for that independence of all experience which he claims for certain truths.

Soufism was not a system of philosophy: Neither was it a religious sect. No Mussulman, according to M. Schmölders, ever regarded it as either one or the other. It was simply a rule of life carried out by a sort of monastic order. The aim, therefore, of Algazzāli's treatise, which has just been analysed, was not simply to inculcate Soufism; it aspired to no less than the reconciliation of philosophy with religion; and used Soufism as a powerful instrument. This was a magnificent, but almost impossible undertaking in his day. The Arabs were then deeply engrossed by the speculations of the Greek philosophers; and had borrowed from them scepticism, sophism, idealism, and materialism. The various sects whose idle disputations had vexed ancient Greece, were revived upon another and a kindred soil. Algazzāli had studied their writings; admitted the force of some of their reasonings; admitted the incompetence of philosophy, and saw that another course was necessary. Aristotle could not aid him. Plato was more congenial; but Plotinus, the Egyptian Plato, as he was called, either directly or indirectly, through the Soufis, pointed out to him an issue from his doubts.

Algazzāli had thus, in common with all the Arabian philosophers, formed a system which was partly Greek and partly Oriental; and we must regard it as a serious deficiency in M. Schmölders' work that he has given us no means of separating the Greek element from the Oriental. It would have formed a piquant subject for his sagacity and erudition. The question would be far easier of decision than at first appears, if it were rightly investigated. But it could only be settled by one learned in Arabic lore; for we believe that the oriental element was simply Arabian; no other oriental doctrines could have gained

admission.

There are but two great epochs in the intellectual development of the Arabs: the appearance of Mahomet, and the conquest of Alexandria. The former gave them a religion; the latter gave them a philosophy. The doctrines of the Koran and those of the Alexandrian school were then blended in various ways, and the result was Arabian philosophy; precisely as the doctrines of Christianity, blended with the doctrines of the Greek philosophers, produced the Schoolmen.

'Alexandrie devenue arabe,' says the learned M. Ampère, in his Voyage et Recherches en Egypte;' ne cessa pas tout d'abord d'être grecque; car la science grecque subsista en partie au sein des populations musulmanes, et fit presque

^{*} Publishing in the Revue des Deux Mondes. September 1846.

'toute leur civilisation.' And M. Schmölders, at the very outset, stops to warn us that we can never speak of an Arabian philosophy, in the strict sense of the word, as we speak of a Greek or a German philosophy. 'Every time I use the ex-'pression,' he says, 'I must be only understood to speak of the Greek philosophy as the Arabs cultivated it.' It is necessary, we conceive, to limit the expression still more, and to understand by it simply the Greek philosophy 'as interpreted by the The Arabs knew very little of the original ' Alexandrians.' works. Even Aristotle, whom they styled the philosopher, and whose works they subsequently translated and commented on with such pious zeal, was for a long while only known to them through the Alexandrians. Plato and Pythagoras were at no period much known to them directly; and from their confusion of the Sophists with the Sceptics, we may gather that these thinkers were also only known through tradition.

This observation, by which we meant to simplify the question, may indeed only serve to obscure it, if the Alexandrian school be supposed to have an Egyptian element in it; because in that case Arabian philosophy would be a compound of Arabian, of Grecian, and of Egyptian doctrines. But we side with, we believe, the best modern authorities, in rejecting the notion of a proper Egyptian element in Alexandrian philosophy; and the present opportunity of briefly discussing that question is too tempting

for us to resist.

For centuries it continued an undisputed assertion that Plotinus, Porphyry, and the rest, were deeply impregnated with Egyptian doctrines. Modern researches have led to a different conclusion; and although it becomes us not to pronounce dogmatically on so vexed a question, we do not hesitate in ranging ourselves on the side of the moderns. As Egypt becomes better known, many ancient prejudices vanish. It has been for ages the old wonder-land whence superstitious ingenuity has derived the invention of all arts and sciences. To the ancient Greeks it was the mother of all wonders. No philosopher could raise himself into prominent distinction, but he must at once be supposed to have learned his wisdom from the Egyptians. Even the imaginary race of Pigmies (in whose existence men firmly believed for several centuries) could have no birth-place or dwelling-place but somewhere near the source of the Nile.* The dark mysterious Egyptians, with their wonderful talent

^{*} Aristotle, with the utmost gravity, assumes this.—Hist. Animal. lib. 8, c. 12.

'for silence,' were supposed to conceal inexhaustible wisdom beneath that gravity; but from all that has transpired, we suspect their gravity concealed nothing worth exhibiting.' Knowledge, like murder, 'will out,'—cannot be restrained; so that when we see neither flame nor smoke, we are compelled to

question the presence of fire.*

Whether the earlier thinkers, Pythagoras and Plato, owed much to the Egyptians, has long been a disputed point; and certainly all attempts to indicate any distinct obligations have been something more than questionable. Coincidences of doctrine doubtless exist; but coincidences by no means necessarily imply a transmission from one to the other. The history of philosophy is crowded with coincidences, where direct filiation is impossible. In this very article we have alluded to some which exist between Algazzāli and Descartes, and the Germans; and as the moderns knew no Arabic, and as the treatise in question was never till recently translated or even analysed, there can be no suspicion of transmission, direct or indirect. Of Pythagoras, we know little that is certain, and we have none of his writings Of Plato, we may distinctly same that the evidence afforded by his works is decidedly against the notion of Egyptian influence. He visited Egypt; but seems to have brought nothing away from it except a profound veneration for the orderly symmetry of society, and perhaps also a certain inclination towards the symbolical, though the latter he might very well have learned from the Grecian mysteries, as M. Ampère suggests. when he wishes to express philosophical ideas by means of mythological symbols, he employs the mythes of Greece, and not the legends of Egypt; which, according to M. Ampère, he did not know much of. At all events, it is very clear that he did not think highly of the Egyptians as philosophem, from his making the distinction between them and the Greeks to consist in their being φιλοχεήματων, and the Greeks φιλομαθείς.†

But when we come to the Alexandrian school, the influence, if influence there were, should be more distinctly discernible. We are then upon Egyptian ground. The Museum where these Greeks assembled, stands at no great distance from the Temple of Serapis. The Egyptian priests are no longer foreign curiosi-

^{*} That any recondite doctrine, religious or philosophical, was attached to the mysteries, or contained in the holy stories, has never been shown, and is in the last degree improbable; though the affirmative has been attested by many learned men.'—Grote's Hist. of Greece, i. p. 43.

† See the passage Repub. iv. p. 195; ed. Bekker.

ties; they and their rites are daily familiarities—at least as much as they ever could be to a strange population. The Greek philosophers then living there had ampler opportunities of learning all that could be imparted to them, than Pythagoras or Plato had in their brief sojourn; and many persons have jumped to the conclusion that they must have availed themselves of these opportunities-a conclusion which we believe at variance with facts. The Alexandrians no more thought of learning from the Egyptians, than of learning from the Christians; yet the Didascalia of the Christians was as familiar to them as the Temple of Serapis, and far more formidable. They were, in short, Greeks, and had the Grecian contempt for 'barbarians.' M. Ampère, in that remarkable work which we have already cited, has established that Alexandria was 'très grecque, assez juïve, peu 'romaine, et presque point égyptienne.' The language of the tribunals was Greek; and, by the inscriptions, we also see that the official language was Greek. Philo, quoting a few Greek words used at Alexandria, says they belong to the indigenous * language. The fetes and public rituals were Greek; the Museum was Greek, and its chiefs were Greeks.

'Its organization,' says M. Ampere, 'had never any trace of being either Egyptian or sacerdotal. But the Museum, it is objected, was placed under the direction of a priest, and that made it an institution analogous to the Egyptian schools. On a first glance, this circumstance appears decisive; on looking closer, however, we see that this priest was always a Greek under the Greek kings, and Roman under the Roman emperors. Moreover, of what divinity was he the minister? Was it Ammon, Thoth, or Osiris? No; M. Letronne has shown that he was always the minister of the gods of the Ptolemies. Can we, then, see in the priest of such a religion, any thing else but a mere official?'

It is certainly a very strong presumption against the Egyptian influence, that the Greeks knew absolutely nothing of the Egyptian language and hieroglyphic writing. And this fact is established by the following arguments:—Had they known any thing of it, they would not have failed to make us acquainted with their knowledge. Had they been entirely silent on the point, we might have given them the benefit of the doubt; but unfortunately for their credit, they did occasionally speak of it, and the ignorance they exhibit is said by those learned in such matters, to be truly astonishing. One example will enable the least erudite reader to estimate the amount of their ignorance: They never suspected that the hieroglyphs were phonetic as well as symbolical! The symbolical writing, though really much less frequent than the phonetic, was imagined by them to be the

only writing used by the Egyptians. This error is committed by Plotinus, Proclus, Porphyry, and even Jamblicus, who nevertheless wrote a work on the science of the Egyptians!*

It has, moreover, recently become a question whether the Egyptians had really any thing to teach. This is, of course, indignantly repelled by many who have devoted themselves to Egyptian antiquities; but it is supported by ample erudition, and some cogent reasoning. We have not the slightest pretension to decide so great a question; but the following remarks will show that the case for Egyptian science has not been clearly made out.

Astronomy is a science in which the Egyptians are supposed to have made very striking progress; and which, because Alexandria may be called its birth-place, has been attributed rather to Egyptian than to Grecian invention. One of the great evidences in this matter has been the fact of zodiacal representations having been found upon the different temples of Egypt, and particularly at Denderah; but since Champollion read the names of Nero and Tiberius, very distinctly written in hieroglyphs upon this monument, the antiquity of this zodiac is no longer maintainable, and its evidence falls to the ground. Nay more, it is now, we believe, understood as established by the erudition and sagacity of M. Letronne, that there is no evidence whatever of a zodiac in Egypt before the Greek era. † is negative; but it also negatives the evidence which used to be thought conclusive. Moreover, neither telescope nor astrolabe have ever been found represented on the tombs, where so many things have been found illustrative of what the defunct had employed while living. Indeed, without altogether adopting the opinion of the historian of Astronomy, that 'les Egyptiens étajent astronomes, tout juste ce qu'il fallait pour être char-'latans,' we are entitled to assert positively that they had no science of astronomy; for the very sufficient reason that they had no science of mathematics, without which science astronomy is absolutely impossible, however copious the collection of observations.

But, had they no science of mathematics? Some persons deny this; they assert that the construction of the pyramids is a, proof of profound mathematical science. We venture to suggest that there is a vast difference between a monument exhibiting

[•] This work contains little that is Egyptian, except the names of some of the deities.

[†] Mémorres de l'Institute, XVI. p. 113.

mathematical proportions, and a mathematical intention having presided over its construction—all the difference, indeed, between an art and a science. Medicine was practised long before physiology was a science. The facts of mathematics are universal facts, and discoverable in every direction, but the science of mathematics is somewhat different from these. On this point we must again borrow from M. Ampère:—' Les connaissances 'mathématiques et astronomiques qui ont tant illustré Alexan-' drie ne sont point, quoi qu'on ait prétendu, un heritage qu'elle ' ait reçu des sanctuaires de l'Egypte. Les anciens ont proclamé ' les Egyptiens inventeurs de la géométrie, parceque les inonda-'tions du Nil rendaient nécessaire une mésure des propriétés · é exacte et souvent renouvelce; mais cette géométrie, bornée 'aux procédés pratiques de l'arpentage, n'a rien de commun 'avec la science cultivée dans les écoles de la Grèce et de l'Italie. 'On ne voit pas qu'elle ait conduit les Egyptiens à une décou-' verte comme celle du carré de l'hypoténuse. On n'a rien trouvé parmi les nombreuses représentations dont les monu-' ments sont couverts, qui ressemble à une figure de géométrie.'

In leaving the domain of physics for that nebulous region of metaphysics, wherein coincidences must necessarily occur, we find few traces of Egyptian influence. The philosophy of the Alexandrian school is a direct filiation from Plato and the New Academy; and the celebrated doctrine of ecstacy, so mystical and oriental in its aspect, is but an immoderate development of the Platonic philosophy. Between the philosophy of the Alexandrians and the doctrines of the Egyptians, we have all the contrast which results from an excess of abstraction on the one side, and an excess of personification on the other. We may well ask what relation can exist between Ammon, the generator, or Ammon-Sun and the divine Unity of Plotinus—an Unity in which, being is absolutely disengaged from all determinate attributes—raised so far above all finite conception, that it is nonbeing—the ineffable existence which is anterior to all reality. In fact, the doctrines of the Egyptians were as simple as those of the Alexandrians were metaphysical.

The mysteries of Isis and Osiris are indeed commonly supposed to have contained esoteric doctrines, which only the initiated were permitted to learn, and which were as abstract and metaphysical as the exoteric doctrines were simple and concrete. But, in answer to this, we may say with M. Ampère, it yet remains to be satisfactorily established that there was a system of mysteries and initiations proper to Egypt, and not imported from Greece:— 'Je sais qu'on a fait grand bruit de ces mystères, 'à commencer par les Alexandrins eux-mêmes; mais on a tou-

of jours négligé d'en prouver rigoureusement l'existence, et il n'y est fait nulle allusion, que je sache, sur aucun monument Egyptien connu.' Further, suppose this doubt of M. Ampère's set at rest—suppose the existence of the mysteries proved—and we shall then aşk, how doctrines reserved only for the initiated, were learned by the Greek philosophers, who certainly were not admitted into the caste of priesthood—a caste which was the

most jealous and exclusive of all bereditary castes?

We cannot afford to dwell longer on this subject, tempting as it is. If the conclusions we have been endeavouring to establish were once made tolerably convincing—if it could be shown that the Alexandrians were Greek, and not Egyptian, in their science and philosophy—we should then have in the Arabian philosophy only one element to separate from the Koran, namely, the Greek element; as in the schoolmen of the middle ages there is only the Greek element to be separated from Christianity—an inquiry which has considerable interest in the history of philosophy, and which M. Schmölders, with his erudition, might have greatly facilitated. He has made no attempt of the kind; but he has nevertheless produced a curious and interesting work, which we recommend to the attention of all readers occupying themselves with such topics.

ART. V.—The Emigrant. By SIR FRANCIS B. HEAD, Bart. Third Edition. London: 1846.

THE author has not unhappily described his work in the first sentence of the very brief preface which he has prefixed to it.

As the common crow, he says, is made up of a small lump of carrion, and two or three handfuls of cathers, so is this volume composed of political history, buoyed up by a few light sketches, solely written to make a dull subject fly. And no man of taste, we think, will read this book without admiring the beauty and lightness of the sketches, nor any man of judgment without being offended by the carrion of the politics.

Though the world has generally forgotten Sir Francis Head, or is reminded of him only when he writes about himself, there are vast numbers on whose fortunes his actions have exercised an influence not easily effaced; and the part which he once played in the history of our empire, is sufficiently remarkable to excite some curiosity as to the character of the man, and the circumstances which placed him in a prominent position. The most

minute and accurate autobiography could hardly have done more to explain Sir Francis Head's character and career, than the little work before us. In the two separate portions of his book, he presents us with the most perfect picture of his moral and intellectual being. The reader sees in a moment what manner of man he is—what he is fit, and what unfit for—why he is one of the most agreeable writers of light literature, and one of the most deplorable of the politicians of our day. And a very curious and instructive lesson may be drawn, from showing how, by the accident of an injudicious patron, and the fault of an ill-judging vanity, a man who, if kept in his proper place, might have done himself some honour, and contributed some little to the harmless amusement of this much-bored world of ours, has been so misplaced and misemployed in a function wholly unsuited to his character and rearing, that he has turned out an agent of much evil, and an object of very general and deserved ridicule.

Nature has endowed Sir Francis Head, not illiberally, with some of the qualifications for a writer of the lighter kinds of fiction. He has quickness, though certainly very little accuracy of observation, much humour, and considerable power of description. Nor is he at bottom altogether a bad, unamiable man; his sympathies are kindly, and his disposition altogether rather genial. So that on the whole, without lofty or generous feeling-any of the better qualities of the poet-any conception of great thoughts or deep emotions—any even of that philosophy in fun which has inspired the great masters of wit and humour, and with a habit of exaggeration which mars the truth of all that he writes—still he has a power of appreciating the marking features of any simple incident in everyday life, and the art of conveying to others, by a few strong touches, the impression produced on himself. More of such a task he never attempts; he never labours to complete the effect produced by the first bold dashes of his pencil; but straightway passes on to the next object that has struck his fancy, and disposes of that with the same ease. If the work which he performs be flimsy, and his style incorrect and vulgar, they possess the compensating merits of lightness, buoyancy, and variety. Without pretending to the minute completeness and accuracy of Flemish painting, he can always produce a few bold and easy outlines, which have often the merit of clever caricature, and sometimes that of rough likeness.

But the sort of mind that we have been describing is almost necessarily deficient in the attributes of large and continuous reasoning. When our author comes to deal with the great facts of human nature—when, as in the serious part of the work before us, he pretends to discharge the functions of the historian or political philosopher-we find no trace of the knowledge, the thought, the patience, or the candour, which are requisite for the task. His presumption leads him to imagine, that to him it is given, with his childish weapons of fun and fiction, to master the world of fact. In truth, he seems never to understand the distinction between history and fiction; and to deal with the facts which he has to tell, with just the same freedom which he would exercise in colouring or disposing the images of a tale. From premises thus absolutely independent of all reality, by the aid of a logic which is certainly the loosest by which ever mortal man bewildered himself, he evolves two or three of the old commonplaces of ultra-Toryism into a political and historical system, by which he firmly believes that he has actually succeeded in governing a small portion of the human race, and by which he tries to persuade us that the world should be governed. His agreeable style is instantly corrupted by the uncongenial subject on which it is employed. His efforts at passion, and sublimity, and reasoning, are marred by his utter inability to apply with accuracy the fine words which come in his way; he attempts to supply the feeble resources of his grandiloquence by occasional bits of slang and slipslop; and produces, on the whole, some such effect as would result from Mrs Malaprop's enriching her own peculiar diction with a few scraps from the phraseology of Sam Weller.

Such a man as this, had he been strictly kept to the province of light literature, and had his vanity been controlled by judicious criticism, might have attained no little excellence in that agreeable and humble walk. Humorous tales were the work really set out for him by nature; and it is not too much to say that he might have achieved an amusing novel. His physical strength and spirits qualified him admirably for a traveller; and though we could not have counted on a profound or accurate observation of men or things, we should have had vivid descriptions of passing scenes and incidents, and humorous stories of personal adventure. He should have been left to scamper over other Pampas, or blow fresh bubbles from other baths than those of Nassau. But he was sadly wronged by those who, in an evil hour, took him from such congenial operations, and placed him in positions where the practical interests of men were entrusted to his indiscretion and presumption. He owes this to the poorlaw commissioners, who employed him as assistant commissioner in Kent, and before they had had time to discover the evil conesquences of his proceedings, passed him on with much commendation to Lord Glenelg, who appointed him to the vacant govern-

ment of Upper Canada. He went out to that province with positive instructions and some vague intentions to reform the abuses which had brought it into a state of disorder and discontent. A fruitless attempt to conciliate parties ended in his quarrelling with the popular leaders, and becoming the tool of the illiberal party, into whose arms he threw himself. At the head of that party he entered into a contest with the Assembly, dissolved it, and by the aid of very favourable circumstances, and of considerable activity, ability, and, it must be added, unscrupulousness in the use of electioneering arts, obtained a majority in a new The process by which he had obtained success identified him, and with him the crown, of which he was the representative, with the dominant party; and the abuse of power by that party alienated a large portion of the colony from its previously undisturbed attachment to the mother country. feelings thus excited the unhappy events of Lower Canada gave a great impulse; and the rebellious designs at first entertained by a few contemptible demagogues, acquired strength from the provocations offered to a large mass of the population, were encouraged by the apathy of the governor, and finally manifested themselves in a rebellious outbreak, to which his inconceivable want of energy and prudence very nearly gave a fearful chance of momentary success. Rescued from this peril by the vigour of others, and the gallant loyalty of the colonists, he plunged the interests of the colony and the empire into the yet more formidable hazards of a needless quarrel with the neighbouring republic. Fortunately an unseemly squabble with his official superiors had by this time produced his recall; and the publication of his despatches, by exposing the extraordinary absurdity of his career, indisposed every party in this country from encouraging the attempts which he unceasingly made to obstruct the plans by which the government effected the pacification of Canada. that time, rejected by all parties, he has failed in every attempt to obtain a fresh field for the practical trial of his perilous theories of colonial policy; and has remained in a harmless obscurity, from which he ever and anon attempts to emerge by means of publications, in which he vaunts the unappreciated merits of his official career, declaims against the equal ingratitude of successive ministries, and mourns over the happy consequences that have followed from the utter and universal disregard of his counsels.

It would have been far more agreeable to our own taste, and probably to that of our readers, had we felt justified in taking no notice of the work now before us, or been able to confine our criticism, and their attention to those lighter parts of it, which

exhibit the literary merits for which we have given the author But the great experiment of colonial policy which commenced with Lord Durham's Report, and is now in progress of trial in our great colony of Canada, is of far too vast importance to permit us to allow any misconception of the circumstances in which it originated, or any misrepresentation of its actual results. And though the statements and opinions of Sir Francis Head are not likely to exercise any influence on the mind of any public man conversant with his character and the real truth of the matter, or likely to be entrusted with the fortunes of our colonies, the public in general, are probably not sufficiently mindful of events which have long since ceased to interest them, to be equally on their guard. This book has been read by many whose knowledge of its author's career is limited to a vague impression of his having been governor of Canada during a wanton, and, as is generally imagined, a formidable rebellion, which was suppressed under his command; and of his measures having been approved by the ministry of the day, and himself rewarded with the title which he now bears. Such readers will attribute some authority to the statements of one who is apparently stamped with authentic marks of public confidence. And when such a man gravely demands the attention of his countrymen, while he 'discloses facts which not only threaten the safety of our institutions, but in which the honour of the British 'Crown is deeply involved,'-when he goes on to develope his own story of events in which he was a principal actor, and, from sources accessible only to himself, to reveal certain strange mysteries in past transactions, it would be too much to expect that whatever may be the obvious extravagance of his theories, and looseness of his reasoning, the great mass of his readers will detect the inaccuracy of his statements, and appreciate the absolute worthlessness of his whole narrative. Some portion of a large mass of error may obtain currency: and we owe it to the right understanding of a not uninteresting portion of our history, and a very important political change, to destroy the effect of every one of Sir Francis Head's most mischievous mistatements.

We shall therefore pass rapidly over the better part of the present work, simply informing our readers that in the first hundred and fifty pages of the *Emigrant*, they will find much to amuse them. They must not attach too implicit credence to Sir Francis's theories of natural history. The difficult problem of the intense variations of the climate of North America is far from being explained by his very simple solution. He contrives somewhat to exaggerate the effects even of Canadian frost on the human face and fingers. But the reader, who may chance to find this book in

his way during a leisure hour, will derive great amusement from the graphic accounts of the singular phenomena of the Canadian seasons: may spend a few minutes in contemplation of the wild scenes that present themselves on the breaking up of the ice: may read with great interest some few stories of real incidents in the life of the backwoods: and may with unceasing pleasure follow the ex-governor in his adventurous voyage over the wide waters and amid the countless islands of Lake Huron. In p. 110 will be found a humorous description of an Indian village, which, in addition to the general merits of our author's descriptive style, has what is in him the rare one of slyly inculcating a most important fact and pregnant observation.

Beyond the 153d page, all is what the author has described as 'carrion.' The reader may probably be tempted to go on with it: and, in order that he may do it safely, we shall now proceed to show that there is not a single material statement in it to which he should attach the slightest credit. If, in the performance of this task, we should treat the author with some severity, our justification will be found in the scurrility with which he has treated every person whom he finds it convenient

to depreciate.

It is not very easy at once to see what is the exact purport and object of the political portion of the Emigrant; nor why Sir Francis has thought it necessary, at the close of 1846, to recall public attention to the stale story of his own exploits in 1837. and of Sir Charles Bagot's policy in 1842. The first impression is, that it is written solely for the pleasure of abusing Sir Robert Peel. No doubt, Sir Robert Peel has done much. both of a private and public nature, to exasperate the ex-governor. He repelled all his attempts at intimacy, and, when Sir Francis, on the strength of, as he confesses, a recent and 'very slight' acquaintance, wrote to him with characteristic familiarity as 'My dear Sir Robert,' taught him to keep his distance by a cold answer in the third person. He refused most peremptorily, when at the head of a powerful opposition, to lend himself in any way to Sir Francis's attempts to obstruct the union of the Canadas. While he was minister, he absolutely declined either to avail himself of Sir Francis's services, or in any way to heed his advice: nor would he take any step in the way of giving Sir Francis that reward for his services, which the latter most urgently pressed on him. Publicly and emphatically he declared his adop-. tion of the principles which Sir Francis denounced; and, by acting on a system precisely the reverse of the ex-governor's, restored perfect tranquillity to British North America, and prevented the fearful evils that must have ensued had he wanted the wisdom or energy to take the right course at the precise

All this has brought down on him Sir moment that he chose. Francis's wrath: and, accordingly, the whole of the latter part of the book is worked up to the point of charging on the ex-minister every disaster which a heated fancy depicts as in store for Canada. While Lord Durham's views are excused on the score of temporary insanity, while to Lord John Russell is conceded the merit of at least a manly and an open hostility to monarchical institutions, the Conservative leader is represented as having for years persevered in the treacherous policy of preparing the way for the loss of Canada, by weakening the institutions which connect it with the mother country, by discouraging the loyal, and by entrusting power to rebels. The advice by which he has abused the 'unsuspecting confidence' of her Majesty, is such, that 'the hand of her subject trembles to record it.' And in deprecation of the fearful chance of his ever returning to power, this strange farrago of nonsense is closed with the mock sublimity of the following prayer:—' From the statesman, whoever he may be, that for any earthly object he may desire to attain, will ' not hesitate to sully the honour of the British crown—God SAVE 'THE QUEEN!'

The only other practical object which is announced in the book, is that of procuring a repeal of the union of the Canadas, and a final abolition of Responsible Government. We doubt whether even Sir Francis Head's imagination can seriously contemplate these results as possible. Nor do we believe that these three hundred pages would have been written solely for the purpose of blackening Sir Robert Peel. We rather think that advantage is taken of Sir Robert's present disgrace with his party. in order to recall public attention to the forgotten merits of one who, at least in our author's fancy, was the most distinguished victim of his treachery. Sir Francis is not without some dexterity in seizing a favourable opportunity of turning other men's violence and follies to his own account. It happens that at the present moment the predominant feeling in the breasts of a large party is resentment against Sir Robert Peel, on account of the part he has recently taken in English politics; and Sir Francis thinks that if he can now come forward and give the assailants of the late premier a fresh stick to beat him, by furnishing them with a fresh instance of his disregard of party ties, his tale will be greedily swallowed, and he himself become the hero of a party which sadly wants one. If this be his speculation, we think he has dealt rather clumsily with the leader of the 'great country party,' who will not be apt to forget that he himself was the Colonial Secretary, under whose instructions, and approval the measures denounced by Sir Francis Head were carried into effect; and whose vanity will not be peculiarly gratified by Sir Francis

excusing him from all responsibility, by (as we imagine, quite truly) treating him as having in all these matters merely acted under Sir Robert Peel's directions.

With this end in view, Sir Francis does not omit to direct our attention to what he regards as the great deeds of his colonial The first part of this heroic poem tells the administration. defence of Toronto against Mackenzie: an episode is the driving Mr Bidwell out of the province. The second part contains the war of Navy Island, and the destruction of the 'Curoline.' The third is the Odyssey of Head-his return home through countless perils in the United States. fourth he describes his vain endeavours to prevent the union of the Canadas. In the fifth part we may comprise what he calls the 'explosion,' and the 'moral,' which consist of his arguments against the union, his practical suggestions as to its repeal, and his denunciation of the late prime minister. reader must not be alarmed at our now proposing to follow Sir Francis through these points. With the arguments we do not propose to grapple: they are beyond the grasp of any logic. is to the statements of fact that we shall confine ourselves. And we intend simply to give an outline, first, of Sir Francis*Head's account of each matter, and, secondly, of the facts as they really

happened.

The point on which Sir Francis's whole career in Canada turned, was his taking three Reformers into his council, and then quarrelling with them and their party on the question of Respon-Mr Baldwin and his friends were men. sible Government. as their subsequent conduct has shown, of very little practical sense and temper; and there is no denying that even when mainly in the right, they contrived on this, as on other occasions, to put themselves somewhat in the wrong. Nevertheless, on the great principle for which they contended they were completely in the right, and Sir Francis as completely in the wrong. We need not now discuss the question of Responsible Govern-Every man who has reflected on the subject sees, that the responsibility of the executive is a necessary part of representative government;—that if we trust the representatives of the people with the power of making laws, and the absolute control of the public purse, it is absurd to expect that the laws made by them can be administered, and the executive business of the country carried on, by any persons except those who possess their confidence. This is a point which Lord Durham's Report settled at once and for ever; and the only point connected with the subject which at all perplexes any one is, how it should have been necessary to send so eminent a man across the Atlantic, to

discover that the colonies could not be well governed under any

other system.

Unfortunately when Sir Francis Head's quarrel with the Assembly occasioned an appeal to the people of Upper Canada, he was enabled, by the indiscretion of his opponents, to put the contest on a very different ground from that of Responsible Government; and when he points to the result as indicative of the public feeling, he must be reminded that he himself contrived to represent the question at issue as that of 'Connexion with the 'Mother Country,' or 'Separation.' To the question thus artfully put, the answer of the great majority of the people of Canada, especially of the British settlers who had only just left the mother country, was decisive. In truth, the dissatisfaction which had long been growing against the Assembly, rendered the issue very little doubtful. Sir Francis Head's arrival in the province, in January 1836, arrested Sir John Colborne's hand whilst in the act of issuing the proclamation for a dissolution of the provincial parliament; and there is very little doubt, that without the new governor's exertions, the general election that followed in the summer would have exhibited nearly the same result as had been witnessed in the four preceding general elections—that of converting the majority of each parliament into a minority in that which immediately succeeded it. Still, Sir Francis must not be denied the merit of most able electioneering. In fact, he was now in his element. He rode all over the province, harangued the farmers, waved the British flag, appealed to those whom he could. not visit by brief pithy answers to addresses, and raised up a perfect fervour of devotion to British connexion and its champion. Unfortunately he never recovered this excitement. The part he now played exactly suited the play-actor turn of his character. He imagined himself the representative of the monarchical principle on the American continent; and passed the remainder of his career in a perpetual conflict with the giants of democracy and republicanism.

The election of a Tory parliament established the official party known as the 'Family Compact' in firm possession of power. Their abuse of that power produced general dissatisfaction; and in 1837, when the disorders of Lower Canada were beginning to assume the aspect of rebellion, the more violent of the agitators of the sister province imagined, that they should find some support in a simultaneous attempt to sever the connexion with the British empire. We have always been of opinion, that there was not any real chance of success for such an attempt; and that there never was a wiser resolve than that which was adopted, of leaving Lipper Canada to protect itself, and removing the regular force

in it to defend the lower province. We have always ascribed the merit of this step to Sir Francis Head, and regarded it asthe one act of his government which showed decision, wisdom, and a just estimate of his position. He has claimed credit for having, in reply to Sir John Colborne's inquiries, declared that he did not want a single soldier in the province; and that such trust did he repose in the general loyalty of the colonists, that he was ready to spare a large portion even of their militia for the service of Lower Canada. That he himself 'sent away the troops,' was his perpetual boast. And a just matter of pride it was; because experience amply proved that the colonists of Upper Canada were fully equal to guarding their country against any enemy that assailed it, from within or without. But now all this turns out to have been mere empty, groundless boasting. Tory friends whose good opinion Sir Francis seems to value more than his own character, have never been able to appreciate a course so opposed to their own notions of the right method of dealing with the people; and the desire of averting their criticisms has extracted from Sir Francis this confession, in p. 159 of the present work,—'Many people have blamed, and I believe 'still blame me, for having, as they say,'-it was Sir Francis who first said it—'sent the troops out of the province. I, however, 'did no such thing. Sir John Colborne, the commander of the forces in Canada, felt that he required the whole of them to ' defend the lower province; and deeming the moral power which he saw I possessed sufficient, he offered me a couple of com-' panies only, and then, without consulting me, recalled the whole of the remainder of the troops.' So that it was not Sir Francis Head, but his predecessor in the government of the province, that formed this just estimate of the feelings of its inhabitants, and took upon himself the responsibility of this wise and bold resolution. Sir Francis's only merit is, that he had sense enough to see that the two companies left him could not guard the province; and that, if the defence of it were in reality to be left to the colonists, they might as well feel the entire responsibility, and enjoy the entire credit. But he has reduced his own claim to our praise simply to that of having sent this useless handful of regulars after the main body.

But whether this diminution of the force at his command was or was not the act of Sir Francis, Head, the strength which he possessed in the loyalty of a gallant population was amply sufficient to have guarded against every risk. Had he used his means properly, no outbreak would have occurred; and that he did not do so, is proved by the fact that Mackenzie, at the head of two or three hundred hastily collected country people, ven-

tured to attack the capital of the province, and was very near obtaining a considerable degree of success.

When Sir F. Head, in his despatch written a few days after the discomfiture of Mackenzie, had to give his first account of the outbreak, the force of recent facts exercised such a constraint even on his imagination, that he was compelled to confess that he had been 'completely surprised.' It would indeed have been difficult for him, on the 19th of December, to refuse such an admission to the minister, to whom he had written on the 3d of the preceding month the following positive assurances that no outbreak was to be apprehended:—'I know well that there exists no 6 body of men in this province, who would dare to attack govern-'ment property under the protection of the civil authorities of 'Toronto.' And a little further on—'I have not the slightest apprehension that any disturbance of importance will be made 'in this loyal province during the approaching winter.' But as time has rolled on, and as Sir Francis has been removed from the spot in which he was confronted with a population who had been eye-witnesses of those occurrences, he has forgotten the weakness of being surprised, and claims the merit of having foreseen the crisis which came on him. Indeed, in the 'Narrative' which he published in 1839, he went so far as to declare that he had all along not only foreseen but desired the insurrection, and had 'waited with folded arms' in order to tempt an outbreak. Francis has found that this is a profession of political immorality rather too revolting for the present day. Had nothing been at stake but Mackenzie's single worthless head, the governor might fairly have hazarded his own against it. But, considering that every step that Mackenzie was allowed to take, involved a large number of unreflecting and violent, but by no means worthless, men in the guilt and penalties of treason—that even the slightest outbreak could not be quelled without the loss of some life and property on the part of the loyal—and that, after all, the most signal suppression of insurrection is a very poor compensation for the terrible mischief of even a momentary interruption of the traditional obedience to law, Sir Francis found he had windicated himself against the weakness of having been surprised, by assuming enormous guilt and extraordinary folly. readiness with which he deems himself justified in altering the equally imaginary incidents of all his narratives, he now leaves these pretentions, and declares that, though fully aware of all Mackenzie's plots, he could not arrest him in his career until he had committed some act of treason: and that, when Mackenzie went thus far, he instantly escaped from Toronto, and commenced his Forgetting the testimony of his own despatch, Sir

Francis now states that he himself had all along made his preparations for the rebellion, which he 'was quite aware would 'sooner or later take place in the upper province:' that with this view he had strengthened the fort near the town: and that he had made all his arrangements for making his chief stand in the City Hall, which to his military eye appeared the best stronghold

for his purpose.

If this were all correct, it would be a pitiable exposure of a very What was the use of waiting till such a contemptible person as Mackenzie committed himself by some overt act of treason, when any one of the seditious libels which he published in any number of his paper, would have justified the arresting him, and checking his plots in their very infancy? Why all these arrangements of defence against assailants, who never should have been allowed to come in an offensive attitude within several miles of Sir Francis Head's infinitely superior force? But there is no foundation for any part of this new version of his story. was, as he originally confessed, completely surprised: and he was surprised by an occurrence for which every man in Toronto, except himself and his counsellors, was perfectly prepared. Up to the moment that he was startled from his sleep by the vision of one who had actually been attacked by Mackenzie close to the town, he and his advisers lived in a fool's paradise, from which no warning could draw them. He would believe in no danger, deceived his superior in England with reports that there could be no disturbance, made no arrangements, and offered every obstruction to the few determined and prudent men who took the precautions which the government should have taken. The fort which he pretends to have strengthened, he left in charge of eight men to defend its walls and a powder magazine inside of it—his stronghold, the City Hall, he left with 4000 stand of arms in charge of two constables. In short, whatever the most utter want of forethought could do to Invite and facilitate the outbreak, Sir Francis Head did: and when the outbreak took place, his want of energy and prudence contributed yet further to its originally slender chance of success.

In Canada no one would require us to give any details or authority in support of what is there the received version of the affair; but, for the information of readers at home, it may be as well that we should give a succinct narrative of the circumstances that attended Mackenzie's attack on Toronto. Our knowledge of such facts as are not taken from the official accounts, has been derived from written statements given to us by Colonel Fitzgibbon, an old officer of the army, who, during the period of which we speak, held the office of Clerk of the Parliament, and

who acted as Adjutant-General of the militia, and, in fact, commanded the force that defended Toronto during the outbreak. In Canada there is no difference of opinion as to the merits and services of Colonel Fitzgibbon; and the belief, that mainly to his energy and courage the safety of the city is to be ascribed, has been attested by the general testimony of the press, the resolutions of public meetings, and the votes of the Provincial Parliament. And though, of course, he will be suspected of a tendency to exaggerate his own claims, and to resent the ungenerous feeling which prompted Sir Francis Head on every occasion to keep his name out of sight, the character of Colonel Fitzgibbon is such, that no one of his countrymen will refuse entire credit to his statement of the occurrences in which he bore so prominent a part.

Mackenzie's attack on Toronto occurred on the 4th of December 1837. As early, however, as October, his preparations had become so menacing, that, the troops being removed from the province, some anxiety began to be felt as to the efficiency of the means by which order was to be maintained. The governor was pressed to take some precautions, not, as he would have it believed, of a nature to betray apprehension and harass the loyal population, but simply such as should not have been neglected even in the ordinary conduct of business. The militia regiments throughout the province were in a state of great disorganization; that of Toronto itself had as many as twenty vacancies among the officers. Colonel Fitzgibbon, who had the command of the regiment, proposed to the governor to fill up these vacancies; but the answer of the latter was, that there was no occasion to do any thing till the following summer.

The symptoms of danger continued to become more and more alarming; and the people of Toronto, finding that nothing could arouse the government from its apathy, began, under Colonel Fitzgibbon's direction, to take measures for defending themselves. A corp's of volunteer riflemen, that had been formed in Sir John Colborne's time, offered to guard the stand of arms for 4000 men, which was lying perfectly unprotected in the City Hall. Their offer was publicly declined. Little more than a week before the outbreak, some volunteers who had actually mounted guard for a few evenings, were dismissed; and the care of the City Hall, and the arms in it, was entrusted to a couple of constables. On doing this, Sir Francis said that he had a great mind to have the arms brought to his own house, and left in charge of his servants.

About ten days before the outbreak, Colonel Fitzgibbon, finding the government (for it is but fair to the Governor to

state, that all his official advisers were as infatuated as himself) deaf to all his remonstrances, after a fruitless attempt to induce them to put the fort in a state of security, declared that he would not sit still to have his throat cut, and proceeded to arrange a plan for enrolling a certain number of householders, who were to be prepared with arms, and on the ringing of the bells to repair to the City Hall and Parliament House Having waited on the Governor, and got his sanction to his scheme, after informing him that, with or without that sanction, he was determined to carry it into effect, he organized the volunteer corps, by which the city was saved. In all this he received no aid or directions from the Governor, and much discouragement from the principal members of the council. Indeed, so little apparent sanction did this step receive from the government, that Gibson, one of the principal of Mackenzie's adherents, made it a pretext for arming his followers, saying, that as the Tories were arming without authority, the Reformers were obliged to do the same.

About a week had passed in these preparations, evidences of an intended outbreak multiplying on every side, when, on Saturday the 2d of December, Colonel Fitzgibbon received such information from an actual eye-witness as left no doubt of a design of immediate hostilities on the part of the rebels. On going to Government House to communicate this intelligence, he found the governor surrounded by his principal advisers, and remained more than five hours with them, getting little else than ridicule and disbelief for his pains to induce them to take steps to meet

the coming danger.

Some orders were, however, given with respect to the militia, which had an important result. Mackenzie had in the mean time been concerting a regular plan of rebellion, and the 7th of December had been fixed upon for a general rising, the plan of which was, that the rebels from all parts should assemble and march on Toronto. From Mackenzie's published statements, it appears that the orders given to the militia misled him into the supposition that the Governor was on his guard, and he determined to precipitate the attack before the completion of any. plan of defence. Accordingly, on Sunday the 3d, he collected a body of armed men at Montgomery's tavern, about four miles from Toronto, under himself, Lount, Gibson, and Ander-This force was constantly fluctuating, some going away as others came in; and it does not appear that the entire amount of this collection of undisciplined country people ever, at any one time, amounted to as many as two hundred men.

The proximity of the force was not, however, known in To-

ronto on the morning of Monday the 4th, when Sir Francis at last appointed Colonel Fitzgibbon Adjutant-General of Militia. Indeed, the first rumour of it seems only to have reached Toronto on the evening of that day. Colonel Fitzgibbon thereon assembled about twenty gentlemen in the City Hall; and having considered the measures necessary to be taken, repaired about ten o'clock to Government House, to acquaint the Governor with the state of affairs. Sir Francis had gone to bed, saw Colonel Fitzgibbon in his nightgown, left the defence of the town to him, and went to bed again. Colonel Fitzgibbon then rode about the town, set the alarm bells ringing, and collected the volunteers in the City Hall. Mr Powell the mayor, with a friend, who (such was the general ignorance of the real danger) did not even take his arms with him, rode out towards Montgomery's to ascertain whether the report of its being occupied by a rebel force were true or not. At the distance of about a couple of miles, they came suddenly on the main body of the rebels marching, under cover of the darkness, on the city, and were ordered to surrender. Mr Powell, being armed, shot the man who stopped him dead on the spot; galloped back to the town, and went straight to Government House, where he forced his way into the Governor's bed-room and told his tale. It is said, that even then Sir Francis was incredulous, and inclined to resent the intrusion on his slumbers. Colonel Fitzgibbon, however, came soon after, and persuaded Sir Francis to follow him to the City Hall, taking the precaution to conduct him through back streets, to avoid any risk of being intercepted by the rebels, who had had time to reach the heart of the city. And so, for aught Sir Francis had done, they might have been, have surprised him in his bed, and, without the slightest organised resistance, captured the capital of the province, its fort, its City Hall with arms for 4000 men, and its banks with all the specie There is no estimating the extent of mischief which would have followed from the signal success which the Incalculable folly of the government would have given to the rebels; and though we do not believe that even such a triumph would have enabled Mackenzie ultimately to realise his insane project in defiance of the general loyalty of the province, it would, in all probability, have swelled his force by no inconsiderable number of those who, when they found how things were going, arrayed themselves on the side of the government.

The precautions of Colonel Fitzgibbon had, however, provided the city with an ample security against any such result, and, combined with a most fortunate accident, prevented even momentary mischief. The man whom Mr Powell had shot, turned out to be no less a person than Anderson, to whose skill and courage the rebels had trusted the direction of their military operations. The death of their leader disheartened them; and, when they heard the bells ringing, they concluded that the city was well prepared to resist them, and accordingly abandoned

their attack till the next day.

During the two following days the Governor remained in the City Hall in entire inaction. He now accounts for this by telling us, that it was the result of one of those marvellously profound combinations which he always discovers as excuses for not having followed the simple path of common-sense and firm-His object was to test the loyalty of the province by the reinforcements which it should send to his aid; and he accordingly 'awaited tranquilly' the solution of this 'problem, of 'serious importance to the civilised world.' He comes a little nearer to the fact when he gives us to understand, that he shrunk from risking the issue until he had an overwhelming We do not mean to accuse him of any want force to back him. of personal courage; but the truth is, that when the rebellion was no longer matter of doubt, he showed as little energy and conduct in using the means placed at his disposal, as he had previously shown of foresight. Those means, and the determintion of those who surrounded him, were fortunately such that he could not absolutely compromise the interests entrusted to him; and he could only contrive to make himself ridiculous for a couple of days, by allowing a body of undisciplined rebels to keep the Governor and double their own number of men barricaded in the City Hall.

For on the Tuesday morning, Colonel Fitzgibbon, finding himself at the head of five hundred stout, somewhat disciplined, and all well-armed men, and having reconnoitred the rebels, proposed to take three hundred of his men and drive Mackenzie away. Francis refused to allow this, saying that 'he would not fight the ' rebels on their ground, but they must come and fight him on his.' The day was spent in barricading the fort, City Hall, Government House, and banks. Sir Francis now took a step which subjected him, and we think justly, to the loudest censure from the citizens of Toronto. He sent his own family on board a steamer in the Lake. Now, though no one will be very hard on him for showing such affection for his family, it must be recollected that the other families in Toronto had no such means of refuge; and that such an admission of his apprehensions might have produced the most disastrous effects on the minds of those who saw all that were most dear to them exposed to a danger

which their leader regarded as so formidable.

About nine in the evening, Colonel Fitzgibbon was seen by the Governor parading a picket that he intended to send out to guard the entrance of the town. Sir Francis peremptorily forbad this, declaring 'that they had no men to spare—that they had 'not men enough to defend the city-but must defend their 'posts;' and ordered that no man should leave the City Hall. Colonel Fitzgibbon formed the picket out of sight of the Governor, and sent it out under the command of the sheriff. after a report came that this picket had been cut off by the rebels; and thereupon Sir Francis angrily reprimanded the colonel. In a little while more correct intelligence arrived. this picket repelled the second attack of the rebels, and drove them back with some loss. This repulse in reality checked the outbreak; and thus a second time was Toronto saved, in spite of Sir Francis Head. Nevertheless, in his despatch of the 19th December, he took credit for having sent out this picket.

On Wednesday the rebels, disheartened by Mackenzic's pusillanimity, began to disperse. But Sir Francis did not even yet venture to attack them. In the middle of the day he opened negotiations with Mackenzie; and so accurate was his knowledge of the movement on which his eye had been all along fixed so keenly, that one of the two persons to whom he entrusted the negotiation was Dr John Rolph, one of the chief instigators of the whole outbreak! Fortunately Mackenzie's demands were too unreasonable to be admitted; and he had not the courage to follow Dr Rolph's advice, that he should at once attack the city. While his strength was diminishing, reinforcements continued pouring in to the aid of the Governor. Volunteers kept coming in during that evening and night; and on Thursday morning Sir Francis found himself at the head of a force so 'overwhelming,' as even to embolden him to risk the chances of an encounter. No encounter can well be said to have taken place; for the force under Colonel Fitzgibbon's command occupied Montgomery's tayern, and dispersed the rebels almost without firing a shot.

Of the history which we have now given we have every reason to believe in the entire accuracy. We cannot imagine that any one, after reading it, will conclude that there is much to praise, or not conclude that there is very much to blame, in Sir Francis Head's dealing with the insurrection. He talks much of his loyalty; but he can hardly expect that we can spare much of our admiration to a governor for the simple virtue of not betraying his trust, and for resisting instead of actually joining rebels. Had he showed prudence in allaying discontent, and diminishing the disposition to rebel—vigilance in detecting the plots of the disaffected—foresight in making preparations against an outbreak

—and skill and promptitude in suppressing it when it occurred, he might claim our respect for these useful and admirable qualities; but Sir Francis Head was found eminently wanting in all these respects. He provoked disaffection by his abuse of power, and incited it to manifest itself in rebellion, by an apathy which seemed to promise it success. The utter imbecility of his actual defence of Toronto is as signal as the blindness which induced him to neglect all previous precautions for defending it at all. Others have the entire merit of saving the city; we have to thank him for nothing but that the rebels were emboldened to attack it at all, and that they were not routed and dispersed as soon as day dawned after their first demonstration.

We must now notice one or two minor incidents, as illustrative of Sir Francis Head's policy in the hour of victory, and of the accuracy of his various statements of the same transaction. The anxietics of the surprise and conflict being happily over, he had now to play the part of a conqueror, dispensing pardon and punishment. He called up the two first prisoners that his men took, and, with a grand exordium, pardoned them in the Queen's name. 'It was, however, necessary,' he goes on to say, 'that' we should mark and record, by some act of stern vengeance, the important victory that had been achieved; and I therefore determined that, in the presence of the assembled militia, I would burn to the ground Montgomery's tavern, and also the house of Mr Gibson, a member of the Provincial Assembly, who had commanded Mr Mackenzie's advanced guard, and who, with him, had just absconded to the United States.'

We have no doubt that this is a true account of the motives which impelled Sir Francis to this extraordinary act. just such a stage-trick as would suit his melodramatic taste; and it is true in its details except in one important particular. Gibson's house was not burnt 'in the presence of the assembled 'militia;' for it was three miles distant from the scene of action, and from the furthest spot reached by the great body of the militia; and was destroyed by a small body under Fitzgibbon, whom Sir Francis, in spite of his remonstrances, sent on for the express purpose. But this was not the account he gave of the transaction in his despatch to Lord Glenelg. His own reflection, or more probably the advice of some cooler head, may have suggested to him the possibility, that this act of deliberately burning a private house, at a distance from the scene of action, might not be regarded by his superiors, or the public in England, as having been exactly the 'necessary' record of an important victory, which it had appeared to himself; and that they might probably be inclined to censure a governor for setting the rebels, at the

commencement of possibly lengthened disturbances, an example of lawless vengeance, which any two or three desperate fugitives might imitate at the expense of any isolated dwelling of any of the loyal population. So the account which he gave of it was, that the militia advanced in pursuit of the rebels about four e miles, till they reached the house of one of the principal ringe leaders. Mr Gibson, whose residence it would have been impossible to have saved, and it was consequently burned to the ground. When this despatch got back to Canada, the indignation of the militia was loudly expressed against this attempt to charge their undisciplined violence with the scandal of Sir F. Head's deliberate outrage on law and justice; and Colonel Fitzgibbon wrote Lord Glenelg a letter, detailing the whole circumstances Sir Francis does not now persevere in his first of the case. statement but favours us with a more correct account of the matter.

Another more flagrant abuse of power, which occurred at this time, is commented on in the volume before us; and the account which we there find furnishes a not less remarkable instance of the looseness of the author's mode of dealing with history. Mr Bidwell, the Speaker of the preceding House of Assembly, had led the opposition to Sir F. Head, and had by his ability and pertinacity made himself particularly obnoxious. At the general election he lost his seat; and, retiring from all active part in politics, devoted himself to his professional duties as a lawyer. So high was his character that Lord Glenelg, who was constantly impressing on Sir Francis Head the policy of conciliating instead of proscribing the reformers of Upper Canada, had at length written him positive instructions to promote Mr Bidwell to the bench on the first vacancy; and Sir Francis's refusal to obey this order was one of the causes of that quarrel with the Colonial Office which was at its height in December 1837, and which soon after ended in his recall. Bidwell was, therefore, at this moment an especial object of Sir Francis Head's resentment. The circumstance that the words Bidwell and the glorious minority' had been found worked on one of the flags taken from Mackenzie, appeared to afford a pretext for implicating him with the rebels. Some letters addressed to him had been stopped at the Toronto post-office. Even Sir F. Head's mind could not regard the unauthorised inscription of a man's name on a flag which he had never seen. and the contents, whatever they might be, of letters written to him, as sufficient to warrant a charge of high treason. But he thought that, by a certain use of these materials, he might frighten a man of notoriously feeble organization and timid character.

Accordingly, in the first moment of his victory, when the passions of the Tory party were excited to the utmost-when, as he himself tells us, Mr Bidwell Tad reason to fear that any 'militiaman he met might become his executioner,'-he held up to him the bundle of intercepted letters to his address, told him that if he opened them his life would probably be at his mercy, and offered to restore them unopened if he would give a written promise to leave the Queen's territory for ever. In the terror and surprise of the moment Mr Bidwell was induced to sign such a document; and the promise thus extracted he has faithfully kept to this day, in a self-imposed exile. What were the contents of the letters which were returned to him, no one knows; but Mr Bidwell, had he possessed ordinary presence of mind, was too good a lawyer to have apprehended any real danger to himself from letters written by other persons. Yet before we blame too severely the want of moral courage that induced submission to this gross intimidation, or infer any possibility of guilt as an explanation of his fears, the real dangers of the position in which he was placed must be borne in mind. Not only might a timid man have some reason for dreading that he might be shot down by some excited militiaman, but he might be justified in not considering innocence itself as a safeguard against the terrors of the law. The legislature, the bench, the sheriff, and the juries, were all equally on the side of a most violent and unscrupulous party in uncontrolled possession of power, and in a state of furious excitement; and at such a moment we can well excuse a man of weak nerve, who knew himself to be the object of the especial resentment of the Governor and dominant party, for shrinking from perilling his life in the unequal contest, and accepting any terms from an enemy whom he might fairly regard as able to sacrifice him to his anger.

That Sir Francis IIead should still venture to boast of this most outrageous violation of all law and justice, is surprising. If Mr Bidwell was guilty of treason, and was really the secret instigator of the outbreak of ignorant men, he was a far worse criminal than Mackenzie and his companions; an ignominious death would have been his merited portion; and the pardon of such a man was a wrong to the community. If he was innocent, the taking advantage of his fears to drive him from his country was an act of the grossest illegality and tyranny. But we think we see, in the present work, one clear indication of some misgiving in Sir Francis's mind as to the light in which this feat may be regarded. This is his great anxiety to represent Mr Bidwell as coming to seek him. The beginning of the matter he describes as the being informed by a servant, 'that Mr Bidwell was in his

waiting-room, and that he appeared extremely desirous to see me. He then represents Mr Bidwell as having been alarmed at finding his letters stopped at the post-office.

'In this agony of mind his acquaintance with the magnanimity of British institutions, his knowledge of British law, British justice, and British mercy, admonished him to seek protection from the sovereign authority he had betrayed—from the executive power he had endeavoured to depose; and accordingly with faltering steps he walked towards Government House; and entering the waiting-room, he there took refuge under the very BRITISH FLAC which it had been the object of the whole of his political life to desecrate.'

Sir Francis then describes Mr Bidwell as frightened to death at the sight of the bundle of letters, addressed to himself, which Sir Francis held in his hand; and goes on to say, 'As I had "not sent for him, I of course waited to hear what he desired to say,' &c. The object of all this is to make the world believe that Mr Bidwell, in his consciousness of guilt, sought the Governor, and threw himself on his mercy. That Sir Francis must have completely forgotten the real facts, is clear from the following extract from the Commercial Advertiser, a most respectable paper published in New York, where Mr Bidwell now resides.—' We have always had the assurance from Mr Bidwell, that when he entered the presence of Sir Francis he did not * know that his letters had been intercepted, and that his first intimation of the fact was obtained by seeing the package on the table before the Governor. And we have corroboration of this fact in the truth that Mr Bidwell did not seek Sir Francis, but was urgently sent for by him, as is shown by the following note from the Governor's secretary, the original of which is lying before us as we write:-

GOVERNMENT HOUSE, Friday Morning.

SIR,—His Excellency the Lieut.-Governor desires me to say
that he wishes you to call at Government House immediately.
I have the honour to be, &c. &c.
J. JOSEPH.

' Marshal S. Bidwell, Esq.

"" Immediate" endorsed on the envelope, so pressing was the Governor's haste to see the gentleman whom he represents as coming abjectly to him in penitence and for protection."

We now come to the second great event of Sir Francis's warlike career in Canada, the affair of Navy Island; and on this point the work before us adheres to the version which Sir Francis has all along given. It has, in some cases, been most unfortunate for a right understanding of colonial events, that so many of our first impressions respecting them are derived from the official accounts, transmitted to our govern-

ment. Sir Francis Head has consequently had the advantage of having been our chief historiographer of the events for which he is in a great measure responsible. At was from his despatches that we derived those formidable accounts of the war of Navy Island, that we well recollect to have produced excitement and alarm throughout this country. The tale ran, that scarcely had the vigour of Head, and the loyalty of the Canadian people, suppressed the formidable civil war with which Mackenzie threatened Toronto, than a series of attacks along the frontier of the United States, proclaimed to the world the fact, that the designs of the rebels had been encouraged by that ambitious republic. The most formidable of these inroads, was that which Mackenzie ventured to make, at the head of a band of exiles and 'sympathisers,' on her Majesty's possession of Navy Island, in The lawless disposition of the people of the river of Niagara. the state of New York, prompted by the insidious connivance of its government, had enabled the outlaw to collect a numerous force, which was supplied with arms and artillery from the arsenals of the State. At the head of this body, which, in one of his earlier accounts. Sir Francis reckons at seven hundred men. Mackenzie crossed the narrow arm of the river that intervenes between the American shore and Navy Island; and, having planted the standard of rebellion on that strong position, for three weeks, by the aid of a battery of no less than twenty-six cannon, poured out death and destruction on our villages, and defied and disturbed our loyal fellow-subjects in Canada. The efforts of the gallant forces, at the head of which our Governor lay before the rebel intrenchments, were thwarted by the unprincipled opposition of the American people and authorities; who, hardly preserving the mask of neutrality, continued to pour men and supplies into the rebel At length, an act of singular daring on the part of our Governor brought the Americans to their senses, and put an end to this dangerous state of things. Justified by necessity in a temporary violation of the territory of our neighbour, he ordered the gallant expedition which in the dead of night cut the Caroline out of the lonely dock in which it lay, overpowered the resistance of its single sentry, and sent it drifting over the The fearful spectacle of the flaming vessel cataract below. plunging into that terrible abyss, is represented as having p duced a salutary awe throughout the lawless frontier of New York, repressed 'sympathy,' awed insurrection, and occasioned the evacuation of the rebel stronghold. Such is the tale which Sir Francis and his coadjutors told to the credulous public of this country, and by which they stimulated our indignation against the people of the United States, and our gratitude to the bold and wise men

who dared to assert the honour and protect the territory of her Majesty. Such is the tale which he repeats in the *Emigrant*, pointing it, in conformity with the plan of his work, with a long jeremiad about the degradation inflicted on the British name by Lord Ashburton's admitting that an apology should have been made for the violation of the American territory, and with a solemn denunciation of the Conservative premier who sanctioned this consummation of his country's shame.

A stranger fable never excited national antipathies, or excused the blunders of an inefficient functionary. It cannot be denied that, among the inhabitants of the American frontier, some sympathy was felt for the cause of the insurgents, whose efforts appeared to be directed to the same object as had been attained by the people of the United States in their War of Independence. In the scattered villages of the frontier, meetings were undoubtedly held, at which a few noisy orators purported, after the fashion of such meetings, to speak the sentiments of a community. Two or three cannon were stolen out of the frail buildings in which the arms of the militia of that wild and thinly-peopled country are deposited; and a few of the outcasts of an adventurous population were induced to join a handful of exiles in an enterprise which offered them hopes of activity and plunder. But there never was danger, save that which was created by the weakness of our own Governor; never mischief, except that which his unaccountable absurdity tolerated. And the only event that ever gave the invaders a chance of success, and ever menaced us with a real peril, was that notable expedient of attacking the Caroline, to which our safety is attibuted by its unwise author.

The American, Sutherland, who was one of the leaders of the expedition to Navy Island, when subsequently a prisoner at Quebec, gave the writer of this article the following account of the affair at Navy Island, of which, as misrepresentation could not in any way serve him, there is no reason to doubt the correctness. In all its main points it was exactly corroborated by a statement which an informant of ours received from Van Rensellaer, who was styled the general of the invading force. According to this account, the force that originally possed over to Navy Island consisted of Mackenzie, Van Rensellaer, Sutherland, and about twenty-three other persons. During the ensuing fortnight they were joined by from ten to twenty men a-day: and until the affair of the Caroline their whole numbers never amounted to more than between two and three hundred.

Official and other public sources of information enable us to

estimate the force which Sir Francis Head brought against them. Within less than a week from the occupation of Navy Island, he had under his orders, on the opposite bank of the river, a force which has never been estimated at less than four thousand militia and volunteers, and which, according to the more general The militia and notion, amounted to double that number. volunteers of Upper Canada had by that time served a considerable apprenticeship in arms: they consisted of the very flower of a hardy and energetic population: the life of the backwoods had given them a skill in the use of the rifle equal to that of the marksmen of Kentucky: they had the daring spirit of their race, roused for the defence of their homes and properties, and encouraged by the consciousness of right as well as power: and it must not be forgotten, that from the peculiar character of the emigration that had been filling Canada, their ranks contained a large number of half-pay officers and old soldiers. It is hardly too much to say, that for the service required of them, this force was little inferior to any regular army. For them to have effected a landing in Navy Island, mastered the barricades that had been thrown up, and swept away the intruders, would have been a matter of the most perfect ease and certainty. Sir Francis knew his own strength; and no excuse can be offered for any ignorance as to the opposing force, when, considering that Navy Island was visited all day by pleasure parties from Buffalo, who came down by the railroad to see a bit of 'real war,' he could for a few dollars have got the enemy counted and inspected as accurately as he could wish. And yet, with this overwhelming superiority of force, he remained, to use his favourite phrase, ' with folded arms,' looking on while this handful of vagabonds occupied the British territory, fired on our villages, and picked off our men by chance shots. He kept on invoking the interference of the American government against insurgents whom he chose to leave unmolested, on a spot where he alone was justified in assailing them. He created the imaginary 'necessity' for attacking the Caroline, by tolerating the existence of the nuisance which that vessel aided. In fact, by converting into a campaign of three weeks what should have been an affair of three-quarters of an hour, he prolonged the perilous excitement and disorder of Canada, and created all that serious hostility on the other side of the frontier, which was, in truth, the only real peril to which British North America was exposed.

The destruction of the Caroline, in fact, gave the intruders of Navy Island the only chance of success that ever visited them. Instead of terrifying the people of the American border, it exasperated them to the utmost pitch, and rendered their

sympathy' something of a dangerous reality. Sutherland stated, that within the week that followed that event, the force in Navy Island swelled from between two and three hundred to twelve hundred men. Very fortunately this accession of strength came too late; for all hopes of any co-operation in Canada having been proved to be groundless, the invaders had begun to quarrel among themselves, and having already determined to abandon their enterprise, could not avail themselves of the chance which the affair of the Caroline threw in their way.

Our readers will, perhaps, be somewhat unwilling to give us entire credit for our account of this business, because it imputes to Sir Francis Head, and his advisers, an amount of mismanagement which, we admit, is almost incredible. Much of it may be explained by the utter want of conduct and energy which Sir Francis always exhibited in his military operations. But, probably, the main clue to his course is to be found in his insatiable vanity. To fill a large space in the world's eye was the one end and aim of his Canadian being. A summary suppression of some three or four score of insurgents would have received no notice, beyond the approving despatch that would have acknowledged his account of the beginning and ending of the But an invaling force, of undefined magnitude, fixing its quarters in a portion of her Majesty's dominions, and beleaguered by an atmy of militia, together with the insolent practices by which a perfidious and ambitious neighbour menaced the integrity of the British empire, were objects sure of arresting the eager gaze of the world. By exaggerating the danger, and prolonging its existence, Sir Francis lengthened the notoriety which appeared to him to be fame.

But, after all, the principal cause of the voluntary prolongation of this invasion must be sought in the influences which acted on those who made an instrument of the Governor. in truth, he was always in the hands of others:—and now, having quarrelled with Colonel Fitzgibbon and every independent person who had once acted under him, having disgusted the old officers of the army and navy by ordering them to serve as privates under the lawyers' and merchants' clerks of Toronto, he was entirely in the hands of the Family Compact. Of their disposition to prolong the contest, the sordid motives may be easily seen. It was a 'multis utile bellum.' Its duration swelled the importance of the leading men, and depressed their adversaries. A large and profuse expenditure scattered the gold of England among their adherents. A vast body of militia and volunteers expended their pay in the principal towns and villages. Commissions in the militia were given to every relation and hangeron of the principal members of the government. One regiment is said to have been entirely officered by persons of the same family name. Profitable contracts enriched others of the favoured connexion. The privates, consisting of the gallant yeomanry who had flown to arms in defence of the empire, were, it is true, in want of common necessaries; but the officers drank champagne, and even got their boots at the expense of the government. The insurgents who made these otia were not to be roughly put out of the way. Nay, if by chance the flame should cross the frontier, and we should be involved in hostilities with the United States, there were many who recollected the last war with that country as a golden harvest for the favourites of government, and who would have incurred some risk for the

chance of an easy way of making a large fortune.

Such are the sober realities of the affair of Navy Island. Before them, the peril that menaced us, and the merits of those to whom we entrusted our defence, sink into very humble pro-But, what is more important, we trust that, in consequence, we may now succeed in relieving the public mind from that deep humiliation to which, according to Sir Francis's view, we are subjected by the explanation which Lord Ashburton gave respecting the destruction of the Caroline. The merits of that controversy between the two countries, depend entirely the absolute necessity of the violent measure to which we resorted. The supplying rebels on British soil from the territory of the United States, was undoubtedly a wrong done by that country to us. The gravity of that wrong must be judged of by the circumstances of the two countries. Among the defects which result from the very freedom of American institutions, and especially from the practical independence of the governments of the different States of the Union, perhaps the greatest of all is the weakness of their Executive. weakness is immeasurably aggravated by the vast extent of their frontier, and the thinness of its population. It is a defect of which a prudent neighbour would appreciate the causes; and knowing the impossibility of forcing a remedy, by altering either the institutions or the physical character of the country, he would limit his exertions to the means of preventing it from causing inconvenience to himself. But though the injury done us by the citizens of the United States was indisputable, if the Caroline was really employed in the service attributed to her, the proper remedy was as undoubtedly an application for redress to the government of the United States. taking the law into our own hands, was in itself an obvious violation of the first principles of the law of nations. could only be justified by the palpable and urgent necessity of

the case: the peril to ourselves must have been imminent, and no remedy but the one adopted open to us. How can we say that such was the case, when the whole insurrection, which was the foundation of the quarrel, was kept up by our own Colonial Government in the manner we have described? The government of the United States could not interfere to put down an insurrection in our territory. We alone had the right to do it; and can we say that there was any shadow of necessity for burning our neighbour's ship, when we could in an hour have freed ourselves from all the danger and all the mischief that could be done us from the United States, by clearing our own territory of a contemptible handful of insurgents?

The case cannot be stated more strongly in his own condemnation than it is by Sir Francis Head. He tells us that he could have carried Navy Island whenever he chose; and that every one was calling on him to do so. He then gives us this account of his own reason for leaving the rebels unmolested:—

Ever since my arrival in Canada I had been occupied in a chemical analysis of the comparative advantages between monarchical and republican institutions, in the result of which the civilized world was not only deeply interested, but was already more or less involved. Many great and good men in all countries were, I knew, looking to the continent of America for the solution of THE problem upon which the continuance of the governments of Europe and the destiny of millions, born as well as unborn, must eventually depend; and now what was the evidence that the two opposite shores of the Niagara river offered to these political inquirers? Why, on the one side the citizens of the republic, destitute of respect either for their own laws or for the laws of nations, had invaded and were preparing to massacre and plunder a neighbouring people with whom they were at peace, and who had offered them not the slightest cause for offence; and secondly, a government, if such it can be called, was openly declaring that it had not power to protect its own arsenals from plunder, and that it was utterly incompetent to restrain its people. On the other side of the river were to be seen assembled men of various races and colours, Scotch, Irish, and English, native Canadians, the red children of the forest, and lastly, the black population of the province. Ever since the retirement of the Queen's troops, the whole of these men had virtually been invested with absolute independence, either to continue under their monarchy or to become republicans. They had not only been invited to revolt, but had been told that, if they would but remain passive, others would revolt for them. The promise was fulfilled; yet, instead of hailing their "liberators," they had attacked them, had defeated them, and had driven them from the face of the land they wished to liberate; and now, although they had rushed to the frontier of their country to repel foreigners, whose avowed object was to force them, against their wills, to become republicans-although they had power to overwhelm them, and were burning to do so-in calm obedience to their laws, and to the administration of their government, they submitted with patience to insults they were competent to punish, and to aggressions they had power to revenge. And did this obedience exist only on the Niagara frontier? and was it merely created by the presence of the administrator of their government? No! It pervaded the whole province; it was indigenous to British soil. The supremacy of the law was the will of the Canadian people; it was what they were fighting for; it was what they themselves were upholding not because it was a gaudy transatlantic European theory, but because it was a practical substantial blessing—because it formed the title-deeds of their lands, the guardian of their liberty, the protector of their lives-because it was the suppressor of vice and immorality, and because it implanted, fostered, . and encouraged, in the minds of their wives and of their little children, gratitude and submission to the Great Author of their existence.

' Now, when on the British bank of the Niagara, I gazed at, and reflected on, the two pictures before me, it was evident to me that, even divesting the one of the chivalrous and enthusiastic feelings which characterised it, and the other of the base passions which disgraced it, the problem was clearly demonstrated, that, under equal excitement, life and property were insecure in the republican country, while under monarchical institutions both were protected. The contrast was so clear, the facts so strong, the evidence so convincing, and the conclusion so inevitable, that I felt convinced that the longer I could keep open the exhibition of these two pictures, the longer should I afford to the inhabitants of our North American Colonies, as well as to our politicians at home, of all descriptions, an opportunity of forming their own opinions. and of arriving at their own conclusions, on the important question in dispute; in short, that with the case before them, they would act as jurymen and as judges in a cause in which the whole family of mankind were interested.

We raise an outery against the government of the United States, abuse their people and institutions, and finally violate their territory under a plea of necessity, which arises from our tolerating the continuance of insurrection in our own territory;—not because we could not suppress it, but because our Governor chose to let it go on while he was making 'a chemical and alysis of the comparative advantages between monarchical and republican institutions! Certainly, such a caprice was never yet held by rational men to be a necessity: and when we find that such is the plea on which our case is rested by the representative of our government, we cannot but admire the wisdom of both Lord Ashburton and Mr Webster in settling the matter, by the expression of a wish that an apology had been made when it was certainly so amply due.

The time was now come for Sir Francis to quit his government. His mode of leaving it was a fitting scene in the melodrama which he had been playing. Dark rumours of assassination were conveyed to him from various quarters: and the ex-Governor determined to make the terror of his friends a pretext for traversing the United States in disguise. In a chapter called the Hunted Hare, he gives a moving tale of the perils amid which he and his faithful Judge Jones accomplished this strange adventure. We should sympathize with them much more heartily had we ever-found any human being that believed that any such perils had any real existence. We have always heard that the ex-Governor, having reached the town of Waterton in the character of servant to Judge Jones, chose to overact his humble part so grossly as to excite suspicion, and consequently provoke recognition; that having reached the next post, he quitted his carriage, and compelled his venerable companion to ride with him furiously on horseback over rough roads during a winter's night. no violence offered to them have we ever heard, nor does Sir Francis even now inform us. The only danger known to have existed was that incurred by the aged judge from a hard and cold exercise, to which his judicial frame was unused. That it would have been quite safe for Sir Francis to adventure himself, as Macleod afterwards did, in the very part of the United States which had been thrown into excitement by the business of the Caroline, we will not affirm: but we cannot believe that any manifestation of inhospitable violence was contemplated in a populous and civilized part, removed from all direct contact with the scenes of recent collision; and in which, from that time to the present, none of the many English travellers that have crossed it ever experienced outrage or insult. In his previous accounts, Sir Francis highly extolled the hospitality with which he was received in the United States, and never mentioned this disagreeable exception. His present belief, that he narrowly escaped from a band of sixty bloodthirsty pursuers by the speed of his horse, is supported in the story he now tells us by no single fact, except his having been accosted in the yard of an inn by a 'huge ' overgrown man' with 'an overheated countenance,' who asked him to satisfy an account for cheese which had been left unsettled by some previous governor of Canada: nor are we informed by what strange arts of physiognomy the spectator inferred from the aspect of this overgrown individual, the place from which he had come, the number of his companions, or the nefarious purpose of their pursuit. Admitting the truth of what Sir Francis assures us he actually saw, we must be excused for withholding our belief in what he imagines until the world is supplied with some proof more consonant with the received notions of evidence. Till then we shall charitably attribute the terrors which he avows to the influence of previous excitement and heated fancy: and

class his nightly ride from Waterton to Utica with the flight of Tam o' Shanter from the goblin creations of his own intoxication.

The ex-Governor reached home without further perils by land or sea. After a long absence, his mind was refreshed by the sight of an English landscape, in which every thing looked 'new:' and the yet stranger novelties of the railroad from Liverpool to London. In the 'Old Country' he found nothing to shock his love of newness save the Colonial Office, where he 'found every thing 'old;-old men, old women, old notions, old prejudices, old stuff, and old nonsense, and, what was infinitely worse, old 'principles.' He found Lord Durham going out to Canada to try to settle the things which he flattered himself he had satisfactorily settled. With his usual accuracy as to facts and dates, he infers that, as he found on his return from Canada (in the spring of 1838) Wales disturbed by an insurrection, and Birmingham by riots-which did not, as it happens, take place till a great deal more than twelve months afterwards-it would have been more reasonable to direct Lord Durham's inquiries to the mother country. From this time he waited in dignified silence until the close of Lord Durham's mission, and the publication of his Lordship's Report. The arch-leader of Lord Melbourne's government, as Lord John Russell is desigmated, seized with avidity on the recommendations of the Report as a means of carrying into effect his 'real designs,' which he could only venture at that time to 'unmask' in the Colonies. His 'clever project' was to 'paralyse the Queen's Sccretary of State for the Colonies, 'separate her Majesty's ' North American Colonies from the British Crown, ' establish 'democracy in our Colonies of the most luxurious growth,' and then hurrah! hurrah! my lads, for a republic at home!!' His 'sinewy, muscular' efforts to accomplish these, which every body is aware have always been the palpable objects of Lord John Russell's public conduct, would have been thwarted by Head, had Head found any body to assist, or even mind him. But Lord Melbourne was the only person who was decently civil to him. He tells us how Peel repulsed him, as we described before: that he could not get the Archbishop of Canterbury even to present a petition from him praying the House of Lords to hear him make a speech against the Union at its bar: and that so the bill passed. Its fatal consequences under the traitorous administration of Sir Robert Peel, are detailed in the chapter that follows. These consequences were the systematic discouragement of the loyal, and promotion of the treasonable leaders of the different rebellions in the two provinces, about which the ex-Governor retails all the personal calumnies and frantic complaints with which a few of the old jobbers of Canada have for the last four or five years been lamenting the great Imperial calamity of their losing their own offices and pa-

- tronage.

After a ludicrous detail of the calamities thus brought on Canada and the empire, and a grotesque picture of the certain ruin prepared for Queen and people by the wickedness of Peel, the author suddenly turns upon that great criminal in a chapter which he has entitled 'The Explosion;' and informs his startled reader that he is now going 'to explode the mine over which the reader has unconsciously been sitting during his perusal of the last fourteen chapters; and which is to scatter to the winds the whole political fabric he has been rearing.' But as the explosion involves a nice process of reasoning, Sir Francis is obliged to prepare for it by ten pages of explanation. substance of his argument is, that though Lord John Russell had long 'courageously determined to convert that splendid 'portion of the Queen's empire (Canada) into a republic,' he could not have done so if Sir Robert Peel had chosen to prevent him: that Sir Robert Peel did not do so, but, on the contrary, supported the Union bill; that he has since acted on a liberal policy in Canada, of which he privately recognises the utter failure; and that he excuses the whole of his errors by acknowledging in confidence—(Sir Francis says 'confidently,' meaning 'confidentially,')—that he and his friends knew nothing personally of Canada; 'and as a nobleman of high rank had been sent out by her Majesty's government to acquire 'information of which we were all ignorant, and as the Report of this impartial and distinguished statesman recommended that Upper and Lower Canada should be joined into one province, I deemed it advisable to support to the utmost of my 'power his Lordship's deliberate recommendation, based upon the experience which he had gained in his mission.'

'The moment for the explosion has arrived.'

The explosive matter consists of three reports of private conversations, and one private letter, which all show most clearly that, up to a month before he left Canada, Lord Durham expressed himself strongly against an union of the two provinces. Hence Sir Francis inters that the Report contained conclusions directly contary to those really entertained by Lord Durham; that consequently he signed it without reading or understanding it, when 'his mind had been temporarily affected,' or, 'to speak 'plainly, he was for a moment out of his senses;' and that consequently the whole foundation of Sir Robert Peel's argument for the union is knocked from under him by this clear proof, that

he really was not supported by the sober judgment of Lord Durham, which he relied upon as the one ground of the conclusion to which he came.

Admitting all this argument against the soundness of Sir Robert Peel's conclusion, we doubt whether the explosion will have so shattered the reader's logic, as to make him admit the, propriety of the course which Sir Francis, in the subsequent chapter headed 'Moral,' thereupon recommends this country to follow. That is, as Lord Durham's Report occasioned all the evil, to seek safety in simply reversing all that has been done in conformity with its recommendations—repealing the Union, and abolishing Responsible Government—and, in the event of any dissatisfaction being occasioned by such a policy, ordering our Governor, 'with British colours waving over his head, with the " ancient axiom, " Nolumus lages Anglia mutari" inscribed on 'the banner at his side-with his Bible undesecrated-with 'British laws unaltered—with the honour of the British name 'unsullied,' to 'bid farewell to the Canadian shore,' under a proper salute, and 'her Majesty's most carnest prayer,' &c. &c. We think that the logical reader will probably argue, that it would be the part of practical wisdom to try the expediency of maintaining or abrogating the Union and Responsible Government, rather by the actual results of the working of the new system, during the six or seven years of its operation, than by the validity of the arguments which led to its adoption. To whatever extent Lord Durham and Sir Robert Peel may have erred, before we incur the undoubted risks incident to such an abrogation of our policy, it will be deemed advisable, we conceive, to have such proofs of its failure as experience would readily furnish, if they existed. With these, however, Sir Francis does not favour us.

But the premises on which our author rests this bold conclusion are as disputable as his logic. In the first place, supposing the Report to have been as erroneous an index to Lord Durham's real opinion as Sir Francis would have us believe, we do not think that Sir Robert's support of the Union would have been entirely deprived of the ground on which he actually rested it. What he may say in confidence to his intimate friends we know not; and as Sir Francis, from his statements in this very book, is clearly not honoured by that confidence, we cannot take his authority for Sir Robert's private opinion. It is quite clear that the ground on which Sir Francis Head makes him rest that support is not only one on which it never was rested by Sir Robert, but one which he expressly repudiated. On the second and third reading of the Union bill, Sir Robert, Peel elaborately stated

his reasons for supporting it. On the last of these occasions he amplified what he had said on the first. He said:—'He preferred the attempt to govern the Canadas by an union of the two provinces to any other which had been proposed. What decided his mind in favour of the Union, was the preponderance of the local authorities in the provinces in support of it.' After referring to the opinions of different governors, and the votes of the Assembly of Upper Canada, he added that,—'He was not inclined to lay any great stress on the opinion of Lord Durham, or Mr Thomson, who had been but a short time in the colony; but he certainly did attach the greatest weight to that of Lord Seaton.' It is clear, therefore, that it was not Lord Durham who influenced Sir Robert; and unless Sir Francis has an explosion in store for Lord Seaton, we do not see how he can blow up the authority on which Sir Robert Peel relied.

But we must not leave the 'explosion' to waste its destructive powers on Lord Durham's Report. Even had his lordship been entirely a stranger to that document, had his intellects been as disordered as his assailant would charitably suppose them to have been, the value of the Report, by whomever written, would have rested on the soundness of its views and the wisdom of its recom-The discrepancy, however, of its conclusions, from the previous opinions of Lord Durham, can hardly be taken as proof of the groundless assertion that he was not its author; for the facts which Sir Francis has 'discovered' and 'disclosed' throw no new light on the matter. That Lord Durham changed his opinions there is no denying; it is matter of notoricty. There is no denying that while he was in Canada his dislike to the union of the two Canadas was as well known as his presence in the Province. If Sir Francis had done any of Lord Durham's friends the honour of consulting them on this strange revelation, they might have spared him the trouble of proving his case by resorting to disreputable violations of private conversation and correspondence. They might have given him, not four, but fifty proofs of what Lord Durham said and wrote to almost every human being with whom he conversed, and which, in fact, no one took any trouble to conceal. In fact, they might have simplified the whole matter by referring him to the Report, in which the change of opinion is declared and accounted for.

It is well known that when Lord Durham went to Canada, his desire was to give effect to a plan for uniting all the provinces of British North America into one Federal Union. This purpose he announced in June, or July, to the principal persons of the British party in Montreal; and to secure the adoption and arrange the details of his plan, he called together, first, the

Governors of the Lower Provinces, and secondly, that deputation of delegates from them which was assembled at Quebec at the very moment in which he received the intelligence that led to his return home. At this scheme he laboured most zealously, and he succeeded in making many converts to his views. He found himself opposed by the adherents of the long cherished plan for a simple Legislative Union of the two Canadas. To this plan Lord Durham was then averse, because, as he frequently states in his despatches, he was apprehensive of its leading to injustice towards the French of Lower Canada. He expressed those objections, as was his fashion, in plain and strong terms; and he did not scruple to point out the selfish motives, which undoubtedly did influence many of the people of Montreal to prefer the lesser plan, that would most certainly benefit their own town, to the larger scheme, which he deemed most conducive to the well-being of the North American provinces, and the greatness of the empire.

The discussions with the delegates of the Lower Provinces had, however, pointed out various difficulties in the details of a general union. What was more important, they had raised great doubts as to the ripeness of the public mind in the Lower Provinces for an union with the Canadas. Nevertheless, we believe that Lord Durham, when he left Canada, was still so much inclined to his original plan, that he was disposed rather to wait for the period at which it might be accomplished, than to propose, in the first instance, any less extensive union. The second insurrection, which broke out during his voyage home, convinced him that the disorders of Lower Canada would admit of no delay; and compelled him, much against his inclination, to admit that the present peril must be guarded against by an

immediate adoption of an union of the Canadas.

These are no gratuitous suppositions of ours. The process of conviction, which we have described, is written in the Re-In fact, as will appear from the extracts which follow, the true character of that Report may best be given by describing it as arguing for a general union of the provinces, with a recommendation that until that purpose can be accomplished, we must be content with an union of the Canadas alone. hear Lord Durham himself:—'On my first arrival in Canada,' he says in p. 110 of the Report, 'I was strongly inclined to the project of a federal union, and it was with such a plan in view. that I discussed a general measure for the government of the colonies, with the deputations from the Lower Provinces, and with various leading individuals and public bodies in I was fully aware that it might be objected both the Canadas.

that a federal union would, in many cases, produce a weak and rather a cumbrous government: that a Colonial federa-'tion must have, in fact, little legitimate authority or busieness, the greater part of the ordinary functions of a federation falling within the scope of the imperial legislature and executive; and that the main inducement to federation, which is the necessity of conciliating the pretensions of independent states to the maintenance of their own sovereignty, could onot exist in the case of Colonial dependencies, liable to be 'moulded according to the pleasure of the supreme authority at home. In the course of the discussions which I have mentioned, I became aware also of great practical difficulties in any plan of federal government, particularly those that must arise in the management of the general revenues, which would in such a plan have to be again distributed among the provinces. But I had still more strongly impressed on me the great advantages of an united government; and I was gratified by finding the leading minds of the various colonics strongly and generally inclined to a scheme that would elevate their countries into something like a national existence. I thought that it would be the tendency of a federation sanctioned and consolidated by a monarchical government, gradually to become a complete e legislative union; and that thus, while conciliating the French of Lower Canada, by leaving them the government of their own province, and their own internal legislation, I might provide for the protection of British interests by the general government, and for the gradual transition of the provinces ' into an united and homogeneous community.

But the period of gradual transition is past in Lower Canada. In the present state of feeling among the French population, I cannot doubt that any power which they might possess would be 'used against the policy and the very existence of any form of 6 British government. I cannot doubt that any French Assembly 'that' shall again meet in Lower Canada will use whatever 'power, be it more or less limited, it may have, to obstruct the government, and undo whatever has been done by it. 'Time, and the honest co-operation of the various parties, would be required to aid the action of a federal constitution: 'and time is not allowed, in the present state of Lower Canada. 'nor co-operation to be expected from a legislature, of which 'the majority shall represent its French inhabitants. I believe that tranquillity can only be restored by subjecting the province to the vigorous rule of an English majority; and that the only efficacious government would be that formed by a legislative " union."

After entering into certain details of the actual proportion of the two races, he argues that an union of the two provinces would effect his purpose. At the end of this, however, he adds, in the next page: - But while I convince myself that such 'desirable ends would be secured by the legislative union of the 'two provinces, I am inclined to go further, and inquire whether 'all these objects would not more surely be attained, by extending this legislative union over all the British provinces in 'North America; and whether the advantages which I anticipate 'for two of them, might not, and should not in justice be extended Such an union would at once decisively settle the 'question of races; it would enable all the provinces to co-operate for all common purposes; and, above all, it would form a great 'and powerful people, possessing the means of securing good and responsible government for itself, and which, under the protec-'tion of the British Empire, might in some measure counterbalance the preponderant and increasing influence of the United 'States on the American continent.'

He then goes on for about four pages to reason on the advantages of this extended union of British North America: and finally in p. 115, thus sums up his practical conclusions:- With such 'views, I should without hesitation recommend the immediate 'adoption of a general legislative union of all the British provinces in North America, if the regular course of government were suspended or perilled in the Lower provinces, and the 'necessity of the immediate adoption of a plan for their government, without reference to them, a matter of urgency; or if it were possible to delay the adoption of a measure with respect to the Canadas until the project of an union could have been referred to the legislatures of the Lower provinces. state of the Lower provinces, though it justifies the proposal of an union, would not, I think, render it gracious, or even just, on the part of Parliament to carry it into effect without referring it for the ample deliberation and consent of the people of those colonies. Moreover, the state of the two Canadas is such, that neither the feelings of the parties concerned, nor the interests of the crown or the colonies themselves, will admit of a single session, or even of a large portion of a session of • Parliament being allowed to pass without a definite decision by the imperial legislature, as to the basis on which it purposes to found the future government of those colonies.

'In existing circumstances, the conclusion to which the foregoing considerations lead me, is, that no time should be lost in proposing to parliament a bill for repealing the 31st Geo. III.; restoring the union of the Canadas under one legislature; and

reconstituting them as one province.

'The bill should contain provisions by which any or all of the other North American colonies may, on the application of the legislature, be, with the consent of the two Canadas, or their united legislature, admitted into the union on such terms as may be agreed on between them.'

Such being Lord Durham's account of his change of mind on this subject, we think the public will find an explanation of the fact, that after having, while in Canada, been averse to the union of the two provinces, when proposed as a final measure, he did, without being at all mad, or unconscious of what he was doing, conclude by proposing that union as the first step in a scheme for that larger union which he had always preferred; and if Sir Francis had read and understood the Report, he might have spared himself the ridicule of this explosive revelation of a mystery

already explained in a public document.

We need not enter into any detailed vindication of the working of the Union and Responsible Government against the complaints which Sir Francis, echoing the lamentations of a few displaced officials, makes against what they are pleased to call that systematic elevation of the rebel, and depression of the loyal, which they charge on Sir Robert Peel. It is no doubt true, that after the execution of several of the principal leaders in various outbreaks, when a vast number of those persons who had been convicted, or had fled the province, had expiated their offences in a transportation or banishment of some four or five years, Lord Metcalfe did gradually obtain pardons for every person except Mackenzie and Dr Robert Nelson, the principal culprits in each Such amnesty needs no defence. But it is not true that any person undoubtedly guilty of taking arms against her Majesty has been promoted to any office of dignity and importance. And, indeed, Sir Francis only pretends to make out his case, by classing in the category of traitors every person who has at any time happened to be in opposition to the government, or to have been the object of a precipitate charge of treason or sedition. Thus Mr Baldwin, against whom no accusation of treason, sedition, or even indiscreet language, was ever hazarded by his most heated opponent in the province, even in the most heated times, is placed foremost in the group of rebels, because he spoke and voted against Sir Francis Head in the Provincial Parliament. The late M. Valliere de St. Real, made chief justice of Montreal because he was indisputably the ablest lawyer in the province, is represented as a traitor, because, in the course of the rebellion,

he was suspended by Sir John Colborne from his judgeship at Three Rivers, for scrutinizing the special ordinances with too legal an eye. And the old charge of treasonable correspondence with rebels is renewed against M. Lafontaine, on the ground of a garbled extract from two sentences in a long letter, containing a foolish joke, addressed to a friend who, ten months afterwards, was supposed to be compromised in an outbreak.

By the same loose reasoning all those who sided with the Governor and the official party, not merely in the rebellion, but in the various conflicts of provincial politics, are extolled as loyal men, whom the Imperial Government is for ever bound to uphold in the possession of political power. Such a pretension has never been admitted in any free government. Lord Sidmouth's services in suppressing Thistlewood's conspiracy, or Lord Normanby's in putting down Frost's insurrection, were never deemed to entitle them, and the whole party to which they respectively belonged, to a perpetual tenure of office. services even more distinguished than that of simply taking the right side in a rebellion, have never been held to give a claim to hold power in spite of the public voice. The Duke of Wellington may, we think, fairly be said to have done his country better service than Sir Allan Macnab, or even Sir Francis Head; and yet we never heard it contended that the honour of the British crown was tarnished by his Grace's remaining for ten years. In free governments, the people must be left to out of office. form their own estimate of the claims created by such services: and if the Tories of the two Canadas have not had uninterrupted possession of office, that result must be ascribed to the free choice of the loyal majority of the province, who cannot be said to have been signally discouraged by being enabled to exercise such influence in the selection of their rulers. And it would be an entire mistake to imagine, on Sir Francis's authority, that the effect of the Union has been, by means of a combination of Upper Canada republicans with the disaffected French of the Lower province, to place those whom he describes as the loyal always at the feet of those whom he denounces as rebels. The truth is, that, in spite of this much-dreaded junction, the leaders of the Reform and French parties have held power for a very short time, and are now in a decided minority; and the government, since the Union, has been in the hands of a party comprising all the better portion of the Tories of former times. Nay, we do not see that the very heroes of the Family Compact have been so very hardly used as Sir Francis would make out. Chief-Justice Robinson has been removed from his political post of President of the

Legislative Council, which he never ought to have held; but he still is Chief-Justice. Sir Allan Macnab would be Speaker now if he had not resigned his office in June last for one which he thought better, and has since thrown up, no one exactly knows why. Faithful Judge Jones and heroic Judge Maclean have never been displaced. Sir Francis's Attorney-General, Hagerman, ceased to be Attorney-General only on being elevated to the Bench. And Mr Draper, Sir Francis's Solicitor-General, has ceased to be Solicitor-General only because he is Attorney-General, and actually the leader of the existing provincial ministry. We know of no one who has a right to complain except one person, whose merits and claims Sir Francis carefully abstains from ever mentioning,—we mean Colonel Fitzgibbon; and we must say that the treatment experienced by this distinguished officer, to whose foresight and courage we owe, under God, the safety of Toronto, has not been creditable to the generosity or justice of the mother country. The Assembly, soon after the insurrection, recognised his services by a vote of five thousand acres of wild land. The Colonial Office stopped this as violating what is, no doubt, the sound rule of allowing these lands to pass from the crown only by sale. The Assembly thereupon declared that Colonel Fitzgibbon's services ought to be rewarded by a grant of L.2000. One thousand of this they voted; the other they declared ought-to be contributed by the imperial treasury. And this up to this hour has been refused. Our Government, forgetting all its magnificent promises to those who should defend the mother country assailed in one of her colonies, now regards the saving of that colony as a purely provincial service, which no one out of the province is concerned in rewarding.

We have now pretty well picked our crow, and we may safely dismiss the political history and theories of Sir Francis Head into the region of chimeras and confusion. We have said enough, we hope, to prevent his statements from weakening any man's confidence in the wisdom or success of the great experiment adopted first by Lord John Russell, and secondly with even greater completeness by Sir Robert Peel, in pursuance of Lord Durham's Report. Hitherto the result of that experiment has exceeded our expectations. It has not, indeed, produced the marvellous effect of suddenly amalgamating parties and races, separated by the animosities originating in long misgovernment. It has not in six years completely consolidated a variety of conflicting interests and sections into well-esta blished parties, or raised up any body of men competent to mould the fractional elements of the United Assembly into

a decided and steady majority. The position of the present provincial ministry, acquired and maintained amid excitement and strife, is probably precarious; nor do we yet see any very certain prospect of the speedy formation of a strong government. But we are not dismayed at finding exhibited in a community, newly formed out of two provinces distracted by anarchy and civil war, the weakness which any sudden change of ancient institutions, and any violent disruption of parties, has often exhibited in older countries—even in England itself. The Union has wrought this great change—that the quarrels before decided by arms are now settled in debates and elections; that the arm of government is no longer paralysed by that permanent collision between the executive and legislative authorities which used to keep British North America in what Lord Durham called a state of 'constituted anarchy;' that the resentments springing out of past misgovernment and dissension, if not wholly removed, have been materially softened; that if the most perfect harmony has not suddenly been created, we have at least been free from the dread of rebellion and adhesion to a foreign country. And those who reproach Sir Robert Peel with his Canadian policy as a dereliction of his principles, and his duty to the Crown, blame him for what was the true Conservative policy,—the only policy that could, or can preserve British North America to the Crown, or render its preservation desirable.

The questions that disturbed the past are settled. Whatever dangers now threaten these provinces, or their connexion with the empire, are the offspring of new contingencies in the progress of affairs. Such dangers, we believe, will be averted by a policy which, while it steadtastly upholds the changes already wrought, shall direct itself to the accomplishment of the larger views embodied in Lord Durham's Report. When, by such a policy, we shall have succeeded in forming these vast and important possessions into a compact and powerful community, and in exhibiting on that wide theatre the useful working of the fundamental institutions of the British monarchy, we may hope to have provided for the tranquillity and security of British North America a long, honourable, and advantageous continuance of its connexion with the mother country. And the realization of such hopes we may fairly expect at the hands of those distinguished connexions of Lord Durham who now preside over the Colonial Department, and the Government of Canada.

ART. VI.—A Brief Discourse of the Troubles begun at Frankfort in the year 1554, about the Book of Common Proyer and Ceremonies. 1575. Reprinted, London: 1846. 8.

No revolution ever effected greater changes than ensued in England upon the death of Edward VI. The triumph of the constitutional rule of the descent of the throne, in opposition to the favourers of Lady Jane Grey, and the accession of Mary, not merely altered the political relations between England and every other state in Europe—they carried change into every parish, and almost into every family in the kingdom. waiting for parliamentary sanctions, the great body of the English people, and especially of the clergy, outran even the expression of a wish on the part of the new sovereign that they should They were as eager in proclaiming their rereturn to Rome. conciliation with the discarded Pontiff, as if they had been solely bent on proving the accuracy of the estimate of their religious character formed by Michele the Venetian ambassador; who, writing in 1557, declares, that 'with the English, the example and ' authority of the sovereign is every thing. They live as he lives -they believe as he believes—and they obey his commands, 'not from any inward moral impulse, but because they fear to 'incur displeasure; and they would be full as zealous followers of the Mahometan or Jewish religions, did the king profess either of them, or command his subjects to do so." The services of the ancient faith were at once restored. Compliant pastors led back obedient flocks to old customs and observances which still retained prescriptive hold upon their hearts. Vestments, chalices, roods, relics, images, and breviaries were, as it were, disinterred. The novel sumpsimus was discarded ignominiously; the old mumpsimus resumed his ancient sway. It was, indeed, one of the most vigorous attempts at retrogression ever made by any people; and it was maintained for several years with the most scrupulous perseverance. But the volume before us directs our attention to one only of the results of Mary's establishment,—the voluntary exile of no fewer than eight hundred English people, who had been favourers of the ecclesiastical changes introduced under Edward VI.: And it is to the character and conduct of those sufferers for conscience-sake, that we shall principally confine our attention in the present article.

^{*} Ellis's Letters, Second Ser. ii. 239.

The fate of the Protestant party in England at Mary's accession. may, in general terms, be stated to have been threefold. numerous body—the most forward, or most accessible—became the subjects of active persecution. The astounding number of two hundred and eighty-eight persons suffered death by fire! The sad details of the deaths of many of them are familiar to every one; but there is a concentration of horror in a simple passage of one of Sir William Cecil's Diaries, which brings home to one's mind the astounding wickedness of such a state of things more forcibly than the most laboured description. The entry is under the date of June 1558, and the words are, 'NOW BURNING IN 'smithfield seven in one fire!' It seems as if the cautious statesman had, by some chance, caught a passing glimpse of the hideous sacrifice, and, terror-stricken at the sight, had rushed to his house in Cannon Row, where, while his mind was excited by the act of desperate wickedness which he had beheld, he at once registered the awful fact in his table-book. 'They were the 'heretics,' to adopt the language of Shakspeare, who has been sometimes thought to have been a Romanist, 'who made the fire: ' not they who burnt in it.'

A vast number died in prison; where their sufferings were rendered as galling as possible, by the mean contrivances of a paltry Gardiner, bishop of Winchester, was accustomed to send his alms-basket to the Marshalsea; but, though he gave his goods to feed the poor, he had no charity; for he directed his almoner (Brooks, who succeeded Hooper in the see of Gloucester) to charge the gatekeeper, that none of the heretics should have any portion of his alms.* This was at a time when the prisons were filled, and many of the prisoners, who had been dragged from their homes and their means of livelihood, were dying of sickness, if not of starvation. Their sufferings are detailed with painful minuteness by one of their number, old Father Coverdale, in his preface to the Letters of the Martyrs. † 'Some,' he says, 'being thrown into dungeons, ugsome holes-dark, loathsome, and 'stinking corners; other some lying in fetters and chains, and ' loaded with so many irons that they could scarcely stir; some in the stocks with their heels upwards; some having their legs in the stocks, and their necks chained to the wall with gorgets of ' iron; some, both hands and legs in the stocks at once, sometimes 6 both hands in and both legs out, sometimes the right hand with the left leg, or the left hand with the right leg, fastened in the 6 stocks with manacles and fetters, having neither stool nor stone to

^{*} Strype's Cranmer, p. 310.

sit on, to ease their woeful bodies withal; some standing in most ' painful engines of iron, with their bodies doubled; some whipped and scourged, beat with rods, and buffeted with fists; some having their hands burned with a candle to try their patience, or force them to relent; some hunger-pined and most miserably famished.' 'Again,' he says, that 'they were so narrowly watched, and straitly kept from all necessary helps, as paper, ink, books, and suchlike, that great marvel it is how they could be able to write any one of these, or other so excellent and worthy let-For so hardly were they used, as I said afore, for the ' most part, that they could not end their letters begun, sometime for lack of ease, being so fettered with chains and otherwise handled as you have heard; sometime for lack of light, when they could neither see to write well, nor to read their eletters again; and sometimes through the hasty coming-in of the keepers or officers, who left no corner nor hed-straw unsearched; yea, sometimes they were put to so hard shifts, that ' like as for lack of pens they were fain to write with the lead of the windows, so for want of ink they took their own blood, as vet it remaineth to be seen.' *

A second portion of the Protestant party consisted of persons who wholly or in part conformed to the new order of things, or whose nonconformity escaped, or was allowed to pass, unnoticed. Seven of the dioceses of England are infamously conspicuous in the history of this persecution,—Chichester, Canterbury, Coventry and Lichfield, Norwich, Rochester, Salisbury, and, above all, London; the bishops being Christopherson, Pole, (who allowed Harpsfield, his archdeacon, to shed blood like water,) Baynes, Hopton, Griffin, Capon, and, facile princeps, Bonner. In most of the other bishoprics there was little persecution; and the three northern dioceses, York, Carlisle, and Durham, This was partly owing to their diswere entirely free. tance from the seat of government, and partly to the comparatively few Protestants in the northern districts of England; but principally to the humanity of the bishops, Heath, Oglethorp, and Cuthbert Tonstall. In these dioceses Protestants lived unmolested, if they were peaceable; and even men, who were obnoxious to the higher powers, occasionally found shelter and security. Bernard Gilpin was protected by Tonstall; Harley, bishop of Hereford, wandered up and down the country instructing a little flock in woods and secret places. † Bullingham and Gheast, both afterwards bishops, hid themselves in holes and lurking-places, which they often changed.

^{*} Letters of the Martyrs, p. 27.

[†] Strype's Mem, ii. p. 465.

who was afterwards archbishop of Canterbury, was 'diven into 'a corner, spoiled, and impoverished;'—on one occasion, when sought to be apprehended, he fled by night, and, falling off his horse, was hurt so dangerously that he says, 'I shall never recover it;' but he escaped from his pursuers, and passed the rest of Mary's reign in concealment, in the house of one of his friends; versifying the Book of Psalms, and writing his treatise in defence of priests' marriages.† Ascham was protected by Gardiner's love for good scholarship; Gresham, by his importance as a mercantile and political agent; and Cecil, by the friendship of Cardinal Pole.

A third section of the Protestant party consisted of those who sought safety in other countries. It had been the policy of Cranmer (strangely deviated from afterwards by James the First and Charles) to keep up a connexion between England and the chief continental Protestant divines, as a kind of substitute for the broken ties which had formerly bound the English Church to Rome. This friendliness had led many eminent theologians to visit England; and others were invited thither, in order that Oxford and Cambridge might have the benefit of their learning. Cranmer received these strangers with most liberal and cordial hospitality, and procured them such preferments and appointments as were suitable to their talents and inclinations. Martyr was appointed divinity professor at Oxford; Bucer and Pagius were sent to Cambridge; Bernardine Ochin preached in London; and Regelius, Peter Alexander, Justus Jonas, Dryander, Rodolph Gualter, and several other foreigners of eminence, were, from time to time, partakers of the princely hospitalities of England was also visited by multitudes of exiles, who sought shelter from the intolerance of foreign potentates. It redounds greatly to the credit of the government of Edward VI., that they were kindly received and protected. They were encouraged in the exercise of the mechanical arts they

^{*} Burnet, Ref. Vol. ii. Part 2. App. viii.

[†] Strype had not 'come to the sight of 'Parker's translation of the Psalms when he wrote his life; but there are copies in the British Museum, at the Bodleian, at Lambeth, and at Canterbury Cathedral. It was printed by Daye anonymously, and without date, but probably in 1561, or the year following. The copy at Lambeth is a presentation copy from 'Margaret Parker,' the archbishop's wife, to the Countess of Shrewsbury.—See Dibdin's Ames, iv. 175; Warton's Eng. Poetry, iv. 5; and Gent. Mag. for 1781, p. 566. The Archbishop's Defence of Priests' Marriages, was printed by Richard Jugge, without date, but probably in 1562. See Dibdin's Ames, iv. 268. Strype's Parker, 504.

brought with them; and in matters of religion they were permitted to follow the dictates of their conscience with a freedom beyond what was at that time granted to the king's natural born subjects. In London, the church of the Austin Friars was granted to a body of German refugees, who were incorporated by royal charter, under the superintendence of John à Lasco, a distinguished Pole, a friend of Erasmus, and the purchaser of his library. There was also in the metropolis a congregation of Italians under Michael Angelo Florio, and another of Frenchmen. Foreign churches were settled at Canterbury, Norwich, and other large towns; and a body of Flemish weavers of kersies, who were specially patronised by Protector Somerset, established themselves at Glastonbury, under the superintendency of Valerandus

Pollanus, who had been a minister at Strasburgh.

One of the earliest acts of Mary's council was to withdraw the privileges which had been granted to these foreign exiles. This was to be expected. They were principally contumacious subjects of the Queen's relative and intimate ally, the Emperor; and they exhibited before the eyes of her own people, the consummation of that Protestant system, which, in all its details, was to her as wormwood. They were accordingly commanded to depart the realm; and the officers of the principal outports were directed to facilitate their embarkation. After long uncertainty whither to direct their course, the German exiles determined in favour of Denmark, partly on account of the reputation of its sovereign, Christian III., who had established the Reformation throughout his territories; and partly by the favourable circumstance that two Danish ships were then lying in the Thames, ready to receive them on board, and anxious to depart homewards with the first favourable wind. In these ships à Lasco with three hundred and fifty companions, many of them having families, embarked on the 17th September 1553. The voyage was a most disastrous one. Opposed by unfavourable winds and stormy weather, it was six weeks before they passed through the Sound and reached the coast of Denmark. There unanticipated troubles awaited them. Before they were permitted to set foot on shore, certain ecclesiastical authorities examined them as to their faith. It was found that in the matter of the Eucharist they were Suvermerians, Sacramentiperdians, or, in some way or other, not quite orthodox, according to the notions of rigid Lutheranism. The objection was fatal;—they were forbidden to land. The shelter of Denmark was denied to them, and, in the commencing winter of a northern climate, they were compelled again to loose their sails, and seek some spot where Christian charity ranked higher than the dogmas of theology. They applied successively to Rostock, Weimar, and Lu-

beck, in vain. They left the inhospitable Baltic, and sailed round to Hamburgh, but still without success. The Lutheran formula of the Eucharist not merely withheld from them the rights of hospitality, but exposed them to insult and reproach. Joachim Westphalus, a celebrated Lutheran theologian of Hamburgh, a man acerbissimi ingenii, not only opposed them strenuously, and printed books against their doctrines, but was accustomed to term their brethren who afterwards suffered for Protestantism in England, the 'devil's martyrs.' At length, in March 1554, they touched in East Friesland. The countess Anna took compassion upon them; the people of Emden followed her example, and, after six months' wandering, and passing a winter at sea, they found a protectress and a home.* The inhabitants of the wild and barren country which was then termed East Friesland, and is now Aurich, a province of Hanover, were long celebrated for a jealous love of freedom. Would that we could always see, as in the instance now before us, the natural connexion between the love of freedom and the practice of the first and greatest of all Christian virtues steadily maintained!

Within a few months after the departure of à Lasco, many other foreigners quitted England; Rye and Dover being the principal places of embarkation. Pollanus and the weavers of kersies at Glastonbury submitted to the general fate, and removed to Frankfort, where they were kindly received. The church of the White Ladies was granted to them for their worship, and they took possession of it on the 20th April 1554: Pollanus celebrating the occasion by a sermon, and by the baptism

' of his young son in the Rhine.' †

Peter Martyr soon found that his occupation at Oxford was gone. The members of that loyal university were overwhelmed with grief on the proclamation of Lady Jane Grey; and were proportionably elated when the brief usurpation came to an end. The accession of Mary produced the most uproarious rejoicing. Subscriptions were entered into to maintain her cause; shouts and feasting prevailed throughout the city, and the fagot, the scaffold, and all other varieties of death, were denounced against the Gospellers. Peter Martyr was forbidden to leave his house; and Sydall, one of his willow friends, ever ready to conform, was made responsible for his appearance. After six weeks' submission to this restraint, Julio Terentiano, Martyr's companion and friend, who had accompanied him from Switzerland,

^{*} Gerdes, Hist. Evang., iii. p. 237.

[†] Original Letters relative to Eng. Reform. (Parker Soc.) i. 3

repaired to London to make exertions on his behalf. every one who had the will to aid him was overwhelmed in the common trouble. At last he met with Whittingham, who was afterwards Dean of Durham. He had just returned from a long absence in France, and, being but little involved in the proceedings of the past reign, had leisure to attend to the troubles of others. He took up the cause of these distressed foreigners; and prepared a memorial to the Council in Martyr's name; setting out in it the invitation of Edward VI., upon which he had come to England, and praying that, as the Queen had no longer any occasion for his services, he might be permitted to return home. Whittingham and Terentiano went together to Richmond, and the petition was presented. After several days' fruitless attendance, they, with much difficulty, prevailed upon Sir John Mason to interfere, and permission was given that Martyr might come himself to London, and prosecute his suit. Removal from Oxford was a great point gained. Martyr instantly took advantage of the permission, and hurried to Lambeth, where his friend Cranmer was still at liberty, and as friendly and hospitable as ever. It was in the month of September 1553, and on a Thursday. Martyr dined with the Archbishop; and after dinner he came into Martyr's chamber, and conferred privately with him respecting their common difficulties. Craniner told his guest, 'that he ' himself must of necessity abide a trial, and that it was certain that he should never see him again; he recommended Martyr to be urgent for his passports, on obtaining which he should depart; but, should he fail in obtaining them, he must consult his safety by flight, for that no justice was to be expected from his adver-'saries. 'Oh God!' exclaims Julio Terentiano, from whose narrative these particulars are derived, 'who can explore the depth of thy counsels? About five days after the Archbishop of Canterbury had been committed to the Tower, a safe conduct, and a most honourable one, was given by the Queen to 6 Master Peter.* ' Martyr lost no time in acting upon Cranmer's Accompanied by Bernardine Ochin, he crossed to Antwerp, and proceeded thence to Strasburg, where he occupied himself as a teacher of divinity.

Many English people who felt themselves to be obnoxious to the new government, took advantage of these departures of foreigners to leave the realm without passports, in the character of their servants. But that subterfuge was soon discovered: And, so strict a watch was kept in consequence at the outports, that persons who afterwards left the realm were generally obliged to have recourse to stratagems of a more subtle kind. Many Protestants doubted how far it was right to forsake their cause, and Cranmer was consulted upon the point. His advice, which is published in the Letters of the Martyrs,* was clear and decided. 'I exhort you,' he said, being himself in prison, 'as 'well by Christ's commandment as by the example of him and 'his apostles, to withdraw yourself from the malice of your and 'God's enemies, into some place where God is most purely served; which is no slandering of the truth, but a preserving of yourself to God and the truth, and to the society and comfort 'of Christ's little flock. And that you will do, do it with speed, 'lest by your own folly you fall into the persecutors' hands.' Many persons acted upon this advice. The greater number escaped unobserved in the trading vessels which were sailing continually between England and the Low Countries; others in the boats of fishermen, and those of the usual conveyers of letters and intelligence. Many crossed from ports whence there was no ordinary communication with the Continent; and, in a few instances of distinguished persons, they were helped by their friends on the other side, who sent ships to wait for them at the mouth of the Thames. A lively picture of the ingenuity with which they sometimes evaded the slighter kind of difficulties which stood in their way, is given in a MS. life of Whittingham, in the Ashmolean Library. The facts related took place in May or June 1554.

'Queen Mary being proclaimed, and a taste given of the alteration of religion, he forthwith resolved to go again beyond the seas; and riding over London Bridge, in his way to Dover, and thence to take shipping, he met Mr Harding, who wrote against Jewell, on the bridge; who, after salutations, asked him whither he was a-going. Mr Whittingham answered, that he was going beyond the seas. Mr Harding demanded of him the cause. He answered, "Did you not hear the proclamation, and how the whore of Rome is again erected among us?" To which Mr Harding replied, "Happy are you that go for so good a cause." Mr Whittingham and his company coming to Dover, at night, whilst they were at supper,

^{*} P. 18. edit. 1837. Cranmer's letter was addressed to Mrs Wilkinson, a resident in London, who was a zealous and liberal supporter of the persecuted Protestants. She continued in England until after Bradford was burnt, and then made her way to Frankfort, where she died.

, the host of the house told his guests, that, after supper, he 'must carry them before the magistrate or mayor of the town, to be questioned concerning the cause or errand of their going beyond the sea; for the magistrate had received strict command from the Council for the examination of every passenger, and Mr Mayor had as strictly enjoined them (the innkeepers) to bring their guests to be examined as aforesaid; wherein the host seeming to be more peremptory and precise, it made his news the more distasteful, and, in part, to vex his guests. Whilst they were in this anxiety, there being a fair greyhound waiting on the table for relief, Mr Whittingham chanced to say, "Mine host, you have here a very fair greyhound." "Aye," said the host, "this greyhound is a fair greyhound indeed, and is of the Queen's kind." "Queen's kind!" said Whittingham, "what mean you by that? This is a strange speech! What good subject can endure to hear such words of his sovereign, to have her Majesty to be compared in kind with the kind of a dog?" And said, that the words were very treasonable, and that he could not see how they could be excused if they should not go and acquaint the magistrate with it; and did further so aggravate the matter, even of purpose, as they did drive the host into such a fear as he durst not once mention the carrying of them before the magistrate any more, but was glad to be so freed from their incumbrance.' *

Foxe, the future martyrologist, was sheltered by his pupil the Duke of Norfolk; the same who was beheaded in 1571, but who was then a young man, and had not come to the dukedom. Upon one occasion Foxe suddenly entered an apartment in his pupil's house, where he and Bishop Gardiner were in discourse. Surprised at finding himself in the presence of the leader of the persecution, who had already been seeking his arrest, Foxe hastily withdrew in some confusion. 'Who was that?' inquired the bishop. Relying upon his ignorance of Foxe's person, or upon the imperfection of a momentary glance, the duke replied that it was his physician—adding, that he was somewhat uncourtly, being newly come from the university. The bishop answered calmly, 'I like his countenance and

^{*} Wood's MSS. Ashmol. Lib. No. 8560. art. 4. The Queen's kind of hound is represented in the celebrated picture of herself and her husband, painted by Sir Antonio More, which is in the possession of the Duke of Bedford, at Woburn. Mary is there seated under a cloth of estate, and at her feet are two little dogs, which have collars of small bells. The picture was engraved some years ago by the Granger Society.

'aspect well, and, upon occasion, will make use of him.' But there was an expression in his look which contradicted the expression of his tongue, and convinced the duke that, in spite of what he said, the attempt to blind him had not succeeded. Foxe's only safety was in flight. The duke supplied him with means, and, in all haste, the poor tutor and his wife set sail from Ipswich. The weather was stormy, and the ship put back; but Foxe learning, when he came ashore, that Gardiner had issued a warrant for his apprehension, entreated the master of the ship to put to sea again, and in two days they landed at Newport, in Flanders. From thence Foxe proceeded to Antwerp, and afterwards to Frankfort.

Bale made his escape from Ireland. His intention was to proceed to Scotland, in order that he might watch the course of events, and return to his bishopric of Ossory, as soon as he could do so with any chance of safety or usefulness. barked from Dublin in a small ship, 'there called a pyckarde,' which he hired in conjunction with a young man of Essex, of the name of Thomas, who was engaged in some mercantile pursuit. But whilst 'tarrying upon the tide for passage,' their ship was boarded by a Flemish ship of war, or rather pirate, and Bale and Thomas were removed, with all that they possessed, into the pirate's vessel. They were searched 'to the very skin,' and every thing belonging to them, money, books, and apparel, was taken from them; even the captain of the 'pyckarde' was robbed of five pounds, which had been paid him on account of their passage money. After touching at Waterford, where neither Bale nor Thomas was allowed to land, the pirates steered for the English channel. Stress of weather drove them into St Lves, where one of the crew, the same who had before procured Bale and his companion to be made prisoners, accused Bale of treason, in the hope of getting a portion of his money, which was in the captain's hands. Upon Bale's solicitation one of the ba lifts of the rown, who appeared to be 'a very sober man,' cross-examined the accuser, and having skilfully drawn from him that he had never seen or heard of Bale before he came aboard the Flemish vessel, followed up the admission by enquiring, what treason he could possibly have known of him since. 'Marry,' said he, 'he would have fled into 'Scotland.' Why,' said the bailiff, 'and knowest thou any impediment wherefore he ought not to have gone into Scotland?' 'No,' said the fellow, 'but he was going towards Scotland.' 'If 'it be a treason,' saith the bailiff, 'to go towards Scotland, a man having business to do there, it is more than I knew 'afore.' Bale thus escaped; his money, L.21, was given up to him, and the Flemings pursued their voyage. After two piratical encounters with English ships, in both which they were successful, they arrived off Dover; and here a fresh accusation was got up against poor Bale. Amongst his effects they had found the seal of his bishopric, two very suspicious-looking Latin letters, which he had received from certain foreign divines, and a letter from King Edward VI.'s Council, announcing to him his episcopal call. These were deemed pregnant proofs of heresy and of counterfeiting the great seal; and with these accusations upon his head, the captain was anxious to sell his prisoner, if any man would offer him a good sum of money. But the speculation was not popular at Dover; and it was finally agreed that Bale should be set ashore in Zealand, upon his giving back all his own money which had been returned to him, except six crowns-which magnificent sum was allowed him for his maintenance until he could communicate with his friends, when he was to pay a further sum of L.50. reaching land, the latter sum was reduced by the owners to L.30; time was given for its payment; and Bale was permitted to depart to Frankfort, whence he afterwards removed to Basle.*

Jewell, after having signed a recantation at Oxford, became miserable. Urged by his smitten conscience, he fled as for his He set his face towards London; but wandered along by unfrequented roads, until weary, foot-sore, and despairing, he sank down, almost dead with fatigue and trouble. state one of those circumstances happened to him which we are accustomed to term strange chances, or singular accidents; but through which we often seem to catch, as it were, a glimpse of the care and determined purpose which distinguish the providential government of the world. Whilst Jewell was lying stretched upon the earth, and almost, as it appeared, at his last gasp,† a man rode past. His attention was attracted to the prostrate figure. He paused to look at him. He turned back and came near. It was Augustine Bernher, Latimer's Swiss servant, the friend of Ridley, Bradford, Hooper, and all the suffering Protestants, the good Samaritan of his day. alighted, placed Jewell upon his horse; guided him to the country-house of a Mrs Ann Warcop, where he was refreshed and entertained; took him to London, where he was kept concealed in the houses of several trustworthy citizens; and finally,

† Humi jacentem et quodammodo expirantem.

^{*} These particulars are derived from Bale's narrative, entitled *The Vocacyon of John Bale*, 12mo, 1553; it is reprinted in the Harleian Miscellany, vi. 487.

by the means and at the expense of Sir Nicholas Throgmorton, procured him a passage across the sea.* Jewell went first to Frankfort, but finally took up his abode with Peter Martyr at Strasburgh, and afterwards at Zurich.

Knox was persuaded by his friends, after his letters had been intercepted, and his messengers seized, that he ought to quit England, where he had been ministering during the reign of Edward VI. Partly by admonition, partly by tears,' they compelled him to acquiesce. A vessel was procured, and he was landed at Dieppe, whence he passed through France to Geneva.

Alexander Nowell was at that time one of the under-masters of Westminster School. Bonner marked him for a victim; but Francis Bowyer, a citizen of London, and sheriff in 1577, sheltered him, and sent him safe beyond the seas. He, like Jewell, was one of those who found an asylum in the house of Peter Martyr, at Strasburgh, where a congregation of learned men lived as in a college, at a common table.

Sir Francis Knollys, with his wife and eldest son, slipped away unobserved; an interesting memorial of their departure has recently been published in Miss Wood's Letters of Illustrious Ladies, (ii. 279.) It is a letter from the future Queen Elizabeth, written to her cousin, Lady Knollys, upon her leaving England. It is signed Cor rotto, 'The broken-hearted;' and is couched in that hard, rough, enigmatical style, which indicates that the writer had plenty of meaning, with but few words; and which constitutes a curious point of resemblance between the letters of Elizabeth and those of Cromwell. In both, the hand seems continually to be struggling, and often in vain, to express what is in the mind; and in both there come, every now and then, in some happy moment, not only the breathing thought, but also the burning word.

'An old saying,' remarks Elizabeth, 'when bale is lowest, 'boot is nearest: when your need shall be most, you shall find my 'friendship greatest. Let others promise, and I will do; in 'words not more, in deeds as much. My power but small, my 'love as great as them whose gifts may tell their friendship's tale. 'Let will supply all other want; and oft-sending take the lieu of 'often-sights. Your messengers shall not return empty, nor 'yet your desires unaccomplished. Lethe's flood hath here no 'course; good memory hath greatest stream. And, to conclude, 'a word that hardly I can say I am driven by need to write;

^{*.} Juelli, Vita et Mors. Laurent. Humfredo, Lond. 1573. P. 82.

' farewell it is, which, in the sense one way, I wish—the other

'way, I grieve.'

Foxe has commemorated two memorable escapes, upon the infomation, and probably in the very words of the persons themselves—that of the Duchess of Suffolk, widow of Charles Brandon, and mother of the brave Lord Willoughby; and that of Doctor Sandys, afterwards Archbishop of York. These narratives of the martyrologist are two of the most interesting histories in our language, distinguished for homely truthful simplicity, and minuteness of detail; But as they have been copied into Holinshed, and noticed by many of our general historians, they may be presumed to be well known; especially the one relating to the Duchess of Suffolk, whose adventures were made the subject of a popular old ballad—' The most rare and excellent history of the Duchess of Suffolk, and her husband Richard Bertie's calamity-to the 'tune of Queen Dido.' After many adventures, the duchess and her husband bent their way towards Wesel, in Cleves. had been found there by a part of one of à Lasco's congregations, under the guidance of Francis Rivers, a minister who, whilst in England, had received great kindness from Bertie and his wife. Hot pursuit was made after them by the emissaries of Philip and Mary; and, to avoid suspicion, the duchess travelled the last portion of their journey on foot, Bertie carrying their child, and the duchess his cloak and rapier. 'At last, betwixt six and seven of the ' clock in the dark night (it was in January 1555) they came to Wesel, and repairing to their inns for lodging and some repose after such a painful journey, found hard entertainment: for going from inn to inn, offering large money for small lodging, they were ' refused of all the inn-holders, suspecting Master Bertie to be a Ince-knight and the duchess to be his woman. The child, for 'cold and sustenance, cried pitifully; the mother wept as fast; and the heavens rained as fast as the clouds could pour.' Bertie understood but little Dutch; 'and, by reason of evil weather and flate season of the night, he could not happen upon any that could speak English, French, Italian, or Latin.' In this extremity he determined to place his wife under the shelter of the porch of the great church, and was proceeding thither when he overheard two boys talking in Latin. He accosted them, and offered a couple of stivers to be conducted to the house of any of the Walloons. The very first house to which the boys led them, chanced to be that in which their friend Rivers was at supper! Hearing some one inquire for him, he 'came to the door, and beholding Master Bertie, the duchess and their child, their 'faces, apparels, and bodies, so far from their old form, deformed with dirt, weather, and heaviness, could not speak to them, nor

they to him, for tears. At length, recovering themselves, they saluted one another, and so together entered the house, God knoweth full joyfully: Master Bertie changing of his apparel with the goodman, the duchess with the goodwife, and their child with the child of the house. They resided at Wesel until the Lutheran formula broke up their congregation: when the main body of the Wesel exiles removed to Arau, in Switzerland, under the guidance of Thomas Lever, afterwards Master of Sherburn. The duchess and her husband did not accompany them. They removed to Weinheim, and finally, in 1557, through the friendship of à Lasco, were received with great honour by the King of Poland, and appointed a residence in Samogitia, now part of the Russian province of Vilna. There they remained until after the death of Mary.

Sandys' escape was a remarkable one, and is admirably related by Foxe. He remained for some time at Strasburgh with the.

other exiles who assembled round Peter Martyr.

The teaching of that eminent man attracted to the same place Grindal, who was afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury, Cole, afterwards president of Corpus, and Ponet, the deprived Bishop of Winchester. At Strasburgh were also Sir John Cheke, Sir Anthony Cook (father of Lady Cecil, Lady Bacon, and the rest of the five learned sisters,) Sir Richard Morison, Sir Peter

Carew, and many others.

Bullinger drew many to Zurich. Among them were Laurence Humfrey, afterwards professor of divinity at Oxford, Parkhurst, afterwards Bishop of Norwich, Pilkington, afterwards Bishop of Durham, and Bentham, afterwards Bishop of Lincoln. Twelve of these English exiles dwelt in the house of Froschover, the celebrated printer, 'like brothers and with great glee,' says Humfrey, who was one of them; not merely protected, but treated with infinite respect, by the magistrates and all the Bullinger had indeed old claims upon the regard of the English: for in Henry VIII.'s time, when there were many exiles under the law of the Six Articles, he had received them with singular kindness; and, by enlightening their minds upon theological questions, had greatly conduced to the progress of the Reformation, by the part they were able to take in it on their return home under Edward VI Hooper, the martyr, is a striking instance in proof of this. Nothing can be more friendly, or more affectionately respectful than some letters addressed by him to Bullinger, recently found at Zurich.* They bring Hooper

^{*} We judge only from the translations published in the Original Letters edited by De obinson, (pp. 33-106.) We shall be happy to find that it is intended to publish the originals.

before us more effectively than any of his writings ever before

published.

On the decease of Conrad Pellicanus, the venerable professor of Hebrew at Zurich, Peter Martyr was invited to fill the vacant chair; when he removed thither, which was on the 13th July 1556, Jewell, Sandys, Grindal, and other of the English exiles, accompanied him. From that time Zurich may be regarded as the chief seat of these banished men. Bullinger, Martyr, and their friends, lived together in the greatest harmony; and many a sigh did the English exiles often heave, after their return to England, and their exaltation to be dwellers in palaces, when they remembered the quiet days and simple pleasures which they enjoyed at Zurich.

Before this union of the followers of Martyr and Bullinger, the most numerous congregation of the English had been attracted to Frankfort: partly by its greater nearness to England than either Zurich or Strasburgh — partly also by the kindness of their reception, and by the privileges granted to them by the magistrates.* At Frankfort, besides Sir Francis Knollys, and Henry, his son, were Crowley, afterwards Archdeacon of Hereford, Horn, afterwards Bishop of Winchester, Cox, afterwards Bishop of Ely, and for a time, Sampson, Dean of Chichester, together with Traheron, who had been King Edward's

librarian.

Coverdale was released from prison on the interference of the King of Denmark, and was afterwards for some time at Wesel; Scory, Bishop of Chichester, joined the flock at Emden, as also did Willock, the future coadjutor of Knox. None of the exiles seem at first to have attached themselves to Calvin, except perhaps Lever. Even he went to Geneva upon the recommendation of Bullinger, and remained there only until he received a call to Wesel. Bale, Foxe, and some others, connected themselves with printers more decidedly than with divines; and were attracted to Strasburgh, Basle, and Zurich, by Rihelius, Oporinus, and Froschover, rather than by Martyr or Bullinger.

The support of so large a company must have been a subject of great anxiety; and it appears to have been managed with systematic and judicious care. Many of the exiles brought away with them money or jewels sufficient for their maintenance for a considerable period; others received occasional remittances from friends who were intrusted with the management of their property; others, again, earned a small pittance by the exercise of mechanical employments, or by teaching, translating, or cor-

^{*} Grindal a Remains, p. 239.

recting the press; and it was an established regulation amongst them, that every one should exert himself to procure from his relatives and friends such assistance as they could afford to give. Still there were many who were altogether without support. For their benefit there was formed a fund, which was principally derived from contributions remitted from England, and especially from the metropolis. Throughout this crisis, the citizens of London are most honourably distinguished by their support of Protestantism in the persons of these exiles, as well as by their contributions in behalf of those who suffered at home. The fund thus raised was administered with true mercantile care and prudence, principally by two citizens who were among the exiles --Richard Hilles and Richard Chambers. Both these men deserve to be had in perpetual remembrance. The former had been established as a draper, or dealer in English cloth, at Strasburgh, as well as in London, long before Mary's time, and was of great service to the exiles in many ways. His princely heart may be estimated from one fact. After Elizabeth's accession, when his brethren of the Merchant Tailors' Company determined to institute their now celebrated school, Richard Hilles gave in one sum L.500 towards accomplishing their object. Chambers had been distinguished in Edward VI.'s reign by his liberality to young men of promise who were studying at the universities; and, during the exile, his fellow-sufferers emptied his purse rather than shared its contents. Thomas Eton, another London merchant, who is commemorated by Humfrey as 'the common host' of all the exiles at Strasburgh, was literally ruined by his unsparing bounty. In 1578, when Eton was a very aged man, he was so reduced in circumstances, that Lord Burghley was requested, by one who had been himself among the objects of his noble charity, to allow him to export some thousands of pieces of cloth without paying custom. Such a fact clearly testifies to Eton's generosity, but does little credit to the gratitude of the ecclesiastical dignitaries who had been partakers of it in the day of their distress.

Whilst the home literature of Mary was strictly defensive and retrogressive, that of the exiles, on the contrary, was aggressive in all directions. In England, there was only one object: which was, to lead back the people into the old paths, as fast as possible. This was to be done by means of the Use of Sarum, the Hours of Our Lady, primers and manuals, breviaries, missals, and processionals, (many of them printed abroad,) by sermons of Ambrose and Augustine, and Bonner's Homilies, and Gardiner on Obedience, and the works of Sir Thomas More, (one of the most beautiful books of English typography,) by the song of the Child

Bishop, sung before the Queen on St Nicholas's day, and by the . Ballads of Joy for her Majesty's being with child. These, with fire and fagot, were to be the great instruments of reconversion. All the real literature of the country was put into the Index Expurgatorius of a proclamation, which forbade the reading of the works of the foreign divines, Luther, Calvin, Zuinglius, Bullinger, Martyr, à Lasco, Ochin, &c., together with those of Latimer, Barnes, Bale, Hooper, Coverdale, Tyndall, Cranmer, Becon, Whilst law was called upon to terrify by its most savage butcheries, literature was regarded as though it had been its especial province to lull the public mind into a state of blessed dormancy and rest. Not such was the estimate of its power or its purposes, which the exiles formed. Romanism. like the strong man armed, had gotten possession of the house; but he was not to be allowed to keep his goods in peace. The exiles considered themselves to be as voices crying in the wilderness, whose very end it was to arouse from torpor and security. 'Flattery dwelling at home,' says old Bale, 'and sucking there still his mother's breasts, may never tell out the truth, he seeth so many dangers on every side; as disbleasure of friends, decay of name, loss of goods, offence of greatmen, punishment of body, and jeopardy of life, with 'such other like. The forsaken, wretched sort, hath the Lord provided always to rebuke the world of sin, for want of true 'faith; of hypocrisy, for want of perfect righteousness; and of blindness, for lack of godly judgment. For nought is it not, therefore, that He hath exiled a certain number of believing brethren the realms of England; of the which afflicted family 'my fail is that I am one. Whereupon I have considered it no less than my bound duty, under pain of damnation, to admonish 6 Christ's flock, by this present revelation, of their perils past, and 'the dangers to come.' *

These solemn words, although not written at this particular time, exhibit the faith of these exiles in the hidden meaning of all their trials; and most daringly did they act upon it. In their publications the peculiar practices of Comanism were turned inside out, and exposed to popular contempt and ridicule; the fundamental articles of its creed were met by rough but solid argumentation; the events which were taking place at home, were commented upon in a tone of the most insulting disparagement and condemnation; the Spanish alliance was reprobated with an intensity of hatred; and even the

^{*} Bale's Image of both Churches, sig. A. v.

foundation of Mary's throne was assailed by a trumpet blast, which summoned all men to a crusade against the 'mon-'strous regiment of women.' In all this there was a great deal that ought to be gravely censured, but not without a due allowance for the situation in which these men were placed. We can feel no sympathy for those who gloss over the had actions of Mary's advisers, but dilate with horror upon the hard speeches of the exiles. The gentlemen who are most scandalized by these coarse and intemperate publications, are delighted, instead of being disgusted, with the scurrility and insult which, in the succeeding age, were levelled at the Puritans and their religious leaders. But on the want of manners, or other wants, in the writings of our first Reformers, we cannot enter now. All competent judges must admit, that among the exiles there were determined students and clever writers. Knox, Bale, Goodman, Ponet, Scory, Sampson, Turner, Becon, Traheron, Pilkington, Humfrey, and Foxe, all come within the latter description: And manfully they strove, by every means in the power of their pens, to arouse the public feeling against the tyranny which had driven them from their homes, and against the persecution which was making England a reproach and a scorn amongst all Christian nations.

Of all the writers we have named, the last has ultimately exercised by far the greatest influence, through the medium of his Book of Martyrs. The history of that celebrated book seems to be strangely misunderstood. Even the editors of the last new and complete edition * exhibit an ignorance upon the subject, less excusable in them, but not more singular, than that of other people. Some peculiar facilities enable us at the present time to give a few particulars, which will not be unacceptable to our bibliographical readers; and which will, we hope, be tolerated by other persons, on account of the importance of the work to which they relate.

When Foxe escaped from England, after the manner we have before mentioned, he bore away with him, what is, generally, the chief possession of a poor scholar, the manuscript of an un-

^{*} London. 8 vols. 8vo, 1837—41. We term it 'complete,' because it so terms itself; but the 9th volume, promised in 1841, has not yet made its appearance. The blemishes so severely commented upon by Mr Maitland, render it imperative upon the editors, publishers, and every body connected with this edition, to do something more than they have yet done, towards setting themselves right with their subscribers and the public.

finished work. It related to the history of the church. Its object was to prove, by a chain of examples, that from ages long past, persons had from time to time arisen who had professed, and had been persecuted for professing, those very opinions which the Church of Rome, in its war against the Reformers of the sixteenth century, was accustomed to stigmatise as new. Besides the historical and theological uses of such a work, Foxe looked forward to it as displaying admirable examples of constancy and calm fortitude in the victims, and hateful exhibitions of cruelty and wickedness in the persecutors. He designed to gather his proofs from all parts of Europe; but as far as he had proceeded in his collections-and his work was as yet little more than a mass of collections—they related principally to Wickliffe and his followers. In September 1554, about two months after Foxe's arrival on the Continent, there was to be held at Frankfort one of those fairs which were then celebrated literary marts. Foxe, probably on the suggestion of his printer, who in those days was the publisher also, determined to divide his contemplated book into two parts; the former was to comprise the period before, and the latter that after, the year 1500, and he set his heart on having the former part ready for sale at the coming fair. In spite of ill health, of the difficulty of procuring information, of the necessity for prosecuting-at the same time with this literary work—the daily labour of correcting the press by which he earned his bread; and notwithstanding the many inconveniences to which an exile newly arrived in a foreign country is exposed, the zealous writer accomplished his design. But it was by confining himself to the history of Wickliffe and the Wickliffeites, with the addition of the kindred case of John Huss. The book, thus limited in subject, is a small 8vo volume, 6 inches by 31, and contains 212 numbered leaves, with seven leaves of titlepage and dedication, which are not numbered. It was printed at Strasburgh, by Wendelin Rihelius, and was dedicated, on the 31st August 1554, to Christopher Duke of Wirtemburg; * a prince who added to many other good deeds that of being a liberal

^{*} The titlepage runs thus: 'Commentarii rerum in ecclesia gestarum, maximarumque, per totam Europam, persecutionum a Vuicleui temporibus ad hanc usque ætatem Descriptio. Liber primus Autore Joanne Foxo Anglo. Hiis in calce accesserunt Aphorismi Joannis Vuicleui, cum collectaneis quibusdam, Reginaldi Pecoki Episcopi Gicestrensis. Item,' οπιστογραφία quædam ad Oxonienses. Argentorati. Excudebat Vuendelinus Rihelius. Anno M.D.LIIII.'

benefactor to the English exiles. Such was his friendship for them, that on one occasion he gave the princely donation of three or four hundred dollars' to those who were at Strasburgh, besides a further sum bestowed at Frankfort. Foxe could not have selected a better patron. In his dedication, which, like all the rest of the work, is written in Latin, Foxe laments the divisions that prevailed throughout the Christian world, and especially in his native country, 'our England,' as he terms it, which used to be the asylum of persecuted churches, and of all good men. He sets before the duke the nature of his work, and its twofold division; and he solicits pardon for a ded cation which proceeded from a person who was neither known to his highness, nor had ever seen him. He could only plead for his excuse, that he was simply prompted by the praises of his character, as a student of Christian truth, and as a protector of the English, which, on his arriving in that country, had met his car on every side.

Such is the history of the first design, and of the first published portion of Foxe's ultimately ponderous work. The particulars we have stated are not to be found in the works of our bibliographers, which may be accounted for by the extreme rarity of the little book to which they relate. There are copies of it, however, in the British Museum, and at the Bodleian, and a copy was recently secured for the library of her Majesty; but few books of that particular period are, on the whole, more difficult to be met with.

Foxe states at the conclusion of his Liber primus, that he should proceed immediately with his intended continuation; but the circumstances which ensued in England produced a considerable change in his design. Within a few months after his book had been issued, his unhappy country became the scene of a

^{*} Strype's Cranmer, p. 361.

[†] The copy we have referred to has been most obligingly lent for our use by Mr Stewart, an eminent theological bookseller in London. At the close of the book, Foxe subjoined, as is indicated in the titlepage, an address to the University of Oxford. Its subject is the return of the University to what he terms the obsolete and long-exploded doctrine of transubstantiation. He appeals to them principally upon the score of their ancient support of the contrary doctrine, in the time of Wickliffe, when Oxford, as he alleges, was the great patron of Christian truth. One fact, which he here states respecting the sister university, deserves to be remembered. It is, that in Mary's time twenty-six scholars of one college left Cambridge rather than subscribe to transubstantiation. Were these Pembroke Hall men?

persecution, which threw into the shade all modern examples of religious rage. • The Marian persecutors shed the blood of __ ' take them for all in all'—the noblest body of victims that were ever offered upon an unrighteous altar. These horrible atrocities called aloud for an historian. Grindal, who had been one of Ridley's chaplains, and had considerable influence both at home and among the exiles, kept up a correspondence with England, for the purpose of procuring authentic details of the examinations and sufferings of the most distinguished martyrs. At first it was intended that Foxe should translate these narratives into Latin, and publish each one separately, with liberty to introduce his translations afterwards into the contemplated continuation of his Ecclesiastical History; and that somebody else, probably some person of higher station and more influence than Foxe—who was at that time a very poor man, and but little known—should, in the mean time, publish the same narratives in English. This was really done in the instance of the narrative of Philpot's examinations, which were published separately, both in Latin and English. Foxe received also from Grindal, with the same view, Bradford's examinations, and those of Cranmer, Ridley, and Latimer; all which he translated into Latin. there was a failure in the English portion of the intended publications, and also in the scheme of separate publication in Latin. Queen Mary died: the more prominent among the exiles hurried home to possess themselves of mitres and benefices; whilst Foxe was left to correct the press at Basle—whither he had now removed—and to do what he could with his Latin translations of examinations and his Ecclesiastical History. In the mean time he had had some dispute with Rihelius, the printer of his first book. Ribelius was a morose man, and was attached to the Lutheran formula of the Eucharist. The instances which Foxe would have to allege in the continuation of his Ecclesiastical History, were, many of them, those of suffering Calvinists; and either the temper or the principles of Rihelius revolted against being made the setter-forth of the stout constancy of misbelievers. The disagreement was, in the end, a fortunate event for Foxe. It led to an arrangement with Nicholas Brylinger and John Oporinus, printers of a higher order than Rihelius; and, under their auspices, Foxe proceeded to publish a further portion of his Ecclesiastical History. Still he clung to his notion that it was to be an Epropean history; and, in forming his collections, inserted many things that related to foreign countries. the recent miserable notoriety of England, the greater interest of English affairs in his estimation, and the important materials communicated to him by Grindal, gave to English transactions.

and to English martyrs, so great a preponderance in his collections, that he was obliged again to subdivide his work. Accordingly, he put forth his new publication as Pars prima, or the English part, of the great European history which he still contemplated. His second book is a small folio, containing 732 numbered pages, and 12 unnumbered pages of title and dedication. It was printed at Basle, by Brylinger and Oporinus, and was published in the year 1559. The date does not appear upon the titlepage; but it is stated at the end, and it may be gathered also from the dedication, which is dated on the 1st September 1559.

The accession of Elizabeth having opened to him a communication with his native country, Foxe looked among his ancient friends for a second patron; and in a dedication, which was cut short by want of time and by the near approach of another fair, he laid his book at the feet of his friend and pupil the Duke of Norfolk. The dedication is followed by a treatise, De Historiæ hujus utilitate et fructu, which was afterwards translated and altered into the preface on 'The Vtilitie of this Story,' which appears in the subsequent English editions. A few words follow Ad Lectorem: In these, Foxe explains the history of the book, and states that two editions of it were printing at the same time, one at Basle in Latin, and the The latter book was either other at Geneva in French. never issued, or, if issued, has, from some cause or other, become most extraordinarily rare. We have been unable to discover any trace of the existence of a single copy of it; yet there can be no doubt, from Foxe's language, that at all events some part of it passed through the press. The first book of the edition of 1559 is a reprint, with alterations, of the little Strasburgh octavo of 1554. The second book carries down the history to the end of Edward VI. The four following books relate to the reign of Mary. They contain the Latin translation by Valerandus Pollanus of Philpot's account of the disputations in the Convocation House in 1553; the history of Lady Jane Grey; Foxe's supplication to the nobles of England to stay the persecution under Mary; and narratives of the sufferings of the principal martyrs, from Rogers to Cranmer-such narratives being, for the most part, merely Foxe's Latin translations of the papers forwarded to him by Grindal. The book was evidently got up in the greatest haste, and is not without some singular blunders; but it has considerable bibliographical interest as illustrating the history of a work of great celebrity; it exhibits, moreover, the employment of one of the most meritorious of the exiles; and even at the present day is not without

its literary use, of which we will give a proof, which, in its way, is rather curious.

·Hooper bishop of Gloucester was kept in prison for about a year and a half before he suffered at the stake. During that time he sent to Bullinger, 'An Hyperaspismus [Hyperaspistes?] 'touching the true doctrine and use of the Lord's Supper,' dedicated to the English parliament, together with some other papers, all of which he requested Bullinger to procure to be printed. The letter which accompanied these manuscripts is in existence among Bullinger's papers at Zurich, but the manuscripts themselves have disappeared. Diligent search has been made for them by many persons and in many places, and especially at Zurich. by the Parker Society. In 1843, we were told by the editor of Hooper's works, that researches were still in progress, and that it was possible these missing papers might yet be found. In 1846, the tidings were, that they did not appear to have been printed, and that the search for the manuscript copies had been without success. It must be interesting, therefore, to these enquirers, and to theological students in general, to be informed, that Hooper's missing Treatise upon the Sacrament, which contained, as he declared, the sentiments of 'all the godly and 'learned' men in England—the sentiments in defence of which they suffered-together with two letters of Hooper's, being another part of the lost manuscripts sent by him to Bullinger, stand printed in Foxe's publication of 1559, occupying from p. 299 to p. 403. Hooper wrote these papers in Latin, not dreaming that a time would shortly come when they might be safely printed in England. He wished that they should be printed by Froschover, or, if he was too much engaged, by Oporinus. Oporinus, as we have already stated, was one of Foxe's printers; and here, in Foxe's book, these papers will be found as Hooper wrote them, with a few needful allowances for the errors The Treatise on the Sacrament is not mereof hasty printing. ly interesting from the circumstances under which it was written. It vies in importance with any thing upon that subject that was produced in England at the time, and will, we hope, be withdrawn from its lurking-place, and made generally known.

We have again to acknowledge the courtesy of Mr Stewart in permitting us to use a copy of the edition of 1559. The title is as follows:

—' Rerum in ecclesia gestarum, que postremis et periculosis his temporibus evenerunt, maximaramque per Europam persecutionum, ac Sanctorum Dei Martyrum, cæterarumque rerum si que ineignioris exemplisint, digesta per Regna et nationes, Commentarii. Para prima. In qua

Having watched his History safely through the press, Foxe returned to England almost immediately after its publication; and, under the patronage of Daye the printer, was soon busy upon his English work. Fully occupied at home, he yielded the histories of the foreign martyrs to writers who could more easily procure information respecting them—Crispin, and Pantaleon, the latter of whom was recommended to the task by Foxe and Bale. His book (Hist. Martyrum. Basle, 1563, fol.) is occasionally found bound up as a continuation of the Foxe of 1559. Crispin is memorable as having led the way by his Actiones et Monumenta Martyrum, (Genev. 1560, fol.) to the adoption of 'Acts and Monuments' as the ultimate title of Foxe's English work, which was first published in 1563.

Unfortunately, the distinction which the English exiles acquired by their virtues and their literary labours, was not all. There attaches to them another, and a less enviable, cause of celebrity. We refer to the outbreak which occurred among them respecting church vestments and ceremonies. Such a dispute would seem to be too trifling to deserve mentioning; but the troubles at Frankfort were the direct progenitors of the Puritanical disturbances which afterwards arose in England; and, in that view, they acquire an importance which otherwise would not belong to them. The book mentioned at the head of this article relates to these disputes. It is, indeed, the only history of them; and must always, therefore, have a certain value, as being a portion of the materials for English history.* The leading facts are soon told. Whittingham and his companions had escaped the Dover magistrates, and arrived on the coast of Flanders, they proceeded to Frankfort, where Valerandus Pollanus and his Glastonbury weavers willingly allowed them to participate in all the privileges which they had obtained. They joined the English-

primum de rebus per Angliam et Scotiam gestis, atque in primis de horrenda sub Maria nuper Regina, persecutione, narratio continetur. Autore Joanne Foxo Anglo. Basiliæ, per Nicholaum Brylingerum et Joannem Oporinum. The colophonis, Basiliæ, per Nicholaum Brylingerum, et Joannem Oporinum, anno M.D.LIX. Mense Augusto.

^{*}This importance fully justifies the present reprint, and it is convenient to have it page for page like the original; but we wish Mr Petheram had either followed the *editio princeps*, without any alteration whatever, or else had given a list of his alterations.

men in a petition to the magistrates, that they might hold their assemblies for public worship in the same building which had been already granted to themselves, and promoted the success of the application by kind and hearty co-operation. The petition was granted, upon condition that the Englishmen should not dissent from the French church in doctrine or ceremonies; and should subscribe a profession of faith, which the Frenchmen had presented to the magistrates, and were about to print. This subscription was given; and the Liturgy of the Strangers' Church at Frankfort, which was a short Genevan form originally devised by Calvin, was published in 1554,* with the signatures of John Macbray, John Staunton, William Hamon, John Bendall, and William Whittingham, on behalf of the English strangers. Having thus fraternized with the French congregation, and proved their allowance of its forms and articles of faith, the English refugees proceeded to consider in what manner their own worship should

Under the guidance of Whittingham, who had been at . Geneva and was intimate with Calvin, it was agreed that the English Service-Book contained many things which were objectionable, and that it should not be adhered to. form, very similar to that used by the French congregation, was adopted with universal concurrence; and Knox and Lever, who were then at Geneva, fogether with Haddon, who was at Strasburg, were invited to become their ministers. After they had proceeded thus far, they wrote a circular letter to the churches of the exiles in other places, apprising them of what they had done, and inviting them, if we understand rightly the obscure terms of the letter, to follow their example. This unnecessary step was succeeded by instant discord. The laying aside the English Prayer-Book, and the election of their own ministers, were departures from the English ecclesiastical system, of which the exiles at Strasburg, Zurich, and other places, did not approve. Haddon declined to accept the offered ministry: Lever hesitated: Knox alone obeyed the call, and entered on the charge. Among his supporters were Ball, Foxe, Whittingham, Keith, Macbray, Gilby, Goodman, and others of respectable name: Although unquestionably, in point of authority, they were outweighed by those who were on the opposite side. Finding little chance of a settlement without appealing to some authority,

^{*} Liturgia Sacra, seu Ritus Ministerii in ecclesia perigrinorum Francfordiæ; addita est summa doctrinæ, seu fidei professio ejusdem ecclesiæ. Francf. 1554. 8vo.

Knox and Whittingham 'drew forth a plot' of the English Service-Book, and sent it to Calvin for his opinion; adding, that 'some of their countrymen went about to force them to the same, 'and would admit of no other, saying, that it was an order most 'absolute, and that, if ever they came into their country, they 'would do their best to establish it again.' Calvin's answer contained words which have done more to render him unpopular with mere Church of England men than the burning of Servetus. He treated the English Liturgy as one step only in the progress towards a perfect Reformation; pronouncing it to contain many tolerabiles ineptias, sillinesses that might be endured, dregs of

Popery, things trifling and childish.

This opinion brought over many of the opponents; but many it only rendered more obstinate. The men of Strasburg and Zurich infected some of the Frankfort congregation with their scruples; and in the end, it was thought advisable, for the sake of peace, to re-mould the order of service. A new form was accordingly compiled, which was partly taken from the English book: it was approved by a committee, and was directed to be publicly used in the congregation for a certain time; with the understanding, that if any further contention should arise, it should be referred for settlement to Calvin, Musculus, Martyr, Bullinger, and Viret. Peace seemed now restored; when lot the congregation was joined by King Edward's almoner, Dr Cox, and some others newly come out of England. They insisted upon the restoration of the English Liturgy; they interrupted the peace of the congregation by occupying the pulpit surreptitiously; read the Litany, and made the responses, which had been laid aside; and, finally, when Knox opposed them, they accused him to the magistrates of having published treasonable words against the Emperor in reference to the match between Mary and Philip, and procured him to be banished from Frankfort. The striking of the shepherd dispersed the flock. Basle and Geneva opened their gates to the party opposed to the English form. Foxe went to the former with Bale; Knox, Whittingham, Gilby, Goodman, Keith, and others, went to Geneva, where, after a time, they were joined by Coverdale. Sir Thomas Bodley, the founder of the Bodleian, who was then a boy of twelve years of age, was there with his father, and says, 'As far as I remember, the English church consisted of some hundred persons.' Calvin received these strangers in the place of their second exile with

^{*} Reliq. Bodleianæ, p 2.

the most liberal hospitality. Sir Richard Morison describes him as having admitted many of them into his own house, and having himself become a mere tenant in his home for their accommodation.* Whittingham was one of those to whom this intimacy was extended; and it led to his marriage with Calvin's sister, Catherine.†

At Geneva the exiles adopted the form of worship which pleased them best,‡ and occupied themselves in a work much more creditable to them than their Frankfort squabbles—a revision of the English translation, first of the New Testament, and afterwards of the Old. The former was published in 1557; the latter had not been completed at the accession of Elizabeth. When their companions returned to England, Whittingham and several others remained behind to finish their great task, which was long famous as the Geneva Bible. It was certainly the best English translation of the time. The first edition was published in 1560. After its publication the exiles returned home to swell the note of Puritanism, and to become again the subjects of persecution. It was in the course of that persecution that the Discourse on the Troubles at Frankfort was originally published, in the year 1575.

Professor M'Crie, in some observations which are introduced into the preface of the present reprint, gives plausible reasons for attributing the authorship to Whittingham. They do not appear to be quite conclusive; but further inquiry into Whittingham's life may make them so. Anthony Wood has represented him in very hateful colours, as a factious, tasteless church-desecrator; and to sum up all faults in one, in the estimation of the Oxford biographer, 'a minister according to the Geneva fashion.' The MS. life of Whittingham, from which we have before quoted, represents the matter very differently. It contains valuable testimonies to his general character from Jewell, Burghley, Warwick, and Leycester, and leads to the inference that he was any thing but the cold, precise, and strait-laced being which constituted the Puritan after Anthony Wood's notion. At Havre, in 1563, where Whittingham was chaplain to the English forces, 'he did so there demean himself, both in his function and in the 'guise of a soldier's employment, as he, after the experience of the alarums coming on the sudden even in the midst of the

^{*} Orig. Letters relating to the Reform, p. 147.

[†] Anderson's Annals of Eng. Bible, ii. 311.

It has been published. See the Phoenix, ii. 204.

sermons, used to preach in his armour continually; and the old captains and soldiers of Berwick would [relate], many years. after, that when any alarum came whilst he was preaching, he would be on the town walls as soon almost as any man.

Zealous in his preaching, braving all hazard of contagion in his attention to the soldiers who were swept off by plague, and ready for any service, either as a soldier or a divine, he seems to have been universally esteemed. His usefulness on the town

walls may be judged of from the following anecdote:-

Being sent from the Lord Lieutenant with a message to the 'Rhingrave, who long encamped before the town, the Rhingrave seeing Mr Whittingham coming towards him, he spurred his horse, drew his sword or rapier, and came towards Mr Whittingham in a bravado at full speed, as though he would have 'assaulted him; whereupon Mr Whittingham took out one of the pistols he had at his saddle-crutch, and held it out towards the Rhingrave, who asked him in French, "If he were in He answered, "No! only attended to answer what he would put him unto." The Rhingrave carried him to his tent, and caused him to dine with him; and the table being full beset with gentlemen that were Frenchmen, they began to gibe and use broad jests against our nation, which Mr Whittingham did so return upon them, to the touch of the French, that one of them that sate at the lower end of the table did rise in great fury, drew his dagger, and would have stabbed Mr Whittingham, if the waiters and some gentlemen rising from the 'table had not hindered. Whereat the Rhingrave, after having shewed great indignation against the Frenchmen, caused a great double-gilt bowl to be filled with wine, and drank it off to Mr Whittingham. Mr Whittingham pledged the wine, but restored the bowl; which, when Mr Whittingham would by no means 'accept of, the Rhingrave sent it after him to Newhaven, with ' this message, that if he did refuse to take it, and keep it for his sake, he would never esteem of him. So Mr Whittingham took the cup, and left it to his sequels as a monument of the Rhingrave's love, and care the Rhingrave had to salve the wrong he had received at his table.'

A man who, Puritan though he might be, was thus ready tam Marti quâm Mercurio, was one of a good stamp; and we hope that our notice of this MS. life of him will incite some competent person to publish it, with an inquiry how far his memory

is justly liable to Wood's aspersions.

Many of the exiles died abroad: among them, good Mrs Wilkinson, Sir Richard Morison, Bartholomew Traheron, Ponet,

bishop of Winchester, and others of considerable celebrity. The bishop took up his residence at Strasburg, and for a part of the time had Sir Peter Carew lodging with him. The house caught fire;—the bishop's treasure was in a cupboard in the wall, and, despite his offers of reward, no one would adventure his life to save it. Sir Peter, considering 'the distress and heaviness of 'the man,' took pity upon him, rushed into the house, broke open the cupboard, and brought out the gold, to his own great risk, and the bishop's infinite delight. But the gold did not save the poor bishop; he fell, as many others did, a victim to the sorrows and troubles of a melancholy exile, the speedy termination of which was not foreseen.

Those who returned soon encountered many troubles, and did not, perhaps, act with all the fortitude and wisdom which might have been anticipated; yet, judging of them, not individually, but as a body, they did good service to the cause of the Reformation, both during their exile and after their return; and are entitled to the respect and gratitude of their fellow Honour and even reverence are their due. But countrymen. our notice of their troubles would ill express our feelings, were we to close it without acknowledging- gratefully acknowledging -another obligation. Never should we forget the honourable reception which they met with in so many of the free towns of Switzerland and Germany. The privileges of municipalities are never exhibited to more advantage than in sheltering exiles for conscience-sake. Nor does the memory of the hospitalities of mercy pass away. Even now, after the lapse of three centuries, the hearts of Englishmen will warm at the remembrance of them: fulfilling the prophecy made by Grindal to the magistrates of Frankfort:- 'Nulla unquam dies hoc vestrum beneficium 'Anglorum animis eximet.'

ART. VII.—1. Discourses upon Trade. By SIR DUDLEY NORTH. First printed 1691—Reprinted, Edinburgh, 1846.

^{2.} Sophismes Economiques. Par Mons. FREDERICK BASTIAT. Paris: 1846.

WHOEVER has heard of Adam Smith, has heard of the almost romantic value which our ancestors set upon the possession of the precious metals; yet few persons are acquainted with the singular processes by which they sought to bring home the

golden fleece, or with much more than the names of the early writers who had the honour of first enlightening their countrymen on the true nature of this Midas folly. But this is a chapter in our economical history, which it must be always interesting to look back upon: and more especially at present—when the most impregnable of the strongholds of protection has been stormed and taken before our eyes; and when it is evident that all the minor restrictions upon commerce which yet survive, by whatever fallacies and by whatever interests they may be supported, must nevertheless come to the same, certainly not untimely, end.

This delusion as to the function of the precious metals, has been said to rest on a confusion of terms. It had a much deeper foundation—an imperfect perception of facts. Those once fully appreciated, the loose use of language proved no obstacle to the further progress of knowledge. The long existence of the delusion itself will not be disputed, however: and it is to its influence on the legislation and social economy of the nation that we now wish to direct attention.

England possessed no mines of the precious metals which could be worked on such a scale as seriously to affect the amount of national riches, according to the then received notion of riches. It was clear, therefore, that the riches of the country must come from abroad; and how to draw them thence, was the problem our early statesmen wished to understand; and very roughly and characteristically attempted practically to solve. Their first handiwork was coarse and clumsy enough: and yet the principles on which they proceeded were substantially the same as were maintained for centuries after, by all the leading statesmen of the world, and by men who, like Sully and Colbert, were undoubtedly in other respects far a-head of the times in which they lived. We must not wonder, therefore, to find our early legislators as rash, and as confident in error, as any of those around them.

One of the most remarkable though not the earliest indications of their views, occurred in the reign of Richard II. An uneasy feeling, constantly recurring at very short intervals, agitated the country. Our bullion was failing us—our riches were vanishing—destruction was at hand! And so the king and his counsellors resorted for the most absolute wisdom to the city of London; and the furred and bechained dignitaries were called on to declare what might avail to ward off the impending calamity. Their answer contained the essence of a theory which was not formally annihilated till the days of Galiani, Quesnay, Harris,

and Adam Smith—We must contrive to buy less of the foreigner, than we sell to the foreigner! And, admitting the non-productiveness of our own mines, and putting conquest and spoliation out of the question, the conclusion seemed very reasonable; and our ancestors then, as for some time before, accepted it as irre-

fragable.

The peculiarities of our earlier legislation sprang at once out of these convictions. The politicians of the day determined that the state should be actually present, by its agents, at every bargain made in the chief articles exported from the country; and should forcibly make such bargains directly productive of bullion. When they had thus got the bullion, they determined with equal firmness that it should never leave the country; and that they would watch the details of every transaction which might lead to its escape, with jealous and never-sleeping eyes.

To effect their purposes, they adopted a very complicated system; which we may call The balance-of-bargain system; and which, though its object was precisely the same with that of the balance-of-trade system, long subsequently established, yet sought to attain that object by very different means. The later and more thoughtful speculators formally eschewed all inspection of the dealings of individuals; and only sought, by foreign negotiation and domestic legislation, so to influence the productions and general commerce of the country, as indirectly to achieve their purpose of selling more to foreigners than they bought from them; and distinctly rejected all the ingenious and all the ferocious provisions of that earlier balance-of-bargain system which we are about to describe.

The provisions of that earlier system divide themselves into two classes. The first contains those by which it was sought to bring bullion into the country; the second, those by which it was sought to prevent it from going out. It is difficult to say which were the most unjust, the most harsh, and the most mischievous; and equally difficult to say which was viewed by the public with the most complete complacency—as the perfection of patriotism, wisdom, and statesmanship. The first set are always prefaced by loud praises of this noble realm, and boasts of regard for its prosperity. It did not, indeed, produce gold and silver; but it produced commodities foreigners could not do without; and care, it was promised, should be taken that they were paid for in gold and silver; and that the real riches of the country were thus kept for ever on the increase.

Two instruments were used for this purpose—the Staple Towns, and the Corporation of the mayor and constables of the staple.

The establishment of Staple Towns arose out of the social position of all Europe in the early part of the middle ages. machinery of the mayor, constables, and corporation of the staple, was, as far as we know, peculiar to England; though Scotland. as we shall see, parodied them with tolerable closeness. towns were at first merely places of refuge for persecuted com-Sea and land were then equally unsafe. The sea, more especially, was infested by pirates, English and French-among whom the people of the Cinque Ports and St Maloes were con-These persons made the navigation of the Channel and the narrow seas impracticable. Traders, therefore, were reduced to thread their way through the most protected parts of the Continent. Germans and Belgians, Italians, Africans, and the inhabitants of the Levant, met at certain great fairs: of which that of Troyes, in Champagne, was long one of the most remarkable. The resort was a mine of gold to the feudal lord who protected it; and the traders cheerfully submitted to his fixed and moderate scale of tolls and exactions.

Something more, however, than mere exemption from wrong, was soon found needful. The merchant from Barbary or Spain dealt at Troyes with dealers from Norway or Prussia; and if differences arose, how were they to be decided? Neither could follow the other for justice to his distant and barbarous home. Peculiar courts of justice were therefore established. The traders, the best and longest known, were called by the Count of Champagne to form part of a tribunal, which was completed by the presence of his chancellor, steward, and feudal officers. They decided on the spot. The dusty-feeted (piedspoudrés) litigants were dismissed with a prompt sentence; and the decrees of the Court of the Fair of Troyes, are said by Savary to have been considered sacred even on the coast of Barbary. These pieds-poudrés courts spread over Europe. That of St Bartholomew's, the great cloth fair of England, was one of the most important, and has vanished into thin air almost before our eves.

By degrees the Channel and narrow seas became more safe. The Italians built stout argosies, and defied pirates; and the Kings of England and France, and the Dukes of Brittany, found it expedient to curb, if they could not eradicate, the thieves of the Cinque Ports and of St Maloes—the last the hardest to manage of all. It was then that the towns of the Netherlands became a sort of perpetual fairs. The cost of land carriage was got rid of by using them; and they soon learnt to offer the same protection, and the same facilities and conveniences, which had attracted

dealers to Troyes, and other secure continental fairs; that is, they promised the protection of their walls to all traders; they laid down very moderate scales for tolls and dues; and they established courts, very like the pieds-poudrés courts, though still with a difference. Foreign merchants were called to make part of them; but the feudal element of seneschals and chancellors was got rid of-it is most probable, very happily for the litigants. Part of their laws, and some of their magistrates, may doubtless be traced back to the Roman codes and Roman municipal towns. A good sample, indeed, of these courts may be found in our own statute book. Edward III., wishing to divert trade from the Continent to England, tried hard to secure to dealers here the same advantages they found abroad; and established a Staple Court in various towns of his dominionsa scheme which his utter inability to protect the persons and property of foreign merchants from rapine and oppression, made nugatory; although he had made a vain promise that they should not be interfered with by prelates, lords, or ladies.

These towns, however, as they existed on the Continent, were chosen by the English legislature as the theatres of strenuous attempts to make every bargain in the leading products of England conducive to the pouring a given quantity of bullion into the kingdom. They were called staple towns, it is supposed, from the German word stapelen, to heap up, because, as they were perpetual fairs, commodities were to be found heaped up there all the year round. Of the staple commodities of England, (as appears by 27th Edw. III. and elsewhere,) were wool, hides, leather, lead, and tin. Wool, especially, was the subject of the peculiar care and fond reliance of our early English financiers. To make the wool available for their purposes, they established at all the staples a Corporation, consisting of the mayor, constable, and brethren of the staple. The noble remains of the palace of this corporation at Calais are only now in the progress of demolition by the hands of French masons, who are erecting very shabby dwellings on the site. This incorporated body was bound to fulfil two offices; - one at all times important, another not less important in the eyes of the financiers of the day. First, they were bound to see to the collection of the customs due to the king on the export of wool. Secondly, they were bound to see that part of the purchase-money in every particular bargain was paid in foreign coin or in bullion (principally the first), destined for England. The proportion of the price to be paid, and remitted home in bullion, varied from time to time in England; but was always regulated. Scotland, which adopted

the same plan, extended it to her exports more generally. In 1488, for each serplaith of wool, last of salmon, or 400 cloth, four ounces of silver; for each last of herrings, two ounces were required; and for other goods paying custom, in proportion.

It was in 1313 that this plan of both home and foreign staples, was first adopted by England. The mayor and constables were authorised to select some town in Brabant, Flanders, or Artois, and to punish by fine all dealers carrying wool or wool fells to any other place; and were authorised for a time to change the staple towns at their discretion. Accordingly, Antwerp and Bruges, and, as subordinate to Antwerp, St Omer and Lisle, became the only points at which foreign clothiers could seek their English wool.

The reign of Edward III. exhibits more strikingly than any other, the influence and results of this plan on the finances and prosperity of the country. His extraordinary resources in his wars with France, seem to have been almost entirely derived from duties on the export of wool. Sometimes, indeed, he impatiently seized on the commodity itself, and paid L.6 in tallies for what he sold for L.20. Ordinarily, however, he was content with the vigorous management of his customers at the staple towns. The blind ferocity—it deserves no milder name—with which he and his parliaments carried all their measures, for thus securing public revenue and public riches, by the management of the wool, is perhaps best evidenced by his famous statute of the stiple. ing the gain made by the foreign towns which were successively the seats of the English commerce, he determined to try to draw the trade home; and he established staple courts, staple law, and staple privileges, in various towns of England and Ireland. He promised, as we have before seen, protection to all foreign comers; and, conscious of the state of the country, and of the weakness of his police, he promised to procure for them the protection of certain great men in the neighbourhood of his new staples. But he sadly miscalculated on his own influence, and on the habits of his subjects. In the very next year, an act was passed, of which the preamble recites, that the foreign merchants resorting to our staples had been ill used and robbed! It need hardly be added, that his scheme for attracting the staple trade to England utterly failed. But while he was yet eager with the plan, it is really startling to observe the reckless and cruel determination of both king and legislature to make all men and things submit to aid their projects.

Edward had begun his career of glory by a great naval victory at the Swyn; where, with 260 ships, he utterly destroyed a

French fleet of 400—the first sea-fight in which a king of England had commanded in person since Alfred. Yet, for the sake of the gain he grasped at from the English staples, he was prepared to sacrifice the whole mercantile navy engaged in foreign commerce, and that apparently without hesitation or compunction; and his parliament was quite ready to second him. The statute passed in 1353, accordingly prohibits the natives of England, Wales, and Ireland, under penalty of death, and for feiture of all their properly of whatever nature, from exporting any staple goods, or being in the smallest degree interested, directly or indirectly, in the sale of them abroad. Nay, the king tied himself up, and his heirs, from ever granting licences to any English, Welsh, or Irish merchants, for exporting such goods; and declared, that if he should grant any such licences-for which, let it be remembered, he received a price—they should be void, and should not protect the exporters from the penulties of the law; that is, from death and confiscation! It was not, indeed, till 1357, when the dream of riches from English staple towns was entirely dispelled, that this portentous law was relaxed; and English merchants, as well as foreigners, were permitted to export wool hides and wool fells—though still under certain restrictions.

From the reign of Edward, till its capture by the French, Calais continued the most constant, and from the reign of Henry VI. the sole English staple; and succeeding sovereigns and parliaments pursued, with unceasing anxiety, the policy of insisting on part of the price of every sack of wool sold being paid in money; to be recoined, if foreign, at the English mint. The instance of Berwick affords one among other strong indications of the exclusive attachment of the government to this Berwick, almost destroyed in the Scottish wars, seems to have been an object of care and compassion to its English sovereigns; and to repair its losses, and raise it from ruin, they declared it a sort of free port; or rather bestowed on its burgesses the right of exporting staple commodities to whatever port they pleased-and Berwick grew rich on this privilege. It might have been expected that the government and people would have learned a lesson from the experiment, and tried to make rich other ports of England, by the gift of like privileges. Not so, however: the example was very distasteful, and was voted a very bad one.

The Staplers' Company represented that the men of Berwick throve by breaking the Staple, in despite of the approved policy of England. They professed that they would take better care of both the king's revenue, and of the task of enriching the realm,

than the Berwickers did: And upon no better grounds, the markets of Europe were forbidden to the men of Berwick; and, however reluctant, they were driven, like all the rest of their fellow-subjects, to the foreign staples.

Having thus confined to one spot the dealings in wool, and other staple articles, and so enabled itself, by its officers, to be present at every bargain in those commodities, the English government had made it certain, that from year to year, and reign to reign, a constant stream of the precious metals would flow into England. Their next care was to keep it; and a more complicated combination of equally vigorous measures was resorted to, to effect this object. We may enumerate as the four principal:—lstly. The establishments of the Mint. 2dly. The searchers and customers, as the custom-house officers were called, of the outports. 3dly. The king's Exchanger, and his staff. 4thly. The statutes of employment.

To understand the peculiar importance of the Mint, we must remember, that, when the foreign coin, received as part of the price of staple commodities, had reached England, it was the object of emecial care on two different grounds. There existed constant fears,—1st, that it might be re-exported; 2dly, that it might be uttered in England at some value different from that which the king and his officers declared to be its true value when measured against English coin. To attend, in the first place, to this last ground of apprehension: - The history of opinions shows us mankind labouring under different illusions as to the nature of coin and currency which held their place for periods of different duration, and cannot be said to be wholly dispersed. First, men misunderstood the relative value of bullion or money, and of the commodities they exchanged for. Errors on this point lasted till the spirit of Adam Smith culminated. If he was not the first to discern those errors, he was their destined destroyer. Secondly, men misunderstood the relations of bullion and coined money to each other, and undoubtingly believed that the king's commands could bestow upon a coin a value not measured by its value as bullion. Errors of this kind are what we have immediately to deal with. William Stafford, in the reign of Elizabeth, was the first Englishman to grapple with these; and as he was the first, so perhaps he remains the acutest and most felicitous expositor of them; but it was not till Locke's work appeared, that they were practically eradicated from the English Thirdly, men mistook still longer the relations between different portions of a public currency which consisted partly of coin and partly of paper. The discussions on the Bank Restriction Act first threw the necessary light on this part of the subject. Fourthly, much confusion existed as to the relations and mutual action,—on the one hand, of national currencies, whether of coin, of paper, or mixed; and on the other, of that private currency, composed of circulating bills, &c., by which by far the greater proportion of purchases and sales are now effected. In what manner these affect each other, and whether we can measure, and in what manner we can measure, the effects of any given contraction of the first or public currency, on the mass or rate of circulation of the second or private currency, are questions to which economical science is not yet prepared with a satisfactory answer.

But it is only with the second class of these illusions that we have now to deal. In the days we are speaking of, it was believed to be one of the most precious and exalted attributes of Kings to fix the value of the coinage. For either subject or stranger to interfere with this prerogative, by coining, was worse than murder, or any other felony. It was petit treason; and subjected the malefactor to a death of an odious nature. Now, the persons who uttered foreign coin in this kingdom, might infringe on this cherished prerogative; not directly indeed, but indirectly and secretly; and it was always feared they would.

It is obvious that the Frenchman, or other foreigner, who volunteered to pay for English commodities with coins of his own country, must have determined how many of those coins were equivalent to the price asked him in English money; and while he was thus setting a value on his own coin, he could not possibly avoid setting a value on the English king's coin too. But this was to interfere with the king's prerogative! It was an abuse and a crime; which, according to those days, might contribute to a great national calamity. For, if the king's coin was undervalued in exchange, either directly or through bills, it would be sure to find its way out of the country, and go to regions where it was better appreciated; and so this noble realm would be drained of its coin; that is, its riches would fly away—it would be ruined and destroyed!

No measures, then, could be too strict or too severe to prevent so great a calamity. To do them justice, our forefathers were rarely sparing of severity in their criminal legislation; and, least of all, when they were frightened. They were very thoroughly frightened for many generations at the threatened effects of undervaluing the king's coin in exchange, and the severity of their legislation kept pace with their fears.

It was based on the principle that no foreign coin should

be used in England for any other purpose than that of being exchanged for English at the king's Mint, or by the king's exchangers, according to their valuation of the foreign money. Thus the sovereign, by his officers, always set his own value on his own coin; and no foreigner interfered with his prerogative. To carry out this plan, a king's Exchanger was appointed, with almost unlimited power over the money transactions of the country. He was to appoint as many substitutes as he pleasedand, in truth, the merchants were always grumbling for more. Those officers, at their discretion, were to determine the value of all foreign coins in English money; and the stranger who landed with outlandish money in his possession, was bound to take the shortest road to the nearest place at which an officer of the royal exchanger could be found; and there to exchange his money for as much English coin as the exchanger told him it was worth. If he was found with his foreign money about him, under circumstances which made it probable he was not on his way to get it exchanged by the proper officers, the money was scized, and he was subjected to very savage penalties.

The foreign coin received at the staple towns, and that which got into the hands of the king's exchangers, was all to be sent at once to the Mint, and re-coined;—thus the king's high prerogative was fully vindicated. These processes completed, no gold or silver coin circulated in England, of which the declared value was not assigned to it by the English sovereign; who never doubted that he had thus gifted it with its character, and determined the

measure of its power as a medium of exchange.

The exorbitant authority of the king's exchanger only increased with time. The negotiation of foreign bills of exchange attracted notice and suspicion. It was clear that the process of negotiating such bills could not be gone through, unless a certain sum in foreign coins was valued against what was treated as an equivalent sum in English coins. The king's coin might therefore be undervalued in this exchange. To guard against, this evil, again, no measures could be too strong: And accordingly the negotiation of such bills was strictly confined to the royal exchanger and his agents; who were to charge for their trouble (say one of the letters patent) such a sum as should seem to them reaonable.

Selden cites a charter of King John. But the first of these great officers, of any note, whose name is known to us, was Michael de la Pole, a merchant, who had become the financial agent of Edward III. He was the ancestor of the Duke of Suffolk, who connected his blood with that of the Tudors. The last who possessed a valid patent as royal exchanger, was

Lord Burleigh. Other patents, however, were subsequently prepared; and drafts of them are in the British Museum. But none, at least none giving the monopoly of bills of exchange, appear to have been issued. Charles I. in 1628, appointed the Earl of Holland to the office of sole exchanger of gold and silver bullion; and declared his right in a publication by authority, entitled 'The Office of his Majesty's Exchange Royal.' The Company of Goldsmiths petitioned the king against it. Selden questioned the legality of a portion of the patent in the Commons. And, it was evident, that the time was come, when there was an instructed mercantile public; and a vernacular literature, which watched over, discussed, and sometimes influenced measures of this decription.

But the inspection of all dealings of traders, with a view to prevent the exportation of money, was not yet complete enough to satisfy the vigilant fears of the state. It was determined that before they left the country, they should give satisfactory proof that they had employed all the monies they had received for their imported cargoes, in the purchase of English commodities for exportation; so that no money remained in their hands to be carried away. The various statutes passed to enforce this rule are called by our old writers, Statutes of Employments; and when after some ages they fell into desuetude, many an earnest prayer was uttered for their revival, as a last precious product

of the wisdom of departed generations.

The machinery and rules by which the object was sought to be attained, varied somewhat from time to time; but the most complete and stringent statute of employment is probably that of the 18th of Henry VI. c. 4. The obliging foreign merchants to reside with official hosts, was an old regulation; which might probably, with some pains, be traced to other countries and remoter ages. These strangers' hosts were sometimes the object of bitter denunciations for forestalling, and other wicked deeds; But they were now selected by Henry and his councillors as the fittest instruments for carrying out their object of securing the employment of the monies received by foreigners in the purchase of British commodities. After reciting that earlier remedies had not been found sufficient, the statute provides that all merchant strangers coming to traffic in any port in England, shall be under the surveying of certain people called hosts, to be assigned to shem by the officers of the town; which hosts should be creditable persons, expert in trade, and not trading in the com-

^{* 18}th Henry VI., c. 4; Rastall, I. 255; relaxed by 17th Edw. IV. c. 1

modities of their guests. These hosts are to be privy to all the bargains made by the strangers; and to see that within eight months they sell their whole cargoes, and re-invest the proceeds in English goods. The host is to keep an accurate book of every bargain made by the foreigner; and twice in the year, at the beginning of Easter and Michaelmas terms, is to send a transcript of the said book to the Exchequer.* For his pains he was to levy twopence in the pound on the strangers' sales and If any merchant neglects to report himself to take a host, and be obedient to him, he is to be put in prison, and remain there without bail or mainprise till he has found good security that he will conform to the law; and is further for his contumacy to make fine and ransom at the king's pleasure. Other provisions provided the punishment for each bargain not accompted for, and for negligence or connivance of the English port officers, or of the hosts.

Edward IV., whose statute of employments is sometimes improperly quoted as the last, perhaps thought he was mitigating the act just quoted, when he allowed the alien merchant, though not attested by his host, to prove that he had legally employed all the money he had received in England, by such evidence as should appear reasonable to the custom officers at the port he reembarked at. The position of the foreigner was not, probably, much bettered by the change. We need hardly add, that the obvious precaution of an army of searchers ransacking every ship

about to sail from England, was not omitted.

One gap still remained to be stopped: a considerable number of Pilgrims to foreign shrines, and travellers to Rome, were constantly leaving England. At one time, the king's exchanger, deciding himself on their needs and means, gave them licence to take their reasonable expenses in coin with them. By degrees they were allowed to purchase in England bills on the countries to which they were going. But the sellers of the bills were usually foreigners: and the transactions of foreigners in bills had always an evil complexion in the eyes of the governments of those days. It was vehemently suspected that what money they received for the Pilgrims' bills; they would contrive to smuggle abroad; and it was therefore enacted,

^{*} A practice also prevailed of making the host's foreign traders give bonds to the exchequer that they would employ all their receipts in purchasing English commodities. If the books of host's accompt, ordered by this statute to be returned twice a year to the exchequer, were not satisfactory, the bonds were estreated.

that whenever such a bill was negotiated, the foreigner should give bond to the exchequer that he would within a given time export to the Continent a cargo of English commodities, fully

equal in value to the money he had received for the bill.

It is not to be imagined that the system embodied in these regulations was always consistently carried out. The pressure of circumstances and caprice must have often broken in on it. The wars and necessities of Edward III., especially, kept him always painfully on the alert, to take any momentary advantage which presented itself; and Macpherson, the annalist of commerce, declares that at one time he seemed to change his plans every month. Still we have before us the spirit, general scope, and ordinary action of the commercial and financial policy of those ages: And putting aside, for the present, the question of their wisdom, the boldness and partial success of this strange policy of our ancestors, may well interest and surprise us. For it cannot be denied that they partly effected their two objects, of attracting constantly a stream of coin from the Continent, and of opposing very formidable obstacles to its escape.

By confining the staple trade to particular spots, the government was enabled to be present, by its officers, at every bargain made in staple commodities. To ensure the receipt of a part of the price in bullion, or coin, and its transmission to England, was thenceforward an easy task. To keep it there, was, to be sure, not quite so easy: But it cannot be denied that if the object had been attainable, the measures to ensure it were fearless, comprehensive, and not ill combined. Its consequences cannot

be looked at without dismay.

Let us suppose for a moment that the system was in complete operation; every sale of staple commodities inspected by the government; every bargain of foreign merchant importers registered and returned to the exchequer, or sifted by the officers at the outports; the tricks of the exchangers made impossible by the agency and authority of the royal exchangers; the subordinate exchangers and the officers of the Mint and Customs, busily employed in converting foreign coin and bullion into English, and vigilantly guarding against its escape from the country:—in our days it wants no parade of argument to show how fearfully disastrous such a policy must have been in its action—first, on the production of England's wealth, and then on the interests of those who consumed any description of foreign commodities.

The production of the country was forcibly stinted; her most important products, her staple commodities, were shut out of the markets of the world. The demand for them was thus necessarily

limited, their price lowered, the stimulus to production lessened. The sufferers here, indeed, were principally the great landholders of England—the owners, in those days, of the greater part of her flocks and herds. Yet, strange to say, these very landowners formed the only really influential branch of that legislature, by which such schemes were warmly and continuously supported. The consumers of foreign commodities were affected as seriously. Indged, it is difficult to discover how any supply was obtained at all; clearly it could only be maintained by great sacrifices on the part of the consumers. The humiliating superintendence, the difficulties, the risks, and the manacles which impeded the free course of foreign merchandise, were all, we surely know, paid for with interest to the foreigner who encountered them. The growth of the mercantile navy seemed hopeless; and occasionally we have seen it was deliberately The interference with the course of exchange, so far as it was effectual, crowned the embarrassments of the merchants as a body, as other provisions of the system enchained their freedom of action as individuals.

The blow first struck at this system, we must admit, did not come from the prevalence of scientific notions—though such notions afterwards finished the scotched snake, and prevented its revival. It was the growth and changed circumstances of the nation which first put this complicated and cherished machinery out of gear, and suspended its action. Those circumstances may be ranged under four heads:—The establishment of the Merchant Adventurers, and their privileges—the extent and necessity of dealings by foreign bills of exchange—the degradation of the currency under Henry VIII.—the capturer of

Calais by the French, in the reign of Mary.

The Merchant Adventurers were a body associated for the exportation of cloth. They appear first under Henry III., in 1248, at Canterbury; with the name of the brotherhood of St Thomas Beckett; but as the export trade enlarged itself, they became a national association, effecting great purposes, and armed with great privileges. The brotherhood of St Thomas had already obtained certain privileges of John Duke of Brabant; in after days, the company of Merchant Adventurers could boast that they had established treaties in Bruges, Middleburgh, Antwerp, Bergen-op-Zoom, Ziericzee, Amsterdam, Dordt and Utrecht, Hamburgh, Stade, East Friesland, and Oldenburgh, and that they exported cloths to the value of one million sterling.

Wheeler describes their import trade to be on a corresponding scale; and proceeds to enumerate German wares, Italian

wares, Esterling wares, Portugal wares, and Netherland wares, to the extent of sixty-one named articles; and a yet larger variety more generally described:— The knowledge and consideration of which large purchases hath made those Merchant Adventurers thought worthie to be made of, cherished, and desired by all princes, states, and commonwealths.

Such an import and export trade in the hands of such a body, put an end, of course, to the supremacy of the staple towns and merchant staplers, who grumbled, and reviled the interlopers

accordingly.

These Merchant Adventurers, by their advocate Wheeler, claim also the credit of obtaining of themselves, from foreign states, the treaties and privileges through which this trade was carried on. And this brings us to a point in the commercial history of the European nations, upon which we dwell with the more interest, because it is perhaps best illustrated by the transactions of our own Scottish forbears. To understand the diplomatic functions committed in England to such companies, and in Scotland to the royal Burghs, we must go back to those earlier ages, in which we have seen fairs and staple towns the refuge of persecuted commerce, and affrighted traders. The delegation of powers of treating with foreign states, and stipulating for such protection as fairs and staple towns offered, arose in later times from a perception of the benefits of more extended fields for commerce, and from the unwillingness or inaptness of the governments of the day, to provide, by national diplomacy, for its progress and protection in foreign states. The task which they were not yet ready to undertake themselves, they willingly encouraged their subjects to undertake. England and Scotland acted in this point with like aims, but different instruments. In Scotland, the royal burghs, assembled in convention, very much in the style of independent states, appointed ambassadors, and made treaties, by which they secured the protection of Scottish trade abroad. and especially in the Netherlands. Mr Yair, one of their chaplains at Campvere, has left a curious and authentic sketch of their establishments and doings there. In 1578, my lords the deputies of the towns of Scotland are pleased to direct and send the honourable Henry Nisbet, their commissioner, assisted with George Hacket, their conservator, with absolute power to contract and conclude a treaty in their name at Campvere; and similar treaties were renewed in the name and by the ambassadors of these royal burghs, up to 1748. Yair's book is full of interesting details; and as it is a sketch of the history of the Scotch trade to the Netherlands, as well as of their staple at Campvere, it gives a curious picture of the earlier Scottish mer-

chants, and of their manners, so late as the middle of the sixteenth century. The rule and sovereignty over the Flemish trade had not been yet handed over to the Scotch burghs, it should seem. in 1532; For the king issued some remarkable rules for the regulation of the trade and traders in that year. They are given at large by Yair, page 99. We may be allowed to smile at some of them. The exports from Scotland were principally wool and wool fells, and this brought the inhabitants of the pastoral districts Their appearance seems to have created some agitation among the burgomasters of Campvere, and their stately The ample and numerous garments of the Dutch veiled the nakedness of the human form with almost superfluous decency; and they shrank from a Highlander in his kilt as they would from a threatening monster. The King of Scotland seems, accordingly, to have condescended to their prudery; and he gave directions that none sell in merchandise except he be honestly abuilzed (decently clothed;) and if he be not so, the conservator, after warning him, is to take as much of his goods as will properly clothe him. After being thus breeched against his will, and, what was worse, at his own expense, the bonnie Scot had further penances in store for him. After buying their meal in the market, these rude traders found it convenient, it seems, to take it home in their own sleeves, or on the points of their daggers: and the prejudices, perhaps the alarms, of the peaceful burghers of Campvere were again soothed, by a strict prohibition of such practices.

When, however, either royal burghs in Scotland, or merchant companies in England, were permitted to find new markets, where they could and how they could, the exclusive privileges, and the old use of the merchant staplers, and the monopoly of staple towns, were clearly at an end; and so much of the balance-of-bargain system was crippled, as had enabled the government to keep gold constantly flowing into the country, by controlling and interfering with every sale in staple commodities to foreign merchants. The management of all transactions in exchange, by which the safe custody of the money of the realm was supposed to be in a great measure secured, was maimed and lost

about the same time.

While the English monarchs and their ministers honestly sought to uphold the real value of their coin when exchanged, either directly or by bills, against the coin of foreign states, their host of exchangers, who, under the royal exchanger, gave English coin for foreign, or monopolized the negotiation of bills, might not unnaturally appear to be necessary for national objects; and be sustained and vindicated with earnestness. A

* time, however, was come, when, instead of vindicating earnestly the real value of the English coin, it became desirable to draw off attention from that point as completely as possible, and, in fact, to sink the subject. Tampering with the coin, debasing its purity, and lessening its weight, had long been practised on the Continent most recklessly and dishonestly; and the usual and unavoidable results had followed. All those whose incomes, like that of many of the landholders, consisted of fixed sums payable in money, were injured. A French writer, Le Blanc, with somewhat startling ingenuity, traces the English victories at Cressy and Poitiers to these practices of the French The chivalry of France, he says, was so impoverished by them, that their nominal income was no longer sufficient in the market to equip them properly with arms and horses; and they became no match for their better appointed

We have here a source of consolation, somewhat overstretched no doubt; but the English monarchs, though long much behind the French in their encroachments, were by no means impeccable; and Henry VIII. soon outstripped all former bad doings, domestic or foreign. He reduced the weight of his coins much; he debased their purity shamefully; and this last practice he carried to an extent which made the coins themselves tell-tale witnesses of their worthlessness and his shame. burly monarch's effigy was usually stamped with a full face; of this, the nose of course was the most prominent part, and it began to wear first, and to show the inferior metal. His subjects, dutiful and cowering as they usually were, ventured to mock a little at this; and said, his Grace must certainly be in a bad plight, since he had been obliged to get Parson Brock (the manager of his Mint) to make him a copper nose. Any attempts to oblige foreigners to take such coins as representing the old money, would have been obviously futile; and the only policy to be adopted was that of getting as much for them, and drawing as little attention to their real worth, as was found possible.

There remained, indeed, the control of the negotiation of bills of exchange; and it was long before the English people could be persuaded that that control could be abandoned without danger. if not ruin. One of the first tasks of our vernacular literature, indeed, was to raise a sort of hue-and-cry on this point; and four-and-twenty tricks of the exchangers were enumerated, by which, as by a sort of necromantic art, it was maintained that they could direct the flow of money as they pleased, and ruin the helpless and unconscious kingdom whose treasure they found

it profitable to exhaust.

Some impression was made in the reigns of Henry VIII., Elizabeth, and James, by such assertions; and we can trace several strenuous though abortive efforts to revive the office, and strange and pernicious monopoly and despotism, of a royal Under Henry VIII. the danger was warded off exchanger. by the Greshams. The nation has done but scant justice to the merits of these royal merchants, as financiers and statesmen. They found the English monarchs wholly dependent on foreigners (ordinarily those of the Low Countries) for advances of money under the most urgent circumstances. It was they who brought the native resources of the country into play: And they did this, by effecting the two separate and very difficult tasks, of teaching honesty and punctuality to the state, and confidence to the monied No piece of civil service ever contributed more to the independence and greatness of England-a fact which Europe, from one extremity to the other, soon very sensibly felt.

A patent had been actually prepared, re-establishing the royal exchanger, and a proclamation was about to follow, calling his functions into activity. The elder Gresham remonstrated boldly. He told Henry VIII. (what was only recently true, however) that foreign commerce could no more exist without exchange, than a ship float without water; and declared, that if the course of the mercantile exchange was interrupted, the transactions of the approaching Bartholomew fair, then the great domestic cloth mart of England, would be paralysed:—and the

stern and obstinate Tudor listened, and refrained.

Of the various bulwarks by which the wealth of England had been guarded and enclosed, there still remained on the parliament rolls the Statutes of Employments. It is clear enough to us that such laws were far in the rear of the age of Henry and Elizabeth. The course of exchange had been set free; an extensive foreign traffic opened by treaties to Englishmen; and a domestic mart established for manufactured goods, which it was of national importance should be frequented. It would have been an insane attempt, under such circumstances, to seize on every foreign merchant that arrived, watch his person, control his bargains, and force him to employ his money, and remit the proceeds of his cargo, not according to his will and interests, but at the bidding of English prejudices and tyranny. Yet the statutes of employments were pet favourites of the nation, which indignantly demanded their full execution long after the greater part of the ancient legislation which we have been tracing, was abandoned-reluctantly, it must be admitted-to its fate.

The reign of Elizabeth, and the period which intervened

between that date and the accession of Charles II., may be described as one long interregnum, in which the partisans of the old system, and the opponents of its revival, began that war of discussion which ended in the establishment of the balance-oftrade sustem: of which the essential characteristic was, that retaining the object of the old system—the constant accession of fresh masses of bullion through foreign trade, it entirely abandoned and repudiated all the expedients and the machinery by which the earliest framers of the balance-of-bargain system had attempted to secure the same object. The writer by whom the change was finally established, was Thomas Mun; an eminent merchant of London. But let us cast a glance upon the gulf which separates him from the writers who first wielded our fresh vernacular literature for the purpose of influencing the economical, or rather almost exclusively the mercantile, measures of the government.

The reign of Elizabeth, which is looked back to with such fondness and triumph by the modern English, was observed with much less kindly eyes by the generation which witnessed it. The vast rise in the money price of all commodities—the universal dearth, as it was called, which resulted from the joint action of the influx of the precious metals from America, and the debasement of the currency; the decay of the ancient borough towns; the distress of the agriculturists from enclosures, and the clearing away of the occupying peasant tenantry; and the complete cessation of action, in all parts, of that ancient and cherished system of policy by which the wisdom of their progenitors had supplied England with riches, and guarded themall these circumstances combined, convinced not merely the multitude, but most of the thinkers and writers who then first began to contemplate such subjects, that the nation was passing through a period of gloom and peril, of which the issues must be strange, disastrous, and deadly.

It does not fall within our compass to give any thing like a complete view of this young literature. We select the names of a few persons, the spirit of whose writings gives a fair indication of the state of the nation's mind. Mills, Malynes, Missenden, and Stafford, all wrote during the reigns of Elizabeth or James.

Mills raises the voice of a customer, or officer of the outports: he exclaims upon the decayed influence and defeated monopoly of the Company of Merchant Staplers; and calls loudly for the protection of the ancient principles and practices, by which the foreign trade of the country, better watched and more efficiently controlled, was forced by the wisdom of the state to contribute to its wealth and strength; and he is patriotically indignant with

all the new channels and agents of trade through which that

old system had been subverted.

Malynes, through a long life, sounded a trumpet against the tricks of the exchangers; and in the Canker of England's Commonwealth, St George for England, and a really valuable folio, his Lex Mercatoria, and some other productions, endeavoured to rouse the country to a consciousness of the secret wound through which its life-blood, according to him, was ebbing away.

Missenden answered him; exposed the fallacy of many of his statements, and propounded a tolerably correct theory of ex-

change.

Malynes was accused, and not apparently without some reason, of sinister and selfish designs. He, a Fleming by birth, had shared in some contract with the Mint for a copper coinage—not a very prosperous venture apparently; and Missenden, after telling him that he had worn his theories till they were as threadbare as his coat, intimates that the Fleming meant to turn to his own advantage the powers of a royal exchanger, which he was eager to revive, and put in action. It probably was so. The elder Cecil. Lord Burleigh, was actually in possession of a patent for the office, with all its extravagant powers of control and extortion, which, however, he steadily refused to use. Malynes reproaches him bitterly for his unwillingness to put his powers in action; and sometimes most impudently accuses him of not knowing how James I. had been offered four hundred thousand pounds, if he would renew the office in favour of the applicants, with whom Malynes, it is highly probable, was connected; and drafts of patents are to be found among the Lansdowne papers in the Museum. It was also sought to interest the younger Cocil in this scheme, which, however, failed. Their opposition to it is one of the brightest spots in the history of that illustrious house. To refuse to exercise a patent for the renewal of which, in a few years, L.400,000 was offered, was to abstain, and that obviously from patriotic motives, from making a royal fortune. It might have been acquired, too, not only with the assent, but with the applause, of a considerable portion of the public. The wisdom and absence of greed which such conduct implies, ought not to be forgotten; and form a much better foundation for the. respect and admiration of posterity, than all the official craft and cunning which made up in those days too much of a great statesman's stock in trade.

Of about the same date with Malynes, Mills, and Missenden, but earlier, came the work of William Stafford; of another order, however, and emanating from a loftier and better disciplined mind. The author was a man of profound learning—certainly

classical, apparently theological. He was inclined to Puritan opinions, and had given some offence to Queen Elizabeth, most likely by writing on church discipline. In the work we are speaking of, he undertook to bring his learning and acquirements to bear on all the various current complaints of the badness of the times. His work consists of three Dialogues, forming a Compendium, or Briefe Examination of certain ordinary complaints of divers of our countreymen in these our days; which, though they are in some parts unjust and frivolous, yet they are all, by way of dialogues, thoroughly debated and discussed.

To the political economist the book is principally interesting for its acute and masterly exposition of the necessary consequences of the debasement of the coin; and the proof of a truth which neither kings nor their people had at that time fully seized, namely, that 'the substaunce and quantity is esteemed

'in coyne, and not the name.'

To those who take pleasure in tracing the progress of our literature, the work has an interest of a wholly different kind. The English language was only beginning to be used for the purposes of scientific discussion, and the heads of the more learned class of writers were still full of the majestic roll of Latin periods. But as the language was only beginning to be used, it had as yet no store of foreign or compound terms. The race had not yet appeared, who were destined to 'confound the lan-'guage of the nation by long-tailed words, is, osity, and ation.' The pure homespun English of the day, set to a majestic cadence, and enshrined in classical sentences, gives a remarkable and occasionally very pungent character to Stafford's style; and certainly arrests the attention better than the shorter, sharper, and, it must be owned, clearer arrangements of periods in our own days. Stafford's management of his matter is not less learned and classical than that of his sentences. He has adopted the form of dialogue, and it is manifest that he has those of Cicero ever before him. His knight, his martchaunte-man, his doctor. his husbandman, and crastesman, 'recount the common and universall grieves that men complayne of now a dayes; and boult 'out the very causes and occasions of them,' with the same studied courtesies, and with manners very like those which adorn the classical personages of the De Natura Deorum, or the Tusculan disputations; and 'adjourn from the house to the garden under the vyne, for a good fresh and coole sitting in the sha-'dowe,' very much in the fashion of the more eminent visitors of the Roman villas.

The manners of the day, the change in the habits of different classes, on which they themselves looked with more amazement

than satisfaction, the course of trade, of religion, and opinions, all find a place; and we see the puzzled observers endeavouring to catch and measure the shifting images of their time, with an earnest homeliness of phrase, and extensive knowledge of the condition and needs of the people, which make the tract an historical curiosity; as well as a striking monument of an important era in our literature. It is, besides, the first model of economical speculation by a philosopher: by any, in fact, but mere practical and unpretending hands.

We now know that all the circumstances which excited fears and suspicions at the time were symptoms and conditions of the rapid progress of the nation in the arts of peace, in commerce, unfettered industry, and increasing wealth. It appears clearly, however, from all the works we have bestowed a passing glance on, that the actors in the drama were indulged in no convictions

of this fact to console and reassure them.

It is time, however, to turn to the works of the man by whom all these yearnings and attempts for the restoration of the balanceof-bargain system, or any of its parts, were finally and conclu-

sively put an end to.

That man was Thomas Mun, an eminent London merchant. His first work published, signed with his initials only, T. M., is scarce. It came to a second edition in 1621. His last decisive work was published by his sort, after his own death and soon after the restoration of Charles the Second; its title is. 'England's Treasure by Foreign Trade, or the balance of our foreign trade is the rule of our treasure.' The two pamphlets, read together, afford unquestionable internal evidence of their being by the same hand; and exhibit a curious spectacle of the author's gradual emancipation from a lingering reverence for parts of the old, and his progress towards the distinct and undoubting faith with which he at length lays down the new system. That new system, as we have before intimated, had still the same object with the old—the increasing the treasure, that is, the coin and bullion of the country, through its foreign trade. But the new differed from the old, in discarding entirely all the provisions and machinery of earlier ages; abandoning all interference with the bargains of individuals, and confining its attempts to guide the trade of the country to measures of general policy. worth while to trace shortly the occasions which called forth his speculations, and their progress and transformations.

The trade to India began to assume some importance in the reign of Elizabeth; and it was from the first suspected and disliked by all but those actually concerned in it. The adventurers could only begin their operation successfully by exporting

money; and they had regular licences for that purpose. But nothing more was necessary to rouse the fears and ire of the The unconscious fathers of our Indian empire were assailed as the shameless enemies of their country; whose wealth, whose strength, whose treasure, they were habitually making less. Thomas Mun was apparently one of the associated merchants engaged in the East India trade; and he was roused to vindicate their innocence. Engaged in this task, the thought appears to have struck him, which it seems quite wonderful had not struck all the world long before, that to confine the attention to only the first processes of a lengthened and circuitous course of trade, was taking a very narrow and one-sided view of the matter—that a fuller investigation, by tracing the mercantile venture to its last results, might show that, although it began by exporting some bullion, it might end by importing much more; and thus add to the treasure and vitality of the country, instead of exhausting them: And he set himself about proving that this was eminently the case with the East India trade. He traces, of course, the transactions of the exporters to India, through Asia and Europe, till the English merchants have got their money home, with large additions; and this done, he was for the time triumphant.

But he was as yet far from being weaned from the timehonoured prejudices of his countrymen in favour of the disused economical contrivances of their forefathers. After answering satisfactorily the objections to the India trade, and showing, he says, 'that it hath not hurt this commonwealth,' he proceeds to enumerate the true causes of 'those evils which we seek to 'chase away'-and then enumerates 'four principal causes which 'carry away our gold and silver.' 'The first cause concerneth the standard; the second concerneth the exchanges of moneys with foreign countries, and the practice of those strangers here, in this realm, who make a trade by exchange of moneys. third cause concerneth neglect of duties; and here, as if to crown his adhesion to the flag of the old system, he exclaims, with patriotic indignation- But what shall we think of those men, who are placed in authority and office for his Majesty, if they should not, with dutiful care, discharge their trust concerning that excellent statute (anno 17, Edward IV.), that all the moneys received by strangers for their merchandise shall be employed upon the commodities of this realm? the due performance of which would not only prevent the carrying 'away of much gold and silver, but also be a means of greater 4 vent of our own wares.

We have mentioned is statute of Edward IV. before, as

modifying one of Henry VI.; but Mun was mistaken in supposing it to be the operative 'statute of employment' when he wrote. Henry VII. had passed another, and extended its provisions to traders from Jersey, Guernsey, and Ireland.* But these statutes of employment, in all their shapes, formed the most tyrannical and mischievous portion of that systematical interference with the bargains and dealings of individuals, which we have before been describing; and Mun's eyes were assuredly very imperfectly unscaled, when he was unconscious of their deformity, and joined in an ignorant clamour for their resuscitation.

A great and decisive enlargement of his views, however, had taken place, before we meet with him again. He had waxed old and wise. 'He was (says his son) in his time famous among 'merchants; and well known to most men of business, for his 'general experience in affairs, and notable insight into trade; 'neither was he less observed for his integrity to his prince, and 'zeal to the commonwealth.' And the commencement of his important posthumous work is worthy of the character thus given by filial piety—grave, self-passessed, elevated, holy—the language of one not unconscious of the fact, that he was about to settle questions which had agitated nations; and to throw his own appointed portion of new light on the paths through which they must advance towards happiness and strength.

'My son (he begins), in a former discourse I have endeavoured, 'after my manner, briefly to teach thee two things: the first is 'piety, how to fear God aright, according to His works and 'word; the second is policy, how to love and serve thy country, 'by instructing thee in the duties and proceedings of sundry 'vocations, which either order, or else act, the affairs of the commonwealth; in which, as some things do especially tend to preserve, and others are more apt to enlarge, the same: So I am 'enow to speak of money, which doth indifferently serve to both those happy ends.'

The spirit of the book will best be understood by comparing it with Mun's earlier pamphlet. He dwells here, as there, on the necessity of looking at the last results of mercantile adventures, in order to appreciate their action in increasing or diminishing the bullion, the treasure of the country: But he now discards, as idle devices, all those parts of the balance-of-bargain

machinery to which he had before adhered. He discusses separately the statutes of employment he had before especially commended—the enjoining (as was once the nation's wont) to the merchant that exporteth fish, corn, or munition, to return 'all or part of the value in money;' he derides all fears of the peffects of the undervaluation of our money in exchange, and of the other necromantic tricks of the exchangers; and at last concludes—'But let the merchant's exchange be at a high 'rate, or a low rate, or at the par pro pari, or put down altogether. Let foreign princes enhance their coins, or debase their standards; and let his Majesty do the like, or keep them constant as they now stand. Let foreign coins pass current here in all payments, at higher rate than they are worth at the 'mint; let the statute for employment by strangers stand in force, or be repealed; let the mere exchanger do his worst; 'let princes oppress, lawyers extort, usurers bite, prodigals waste; and, lastly, let merchants carry out what money they 'shall have occasion to use in traffic—Yet all these actions can work no other effect on the course of trade than is declared in this discourse; For so much tressure only will be brought in or carried out of a commonwealth, as the foreign trade doth over or under balance in value; and this must come to pass, by 'a necessity beyond all resistance. So that all other courses which tend not to this end, howsoever they may seem to force 'money into a kingdom for a time, yet are they, in the end, not only fruitless, but also hurtful: They are like to violent floods which bear down their banks, and suddenly remain dry again for want of water.'

The long agonies of the balance-of-bargain system were now over. We have heard its knell. Mun's book was received as the gospel of finance and commercial policy; and his principles ruled for above a century the policy of England, and much

longer that of the rest of Europe.

The task we had appointed ourselves is now over. We have traced, from its construction to its disappearance, the rude but strong commercial and legislative machinery by which our forefathers sought to enrich the realm, and preserve its increasing riches. Let us cast, however, one rapid glance in advance. We have seen that Mun never doubted the truth of the proposition, that bulliosalone constituted real riches. It took another hundred years to expend this fallacy, even from the more enlightened part of the public mind; and they were a hundred years of great activity both of English mind and English policy. Through the whole course of it, a large body of mercantile literature urged on the

government the interests of trade, and the all-importance of its balance; till the real interests of both producing and consuming classes were almost put out of sight. And statesmen obeyed the impulse. They believed, as Colbert believed, that to gain bullion was to gain the only true riches by which their country could thrive; and they too talked and wrote, and fought and treated, and circumvented, and thought they overreached sometimes a rival, sometimes an ally, sometimes a poor colony, in the pursuit of the one great patriotic duty of enriching the realm through the balance of trade. In the mean time, the truth, that all commodities were a part of the wealth of a nation, seems for ever forcing itself on the notice of the busy writers who occupied the stage; and seems to have escaped them, by a miracle somewhat similar to that by which the spell-bound knights of Arthur's court were rendered unconscious of the actual presence of the holy Sangreal. A volume of instances might be adduced to show this; the most remarkable, however, and it must suffice, is that of Davenant. In one of his numerous works he sets about proving that the Custom-house books were not always conclusive evidence of the real balance of trade; and he says, that however unfavourable the indications in those books may be, yet, if the breed of animals is improving, if buildings, mills, ships, rents, &c., are increasing, we may rely on that increase as a proof—of what? Of an increase of the wealth of the coun-Not at all; but as a proof that the balance of trade must, after all, be more favourable than the mere Custom house accounts show it to be. Davenant, of course, remained firm in the faith that bullion alone constituted wealth. Without adverting to the glimmering revelations of partial truth which sometimes vary the utter darkness of the times on this point, we may observe, that before Adam Smith's work appeared, Galiani, Quesnay, Harris, and Hume, had all unveiled the fallacy which had so long received the blind homage of mankind-Quesnay, Harris, and Hume, with precision—Galiani, the first in time and genius, with a beautiful purity and simplicity of style; and a profound and acute philosophical discrimination, which place him in the first rank of philosophers of any age or nation. But Smith was the first to see the whole value of the great truth they had disclosed, and to follow it out to its consequences with equal confidence and care. And it accordingly became at once in his hands, what it had been very lamely and imperfectly in theirs, the foundation of a new structure of economical science. Having shown that bullion was not exclusively wealth, he not merely proceeded to show that commodities were national wealth, but to analyse and

explain the circumstances which determine their plentiful or stinted production; and from his work we may date the beginning of that era in economical knowledge which is still in progress—and probably in an earlier stage of its progress than the self-complacency of our own generation is very willing to admit.

We are aware that in thus speaking of the precursors of Smith we run the danger of arousing some jealousy and some anger; but as nothing can be more base than the malignant eagerness with which such facts are sometimes used to disparage greatness, so nothing can be more idle than the fears of those who imagine that they really detract from the solid fame of a writer like Smith.

None but those ignorant of the ordinary march of knowledge will think it derogatory to the great Economist that he did not create all the light he used; that he seized the trembling and imperfect beams which, in the general progress of thought, many other intellects had begun to emit, and knit them with a strong hand into a perfect ray; which sheds a light upon the path of nations that can only disappear with the disappearance of the accumulated knowledge of our race. Such is the appointed task of all great leaders, in both moral and physical science; and such are the achievements which leave the human race their everlasting debtors.

ART. VIII.—1. Mr REDGRAVE'S Letter on the School of Design, to Lord John Russell. The Builder—Dec 1846.

^{2.} The Papers and Transactions of the Decorative Art Society, from January 1844 to January 1846. London.

^{3.} Fresco Decorations and Stuccoes of Churches and Palaces in Italy during the 15th and 16th centuries; taken from the principal Works of the greatest Painters. Drawn and Engraved by Thurmer, Gutensohn, Pistrucci, Gruner, and others. With English Descriptions. By Ludovig Gruner. 46 Plates, folio. London: 1844.

Tr may seem idle to say one word, to prove the importance of the Arts of Design as applied to manufactures, and that, as regards all those articles (the excellence of which depends on the beauty of the pattern), it is essential that the designer should be skilled in the fine arts. And yet England, confessedly

the greatest manufacturing country of the world, appears to have been the last to become aware of this fact; or at least, the last to make any effort towards the attainment of any perfection in the different branches of fine arts connected with manufactures; though, now and then, some desultory efforts have been made by individuals.

Mr Wedgwood, feeling the importance of cultivating the most refined taste, as regarded form, availed himself of the genius of Flaxman; and with the happiest results, both as related to his own fortune, and the interests of the country: For, soon after he had brought his manufacture to perfection, we became, for the first time, large exporters of earthenware, instead of buyers. Stothard, in many respects an artist of the greatest talent England ever produced, was originally employed by the Spitalfields weavers to make their designs; and Messrs Rundell and Bridge employed both Flaxman and Bailey in the production of models for different ornamental works to be executed in silver. But whilst France and Germany were quite alive to the importance of the formation of Schools for the education of artists. who might furnish manufacturers with patterns, nothing of the kind appears to have been thought of in this country, until the absolute importance of making some systematic effort was clearly brought home to every one, by the mass of evidence produced before Mr Ewart's committee on fine arts and manufactures. Before this committee appeared witnesses of every class—traders, manufacturers, artists, and artisans, foreign and English, all testifying, in the strongest manner, to the utter deficiency of the designs used in British manufactures, the impossibility of finding persons properly educated to produce them, and the absolute necessity which our manufacturers had experienced, of obtaining from abroad, and especially from France, all their available designs: They also testified to the wretched manner in which the actual copies, or the incongruous combinations, or adaptations, were afterwards executed. French silks, French paper hangings, French bronzes, French clocks, &c., were everywhere sought after, in preference to our home manufactures; not certainly, for the excellence of the fabric or execution, but solely on account of the elegance of the design, or the taste displayed in the combination and variety of the colours.

Dr Bowring's report on Foreign trade, had made the public acquainted with what was doing in other countries for training artists capable of applying the principles of art to ornamental design, especially as regards the great school at Lyons. This report, the urgent representations of the more VOL. LXXXV. NO. CLXXII. •

intelligent manufacturers, the mass of evidence produced before the committee, and the conviction of the prevailing superiority of design in foreign manufactures, led at last to the establishment, by the late Lord Sydenham (then President of the Board of Trade) of the Government School of Design. attempt, however, was attended with much difficulty. Hitherto no artist of any note had attended to the application of the principles of art to ornament, with the few exceptions which we have mentioned. And neither Flaxman nor Stothard were now alive to afford that assistance which their knowledge, taste, and experience would have enabled them to furnish. The old exhibition rooms at Somerset House were given up, however, to the school by the government; and its management was entrusted to a council, which—consisting of artists of eminence, some gentlemen connected with the various branches of ornamental manufacture, and some, whose pursuits led them to take an interest in the fine arts-was presided over by Lord Colborne, who has always taken a deep interest in the success of the school. This council is responsible to the Board of Trade, to which department of government the school is attached.

Previously, however, to this, the subject had engaged the attention of the Board of Trustees for the encouragement of arts and manufactures in Scotland; and in an admirable letter, written by Mr. William Dyce and Mr Wilson, the course of study necessary in a school of design was so well pointed out, that the council of the London school determined to employ Mr Dyce to make a tour on the Continent for the purpose of visiting the foreign schools, and ascertaining the different modes of instruction there followed. On his return, he made a report to the Board of Trade, afterwards printed by Parliament, containing much useful and interesting information on the subject of education in the arts of design both in France and in Germany. council availed themselves of his assistance, by appointing him to be the director of the London school, on the resignation of Mr Papworth; and he filled this office, until, finding that its duties were inconsistent with his professional pursuits, his resignation was accepted, and Mr Wilson, the present director (who had joined with him in the letter before noticed), was appointed. The value and importance of this school was soon felt. It was early determined to establish others in the great manufacturing towns. In addition to the branch school at Spitalfields, there are now eleven branch schools in England, besides one in Glasgow, and one in Paisley; and it is understood that one will be forthwith established in Dublin, and another at Belfast. The total number

of pupils attending the schools already in operation, exceeds 2100. At Somerset House, and at several of the provincial branch schools, there are separate classes for females, which are well attended, and much advantage results from affording education

to females in a profession peculiarly suited to them. The commonest observer must have already perceived a considerable improvement in the design and execution of English ornamental manufactures; and it may fairly be assumed, that some portion of this improvement is due to the encouragement and instruction afforded by the various schools already established. Although the government had in the council the assistance of Mr Eastlake, Sir Francis Chantrey, Sir Augustus Callcott, and Sir David Wilkie, and still have amongst the members of the council Mr Etty, Sir R. Westmacot, Mr Richmond, and Mr Cockerell, great difficulty has been experienced in determining what should be the actual course of instruction: And the difference of opinion on this head has hitherto, we believe, in a great degree diminished the benefits to be derived from these establishments. As yet, little has been taught, beyond drawing — no lectures on the principles of the fine arts, on the different ages of ornamental art, on the peculiar adaptation of the principles of high art to ornament and decoration, have been given—at least so we infer from Mr Redgrave's letter to Lord John Russell. Mr Townsend, one of the masters, is understood to have addressed a letter to the council, in most respects similar to that by Mr Redgrave to Lord John Russell, in which he points out the same defects, and suggests similar remedies y and the subjects of remodelling the course of teaching, of the superintendence of the schools, and of affording instruction in the principles of high art and its application to ornamental design, are under the consideration of committee of the council, and of the Board of Trade. On the first formation of the school, the masters were persons merely skilled in common decoration and pattern drawing; and Mr Dyce, an artist of eminence, whose attention had long been turned to ornamental design, was early entrusted with the office of director, and had the entire control and superintendence of the masters: But on his vacating that office, artists of considerable ability were chosen as professors, and hence has arisen the necessity of reremodelling the establishment; as, of course, it would be unreasonable to expect that they should be called on to act, in any manner, under the control or superintendence of any one, as regards their mode of teaching. The course of instruction, or the education necessary for the production of designers, who may be able to rival the productions of Pompeii, the Baths of Titus, the

Arabesques of Raphael, Julio Romano, Giovanni da Udine, and Benvenuto Cellini—who shall be competent to appreciate and avail themselves of the principles on which the Byzantine, Moorish, and Gothic ornaments were produced—must, we conceive, be that of complete artists—of persons well grounded in

the highest principles of the fine arts.

In former times, observes Dr Waagen, in his evidence before Mr Ewart's committee, 'artists were more workmen than now, 'and the workmen more artists, as in the time of Raphael; and 'it is very desirable to restore this happy connexion.' This should be the guiding principle of the council in tracing out their course of education. Let them educate all their pupils in the knowledge of high art; and instruct them.in the just application of these principles. Let them educate even the workmen in these principles; so that they may understand the designs they are to execute, when traced out by the hand of the master, and they will command success. Without this connexion between the design and manufacture, there will be no hope of attaining excellence. Messrs Dyce and Wilson observe,—

That such a connexion subsisted to the fullest extent at the periods when the arts were in their meridian excellence, it is impossible to deny, if we look at the remains of those ages which have reached us. The proofs of the art and industry of the Romans, for example, discovered by the excavation of Pompeii, Herculaneum, and Stabize, display a unity of idea and sentiment, which is evidently the result of the pervading influence of fine taste. Such was the case, also, in Italy during part of the fifteenth and the sixteenth centuries; and history, fortunately, enables us to detail with accuracy, the means by which this practical connexion of the arts was brought about and sustained.

At that period, the great men whose pre-eminent talents have dered their names familiar to us, did not disdain to guide spirits of an inferior order, who laboured mechanically at the loom, in the porcelain manufactory, in the workshop, or with the needle, in

decorating the mansions of their patrons.

Thus it was in the school of Raffaelle;—one of his pupils applied himself to the design of architectural ornaments; another to landscape; a third to animals, birds, and fishes; a fourth to designs for furniture, jewellery, and casting in bronze, and the precious metals; while some painted, others modelled in plaster;—and all their proceedings were controlled and directed by one master-mind, who built up, as it were, and put together in a consistent whole, the polished stones which his workmen had prepared.*

Some of these secondary artists, more highly gifted by nature than

^{*} Lanzi, Scuols, Rom. Ep. II.

others, rose to be themselves the heads of schools, as, for instance, Perino del Vaga at Genoa, and Giulio Romano at Mantua; others, less aspiring, plodded on through their lives, having their minds at least imbued with the spirit of their masters. The practical importance of such a class of artists is evident. By devoting their whole attention to particular details of art, they necessarily acquired more knowledge and dexterity than would have been possible, had the application of their talents been more general.

'In the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, indeed, the boundary which separates mechanical from fine art, seems to have remained completely undefined. The societies of painters comprehended not only all who laboured with the brush, but those also who in any way had to do with colours, such as dyers, glass-stainers, &c. The corporations of sculptors likewise embraced, as of the same craft, all the workers in marble, metals, wood, ivory, and precious stones. And we may be permitted to observe, that this association of the useful and fine arts is by no means without reason. If it be the influence of taste and imagination, which constitutes the characteristic excellence of fine art, then it is hard to say how low in the scale of manufacture its province extends.'

The report of Mr Dyce on the Foreign schools of design is of importance, as showing what has been done in other countries, enabling us to see how far we are to expect advantage to our manufactures from increased excellence in design, and pointing out the best mode of obtaining it. In the German schools, under the general term 'fine art,' every species of decorative design is supposed to be included; no difference in principle being recognized between that kind of art which is applicable to manufacture, and that which has for its object the poetical, the imaginative, or the historical. The immediate result of this is, that in the matter of education, the difference between a school of design for manufacture, and an academy of fine art, consistanot in the kind of instruction afforded, but only in the amount or degree to which it is carried. The latter, indeed, in the absence of schools for manufacturing design, are supposed to include all the studies which ordinarily form the business of the former. France, Mr Dyce observes, there is not, as far as he is aware, any regular division of departments in the numerous schools which relate to art: if we except the Royal Academies, and the Polytechnic School of Paris. All the other schools have arisen, as perhaps they ought, out of particular circumstances; and to meet a demand for the kind of instruction to which they are severally devoted. But they collectively make up a system of technical instruction essentially the same as that of Prussia and Bavaria, which therefore may be regarded as having had their earliest and most natural development in France. Thus there

are the two extremes, the elementary schools and the royal academies; and between these two we have—first, the gratuitous schools in Paris, and the school of St Peter at Lyons, and others, which systematically connect the study of art and manufacture; and, second, the polytechnic schools, which make use of art as a means towards scientific pursuits. Some of the French schools are supported entirely by the state, as the Ecole des Beaux Arts of Paris. Others are so supported only to a small extent; as being mostly limited to the improvement of art in the particular branches of industry most flourishing in the localities where they are founded; and it is considered that the manufacturers should contribute to their support. Thus, the staple manufacture of Lyons being that of silks, the municipality is called upon to defray the greater part of the expense of the school there; which, so far as it relates to industry, does so, only to the teaching of design for fabrics of silk. And it may be observed, that in the constitution of our own provincial schools, the same principle is acted on. The establishment is only granted, on the town agreeing to contribute a certain portion of the cost.

The whole of Mr Dyce's report is full of interesting and instructive matter; and the only wonder is, that we should have been previously in such total ignorance of what were the real means by which our rivals were enabled so completely to surpass us in all which related to design, as applied to manufactures. There are also, it is understood, other reports to the council, made by Mr Townsend, Mr M'Ian, and Mr Poynter, the Inspector of the government provincial schools, with respect to the state of the art of design in Paris, and the mode of instruction pursued in these schools. In Lyons, Mr Dyce states that the nmercial value of taste is so high, that when a young man displays extraordinary talent in this way, many great mercantile houses will admit him into partnership, in order to monopolize his services; and a pattern designer in good practice commonly obtains as much as 10,000 francs a-year. A great evil often arises from want of education in the workmen; as well as the want of good and competent designers. A French designer of paper-hangings lately came over to establish a manufactory in this country; he employed English workmen to print his designs, and insisted that the tints should exactly correspond with his drawing. But the workmen at once struck work; they had been accustomed to make up their tints in large quantities, had never used but three greens, two reds, or two yellows, and so on; and it was considered by them as absurd to submit to the caprice of a Frenchman, who seemed

to think that there were as many colours as days in the year! The concern, Mr Dyce states, was consequently broken up. When our 'workmen are better artists,' this will not happen; and when there is a good general system of artistic education, there will be no lack of workmen willing to avail themselves of it. Witness the 2,100 pupils already attending such schools as are open. It is but justice, both to the government which established the school, and to that of Sir Robert Peel, which succeeded, to state that there has been no want of support, liberal sums having been supplied for outfit, for the purchase of casts, prints, books, drawings, &c. Let us but once see an extended and complete course of instruction decided on, and we shall not despair of seeing our own schools rivalling those of our continental neighbours.

There is one important reason why the government should interfere in the furnishing of the most complete education to the designer and the artisan. In the ordinary branches of education, good teachers are easily obtained. But the principles of the fine arts can only be well taught by highly-instructed persons, whose aid can only be secured at a considerable cost. At the same time, the best examples cannot be procured without a large expenditure; while it must be kept in view, that art ill taught, would be were a then no instruction at all

would be worse than no instruction at all.

It is scarcely possible to estimate the value and importance of design in every matter connected with ornamental manufactures, or to guess at the apparently unimportant objects in which it becomes of value. The following extract from a very intelligent report made by Mr Poynter to the Board of Trade, on the advantage of establishing schools in Ireland, is so curious, that we lay it before our readers in his own words:—

There is another branch of industry connected with design, the trivial nature of which contrasts strangely with its immense amount. It is the paper-bands with which the rolls of linen are tied round, and the boxes in which they are folded. For home consumption, these bands are usually of plain colour, stamped with some gilt ornament; but in preparing the linens for the foreign market, the manufacturers attach great importance to the effect of these ligatures; and the rolls are tied either by French ribbons and gold cord, or the paper bands are embossed, pictorially ornamented, and gaily coloured, and sometimes engraved with some popular subject, allusive to the country to which the goods are to be exported. A horseman throwing the lasso, for example, or the representation of the bolero, are adapted to the South American market. Humble as these objects may appear, they cost the linen manufacturers not less than from L.40,000 to L.50,000 per annum, the whole of which was, until very lately, expended out of Belfast, the

articles being supplied from London, or indirectly from Paris. There is now one stationer in Belfast, who has begun to manufacture them; and though his productions are very inferior, his returns amount already to a considerable sum. A comparison of the Belfast productions with those from London, and of the latter with the French, shows palpably the difference between art and no art, even in a matter apparently of so little importance. The establishment of a school of design at Belfast would probably secure for the town the greater part of this expenditure, and supply the manufacturers with a better and cheaper article.'

In connexion with this subject, we must mention two important works-one, the most magnificent publication of modern times, namely, the work of Mr Gruner-the other, the humble pamphlet, containing abstracts of the papers read at the meetings of the Decorative Art Society. This institution consists almost entirely of practical men, professionally employed in designs for manufactures, or as decorators. The book is full of instructive matter. It is a proof of the zeal and earnestness with which the subject is pursued, and shows how completely the members feel the importance of acquiring the true principles of the art of design, as applied to the different manufactures in which they are engaged. We trust that the council of the School of Design will take a hint from the contents of these transactions. We select the following heads :- Carpets, Elizabethan furniture designs, Harmony of Colour, Chromatic Designs, style of Louis XIV., Paper. hanging, Geometrical figures, Marquetrie, what circumstances should determine a preference for Italian or Gothic styles, &c. It is of course to be expected, that, in addition to the teaching the principles of high art, everything relating to the application of these principles to the peculiar conditions of different manutures, will be taught by the council; but, if Mr Redgrave is correct in his statement, none of these matters are yet taught; and to that extent, at least, the English schools are In every thing relating to taste the great body of the English people have never hitherto had a chance. Without an opportunity of seeing a work of art worth looking at. whatever genius they may possess remains yet to be developed. It will be a pleasant circumstance, should we owe to the competition of our manufacturers as much in national taste as in national riches.

With regard to Mr Gruner's work, we consider its publication most opportune, and of the highest importance with respect to decoration. It consists of forty-six folio plates, engraved and most elaborately coloured from the finest specimens of decorative art of the best time in Italy. These are an inex-

haustible mine to the designer in almost every branch of his art; and the fidelity of the execution renders them fit for working drawings for the artisan. We regret that our space does not enable us to enter more at large on the peculiar service done to art in the production of this work; but we hope, shortly, to resume the subject of decorative design, and to pursue it with particular reference to the essay by Mr Hiltorf, on the ancient arabesques as compared with Raphael and his school; a task which will enable us to show in detail how much the arts of this country, and indeed of all Europe, are indebted to Mr Gruner.

ART. IX.—The Billow and the Rock. A Tale. By HARRIET MARTINEAU. London: 1846.

The announcement of a new work by Miss Martineau was always a pleasing announcement to us: But it is doubly so now, by reason of the risk to which we were recently exposed of being deprived of her altogether: And the work before us, we are happy to say, gives ample proof that her restoration is complete, that her mental powers have been strengthened rather than impaired by Mesmerism, and that her long trials have left no traces of other than healthful influences, such as the admirable book entitled Life in the Sick-Room would lead every reader of taste, feeling, or reflection to expect.

The Billow and the Rock is not, like most of her other tales or stories, written to illustrate any peculiar principle or doctrine of legislation or political economic but it is a tale founded upon Fact. Is this an advantage or a disadvantage? ought it to be put forward as a recommendation or the contrary? We shall endeavour, before coming to the Tale itself, to answer this question as precisely as such a question can well be answered: For a good deal of error is afloat concerning the points involved in it; and a class of writers who are now exercising a wide-spread influence in both France and England, have evidently decided it somewhat summarily in their own way; since they seem to think that all objections to a scene, description, character, or plot, are answered at once by proving it to be a faithful drawing from life or nature, or an actual occurrence in society. To take only two prominent examples—when we turn away repelled and sickening from the pictures of physical suffering and moral debasement which abound in Les Mystères de Paris, M. Sue assures

us that the originals may be seen at the shortest warning in the hospitals or lunatic asylums of the French capital; and when all the thought, observation, artistical skill and brilliant writing lavished on *Lucretia*, or the Children of the Night, fail to neutralise the painful feelings with which we run over such a catalogue of crimes or contemplate such monsters of iniquity, we are told, that some fifteen or twenty years ago, an artist, named W——, did actually poison two of his female relatives, for the purpose of defrauding the insurance offices.

It consequently becomes necessary to re-assert what we thought has long ago been firmly established as an axiom, that the strictly imitative school is the very lowest in all branches of art, not even excepting the most imitative of all-painting; an axiom which can scarcely be denied by any one who is not prepared to assert the superiority of Van Stein and Teniers over Raphael and Michael Angelo. A truly great artist manifests his greatness by heightening, elevating, idealising; by addressing himself to our sensibility and imagination; by making us glow with enthusiasm, or filling our minds with beautiful and sublime associations—not by simply calling our powers of observation, memory, and comparison into play. To be true to nature, and to present nothing but a servile copy of nature, are very different things. The Apollo and the Venus are types of the ideal, not the real; and tradition says that even the Fornarina was indebted to the rich warm pencil of her lover for the most glorious part of her surpassing though thoroughly mundane loveliness. No fine portrait (as we once heard Sir Thomas Lawrence remark) was ever painted directly from the original, or except from an image distinctly present in the mind of the painter; and it is well known that Sir Thomas himself, even in the ordinary ery-day practice of his profession, and when dealing with subjects which there was little chance of making historical, always began by getting his sitters into conversation and turning their attention from the object in hand, so as to have as much variety of manner and expression to choose from, as time and circumstances would admit.

'A graceful truth thy pencil can command,
The fair themselves go mended from thy hand;
Likeness appears in every lineament,
But likeness in thy work is eloquent;
Though nature there her true resemblance bears,
A noble beauty in thy piece appears.'

A striking example of the consequences of an opposite mode of proceeding, is afforded by a book now lying on our table, entitled Fisher's Drawing-Room Scrap Book. The frontispiece

professes to be a likeness of Mrs Norton; a lithograph of her autograph authenticates it; and we will not take upon ourselves to deny that there may have been periods of her life when she looked as Mr Carrick has pictured her. But did he never see her in any other mood? or did he never ask himself whether the pale, meek, wo-begone and melancholy lady in the prim Quaker-like gown, could be the proud and gifted beauty who must have been so often and so enthusiastically described to him? He evidently thought of nothing but of executing a piece of taskwork for the publisher; and went on transcribing feature after feature, looking up every ten seconds from the paper to the face, till it was done. But every work of art produced on this principle will be a failure—whether a picture, a statuc, or a Plays, like Shakspeare's, may be founded on old chronicles; romances, like Scott's, may be traced to the romance of history: But the author must fill his mind, and then write from its fullness; he must not halt in his course or check his flight to eke out a scene or chapter from the authorities; or, more correctly speaking, the creative faculty will generally be found dead or torpid, and there will be no course to halt in or flight to check, when such purely mechanical aids and handicraft contrivances are resorted to. Above all, it is general truth, probability, and agreement with nature, that are indispensable: A work (Tom Jones, for example) may possess these qualities in perfection, and strike every one as eminently natural, without containing a single incident taken from actual life or history; and a work may abound in well authenticated details, and yet not only offend by their repulsiveness, but strike every one as unnatural, by reason of their anomalous character, or their rarity.

We are far from thinking that Miss Martineau has erred, like the popular writers to whom we have alluded, in the choice of her materials; but we were certainly led into the foregoing train of remark, by finding how much she had occasionally been cramped by the supposed necessity of attending to the authenticated details of the adventures she has taken for her groundwork. The Lady Carse of her Tale is the Lady Grange of Scottish story, about whom several hundred pages have been printed within the last half century; and, for Miss Martineau's sake, we heartily wish they had not; for she would have done far better had she been thrown more completely on her own resources, or known nothing of the lady in question but what was current at the period of Dr Johnson's journey to the Hebrides—

'After dinner to-day (says Boswell) we talked of the extraordinary fact of Lady Grange's being sent to St Kilda, and confined there for several years, without any means of relief. Dr. Johnson said, if Mac-

lead would let it be known that he had such a place for naughty ladies, he might make it a very profitable island.'

In a note to this passage Boswell adds:-

'The true story of this lady, which happened in this century, is as frightfully romantic as if it had been the fiction of a gloomy fancy. She was the wife of one of the lords of session in Scotland, a man of the very first blood of his country. For some mysterious reasons, which have never been discovered, she was seized and carried off in the dark, she knew not by whom, and by nightly journeys was conveyed to the Highland shores, from whence she was transported by sea to the remote rock of St Kilda, where she remained, amongst its few wild inhabitants, a forlorn prisoner, but had a constant supply of provisions, and a woman to wait on her. No inquiry was made after her, till she at last found means to convey a letter to a confidential friend, by the daughter of a catechist, who concealed it in a clew of yarn. Information being thus obtained at Edinburgh, a ship was sent to bring her off; but intelligence of this being received, she was conveyed to Macleod's island of Herries, where she died.'

Now, a story in this state is the very thing a writer of fiction should fix upon. 'Mysterious reasons which have never been discovered,' what a fine field for the imagination is here laid open! yet, to the best of our information, only one adventurer was found to try his fortune in it, till it was not only explored and surveyed, but inclosed and ploughed up by antiquaries and annotators of all sorts.

In 1798, a poem appeared in London with the following title:—Epistle from Lady Grange, to Edward D—, Esq. Written during her Confinement in the Island of St Kilda. The author was Mr W. Erskine, W.S., probably a connexion of the husband's family. The poem is a palpable imitation of Pope's famous Epistle from Eloisa to Abelard, as will cufficiently appear from the commencement—

'Rave, ye fierce winds; ye angry surges, roar; Climb the rude cliffs that circle Kilda's shore; The tempest rolls along the troubled heaths, The lightning glares, and yet Matilda breathes. Blasting the groves, the flame-wing'd torrents speed, Yet glide innocuous o'er this guilty head. Yes, I have scorned thy laws, in love sublime, And glory in the inexpiable crime.'

Then comes a passage explanatory of the share Edward D—, Esq. is supposed to have had in the matter—

'And then, dear sharer of my love and crime, Whatever region holds, whatever clime '_____. She labours hard to be philosophical, and make up her mind that what cannot be cured, must be endured; ever and anon trying the soothing influence of a day-dream of a fancied isle, where—

Love fired by liberty, might spurn control,
 Dart through the frame, and rule the o'er-flooded soul.'

This style of wishing, instead of leading us to sympathise with the lady, rather leads us to commend the prudential measures of her lord. But the reality is too strong for any effort of fancy—

Dear lost delusion! Truth's too fervent ray, Strikes the bright frost-work, and it melts away. In Kilda's isle I trace the fancied shore, But you and innocence are mine no more.

How Edward D——, Esq., and Innocence, could ever have been hers at the same time, is not quite obvious; but this was the fashion in which so-called poems, or poetical epistles, handsomely printed in quarto, were composed during the last quarter of the last century; the only apparent object of the writers being to produce a certain number of lines in imitation of some recognised classic.

A few years after this poem was written, attention began to be attracted to the story; at length the whole of it became known; and the curious in such matters may now not only follow Lady Grange through her adventures (strange and striking enough, if true) on her enforced expedition through the Highlands to the Hebrides, but speculate on her feelings in her own Journal, and analyse her lord's character in his Diary.

Lord Grange was a judge of the Court of Session, and, as such, took his title from his estate. He was a younger son of the Earl of Mar who played so prominent a part in the troubles of 1715; but, warned by his father's example, he concealed what were always believed to be his real opinions, and professed a zealous attachment to the House of Hanover, the Whig party, and Sir Robert Walpole. He never stood high in the estimation of his contemporaries, and the following lines express the popular notions regarding him—

'Th' answer I'll give thee in these few lines, Perhaps you may think strange, In villany, that he's outshined By hypocret, Lord Grange.
All Charters' sins were open done In face of men and skyes;
But Grange kidnapt his wife by noon,

And whoors with upcast eyes.

Let Charters then, rest in his grave,
He has received his doom;
He has no place 'mong hypocrites—
That's held till Lord Grange come.'

It is difficult to help thinking the charge of hypocrisy well founded, when we find such an entry as this in the Diary, and connect it with the known self-seeking habits of the man—

"I have reason to thank God that I was put out from the office of justice-clerk; for, beside many reasons from the times, and my own circumstances, and other reasons from myself, this one is sufficient, that I have thereby so much more time to employ about God and religion.'

There is a reflective turn and a felicity of expression in another short entry,—

'I have religion enough to spoil my relish and prosecution of this world, and not enough to get me to the next. Nay, worldly things do not give me that satisfaction and delight which they did heretofore, but yet they follow me,—and in a dull heavy way take up my mind and amuse it.'

After all, inconsistency is not hypocrisy; a man may feel rightly, or intend to act properly, in his closet, and yet prove utterly unable to resist temptation, or forego the worldly advantages with-A somewhat remarkable discussion in the British in his reach. Cabinet transpired a few years ago, in the way in which everything strictly private does transpire, namely, through the wife of one of the members. The subject was, whether a man who had killed his wife in one of the colonies, should suffer the highest penalty of the law. A duke, blessed with a very beautiful duchess, stood out for the alleged criminal; but when pressed for his reasons, it appeared he had none to give, but that 'women ' are so aggravating.' Now, the same justification, if it be one, is clearly open to Lord Grange; for a more aggravating helpmate it was hardly possible to have; and the manner in which the union was brought about, was such as to throw considerable doubts, from the commencement, on the probable duration of his Even the parentage of Lady Grange was ominous for a felicity. 'On a Sunday afternoon' (so runs the narrative), 'in the spring of the year 1689, the president of the court of session '(Lockbart) was walking quietly home from church, when a ' pistol-shot, fired close behind him, brought him to the ground a corpse. Amongst the crowd who gathered round the spot, 'stood a gloomy-looking man, who, when he heard that the 'venerable judge had died instantly, remarked, that he was not accustomed to do things by halves!' This gloomy-looking man

was John Chiesly of Dalry; who had committed the murder to revenge a decision which Lockhart had given against him, in an arbitration. He was the father of Lady Grange; and the story goes, that she compelled Lord Grange, by whom she had been seduced, to marry her, by holding a pistol to his head and reminding him that she was the daughter of John Chiesly.

The wonder, is that this union lasted so long without an open rupture; but the lady says that they went on happily and-peaceably enough for more than twenty years; and it is a remarkable fact that they had two sons grown up, and a daughter married to the Earl of Kintore, at the period of the catastrophe

with which Miss Martineau begins her Tale.

About 1730 they quarrelled, and a formal separation was agreed upon; but the terms were ill kept on her side, as it seems she took every opportunity of annoying him, and even threatened to assail him on the bench, 'which,' he says, 'he every day ex-' pected; for she professed she had no shame.' But it was a threat to accuse him of political disaffection that brought about the crisis. During their haleyon days, he had written to her from London, severely reflecting on Walpole and his government; she had treasured up the letter; and those were times when any scrap of writing that would bear a doubtful interpretation might have cost a judge his place, or, combined with a suspicious circumstance or two, his life. 'The judge,' (quietly observes Sir Walter Scott,) 'probably thought with Mrs Peachum, that it is rather 'an awkward state of domestic affairs when the wife has it in her ' power to hang her husband;' and some of his friends concurred with him; among others the famous, or infamous, Lord Lovat, who was no doubt afraid that (mixed up as he was with all the intrigues of the period) if Lady Grange were allowed to execute her threat, something might transpire to compromise himself.

Miss Martineau's Tale opens just when Lady Grange's threats are beginning to render decisive steps of some sort necessary: But, as we have but little room for extracts, we pass at once to the scene of her actual deportation. She had been baffled in one attempt to go to London to make her threatened revelation; and is gloomily meditating on the best means of resuming it.

'In the evening, she wearily rose, and slowly dressed herself—for the first time in her life without help. She was fretted and humbled at the little difficulties of her toilet, and secretly wished, many times, that Bessie would come back and offer her services, though she was resolved to appear not to accept them without a very humble apology from Bessie for her fears about London. At last she was ready to go down to tea, dressed in a wrapping-gown and slippers. When halfway down, she

heard a step behind her, and looked round. A Highlander was just two stairs above her; another appeared at the foot of the flight; and more were in the hall. She knew the livery. It was Lovat's tartan.

They dragged her down-stairs, and into her parlour, where she struggled so violently that she fell against the heavy table, and knocked out two teeth. They fastened down her arms by swathing her with a plaid, tied a cloth over her mouth, threw another over her head, and carried her to the door. In the street was a sedan-chair; and in the chair was a man who took her upon his knees, and held her fast. Still she struggled so desperately, that the chair rocked from side to side, and would have been thrown over, but that there were plenty of atten-

dants running along by the side of it, who kept it upright.

'This did not last very long. When they had got out of the streets, the chair stopped. The cloth was removed from her head, and she saw that they were on the Linlithgow road, that some horsemen were waiting, one of whom was on a very stout horse, which hore a pillion behind the saddle. To this person she was formally introduced, and told that he was Mr Forster of Corsebonny. She knew Mr Forster to be a gentleman of character, and that therefore her personal safety was secure in his hands. But her good opinion of him determined her to complain and appeal to him in a way which she believed no gentleman could resist. She did not think of making any outcry. The party was large; the road was unfrequented at night; and she dreaded being gagged. She therefore only spoke,—and that as calmly as she could.

"What does this mean, Mr Forster? Where are you carrying me?"

"I know little of Lord Carse's purposes, Madam; and less of the meaning of them probably than yourself."

"My Lord Carse! Then I shall soon be among the dead. He will

go through life with murder on his soul."

"You wrong him, Madam. Your life is very safe."

"No. I will not live to be the sport of my husband's mercy. I tell you, Sir, I will not live."

"Let me advise you to be silent, Madam. Whatever we have to say will be better said at the end of our stage, where I nope you will

enjoy good rest, under my word that you shall not be molested."

But the lady would not be silent. She declared very peremptorily her determination to destroy herself on the first opportunity; and no one who knew her temper could dispute the probability of her doing that, or any other act of passion. From bewailing herself, she went on to say things of her husband and Lord Levat, and of her purposes in regard to them, which Mr Forster felt that he and others ought not, for her own sake, to hear. He quickened his pace; but she complained of cramp in her side. He then halted—whispered to two men who watched for his orders—and had the poor lady again silenced by the cloth being tied over her mouth. She tried to drop off; but that only caused the strap which bound her to the rider to be buckled tighter. She found herself treated like a wayward child. When she could no longer make opposition, the pace of the party was quickened; and it was not more than two hours past midnight when they reached a country-house, which she knew to belong to an Edinburgh lawyer, a friend of her husband's.'

So far Miss Martineau has followed the actual narrative. The country-house was Muiravonside, belonging to Mr John, Macleod, advocate; who adds another to the long list of persons of station and respectability engaged in the deportation. She was next carried to a place called Wester Polmaise, belonging to a gentleman named Stewart, where she was imprisoned in an old tower for fourteen or fifteen weeks, till arrangements were made with Sir Alexander Macdonald for transferring her to a small island of his, called Heskir, within sight of the Isle of Skye.

•I'1 Miss Martineau's Tale, the lady reaches her final destination · without any adventure of a romantic cast. But Dr Macleay, in his work or compilation entitled 'Historical Memoirs of Rob Roy, &c.,' leads her through three four, which sound passing strange, and would be strikingly illustrative of the then state of society, if true: But they are so questionably authenticated, that we can only assist the curious reader with this general reference.

Miss Martineau, however, has carefully and, in our opinion, judiciously eschewed everything of the exciting order, and refled almost exclusively on simple descriptions of natural scenery, and the deep though homely truth of her moral reflections, for the main interest of her book.

> 'The path of sorrow, and that path alone, Leads to the place where sorrow is unknown.'-

This is the moral of the story; and the entire book may be best regarded as a vehicle for conveying it. Considered in this point of view, the principal character (who, after all, is not, in our judgment at least, the wild woman of whom we have been speaking,) is admirably conceived. We are thus introduced to her, as the vessel carrying Lady Grange approaches the island which is to be her prison:

She once more insisted on landing by daylight, and was once more told that it was out of the question. She resolved to keep as wide awake as her suspicions, in order to see what was to be done with her. She was anxiously on the watch in the darkness an hour before midnight, when Macdonald said to her,

" Now for it, Madam! I will presently show you something

curious."

4 The sloop began to move under the soft breathing night wind; and, in a few minutes, Macdonald asked her if she saw anything before her. a little to the right? At first she did not; but was presently told, that a tiny spark, too minute to be noticed by any but those who were looking for it, was a guiding light.

"Where is it?" asked the lady. "Why have not you a more effec-

tual light?"

"We are thankful enough to have any; and it serves our turn."

"O! I suppose it is a smuggler's signal; and it would not do to

make it more conspicuous."

"No, Madam. It is far from being a smuggler's signal. There is a woman, Annie Fleming, living in the grey house I showed you, an honest and pious soul, who keeps up that light for all that want it."

"Why? Who employs her?"

"She does it of her own liking. Some have heard tell, but I don't some it for true, that when she and her husband were young she saw him drown, from his boat having run foul in the harbour that she overlooks; and that from that day to this she has had a light up there every night. I can say that I never miss it when I come home; and I always enter by night, trusting to it as the best landmark in this difficult harbour."

This Annie Fleming is, as we have already intimated, the real heroine. The other characters serve for little else than to bring out her good qualities. They are her son Rollo, and a wrongheaded clergyman named Ruthven, with a not much wiser wife. All of them are anxious to aid Lady Grange; and even the steward or factor would not be sorry to be rid of her, but the sense of obedience to his chief overcame every other consideration:—

"And now," said Annie, "if the lady is afflicted with such hardness of heart, is it not cruel, to take her away from God's word and worship, just when there is a minister coming? O! Macdonald, what would you do to one who should carry away your poor sick little Malcolmeto St Kilda, just when your watching eye caught sight of an eastward sail, and you knew it was the physician coming;—sent, moreover, for Malcolm's sake? What would you think then, Macdonald?"

"I should think that if Sir Alexander was in it, there could be nothing done, and there ought to be nothing said. And Sir Alexander is

in this. So I must go."

The following passage strikes us to be full of quiet truth, and may serve to illustrate the general tone of the publication. From the seenes preceding it, we collect that Lady Grange has succeeded, to some extent, in alienating the son from the mother:—

'From Rollo himself she (Annie) heard less and less of his proceedings and interests. Anxious as she was, she abstained from questioning or reproving him, on the few occasions when he spent an hour with her. She was aware of his high opinion of himself, and of the point he made of managing his own affairs; and she knew that there were those next door who would certainly engross him if any thing passed in his mother's house to make him reluctant to stay there. She therefore mustered all her cheerfulness when he appeared on the threshold; gave, him her confidence, made him as comfortable as she could; and never asked him whence he kell come, or how long he would stay. She had

a strong persuasion that Rollo would discover in time who was his best friend; and was supremely anxious that when that time came, there should be nothing to get over in his return to her-no remembrance of painful scenes—no sting of reproach—no shame but such as he must endure from his own heart. Strong as was her confidence in the final issue, the time did seem long to her yearning spirit, lonely as she was. Many a night she listened to the melancholy song of the throatle from the hill-side, and watched the mild twilight without thinking of sleep, till all was silent; and was still awake when the lark began its merry greeting to the dawn which was streaking the east. Many a day she sat in the sun watching the pathways by which she hoped her son might come to her; and then perhaps she would hear his laugh from behind the high garden wall, and discover that he had been close at hand all day, without having a word to say to her. How many true and impressive things passed through her mind that she thought she would say to him! But they all remained unsaid. When the opportunity came, she saw it to be her duty to serve him by waiting and loving; feeling and trusting that rebuke from God was the only shock which would effectually reach this case, and reserving herself as the consoler of the sinner, when that hour should arrive.'

This line of conduct and feeling is one which all of us would do well to follow in every case of estranged affection, where we have reason to suppose that the estrangement will be but temporary, and leave no lasting blight upon the heart. Reproaches and remonstrances only render matters worse.

It would serve no useful purpose to make further extracts from a popular work published in so cheap a form, and we shall therefore only add, that Miss Martineau has introduced a completely new reading, as regards the conclusion of the Tale. Lady Grange was, in point of fact, confined in several islands—Heskir, St Kilda, Skye, Assint, in succession—and lived many years after the recovery of her liberty. But Miss Martineau has thought it best to kill her off at the precise period best adapted for a dramatic ending. In the beautiful modern ballad entitled The Indian's Return, the exile lives just long enough to see the white cliffs of his native land—

'Feebly he lifts his weary head,
One wistful glance he gives—no more—
"England and Home!" was all he said:
The Exile dies—in sight of shore.'

In Miss Martineau's last chapter, entitled Free at Last, Lady Carse has just left the island in a boat with Duncan Forbes (the president), whose chance arrival had occasioned her release:—

"She looks comfortable," whispered the President to Sir Alexander.
"Can you suggest anything more that we can do?"

April,

"Better let her sleep while she can, my lord. She appears com-

fortable at present."

'Three more hours passed without anything being observable in Lady Carse, but such slight movements now and then as showed that she was not asleep. She then drew the handkerchief from her face, and looked up at Helsa, who exclaimed at the change in the countenance. The President bent over her, and caught her words-

"It is not your fault-but I am dying. But I am sure I should have died on land, and before this. And I have escaped. Tell my

husband so.'

"I will. Shall I raise you?"

"No; take no notice. I cannot bear to be pitied. I will not be

pitied; as this was my own act. But it is hard "

"It is hard: but you have only to pass one other threshold courageously, and then you are free indeed. Man cannot harm you there."

"But to-day, of all seasons"

"It is hard: but you have done with captivity. No more captivity! My dear Lady Carse, what remains! What is it you would have? - You would not wish for vengeance! No; it is pain !- you are in pain. Shall I raise you?"

"No, no; never mind the pain. But I did hope to see my hus-

band again."

"To forgive him. You mean, to forgive him?"

"No: I meant"

"But you mean it now? He had something to pardon in you."

"True. But I cannot Do not ask me."

"Then you hope that God will. I may tell him that you hope that God will forgive him."

" That is not my affair, Kiss my Janet for me."

"I will; and all your children What? Is it growing dark? Yes, it is, to us as well as to you. What is it that she says?" he inquired of Helsa, who had a younger and quicker ear.

" She says the widow is about lighting her lamp. Yes, my lady,

but we are too far off to see it."

" Is she wandering?" asked the President.

"No, Sir; quite sensible, I think. Did you speak, my lady?"

"My love"

"To Annie, my lady? I will not forget."

She spoke no more. Sir Alexander contrived to keep from the knowledge of the hoatmen for some hours that there was a corpse on board.'

Those who wish to learn more about Lady Grange, will find ample particulars in the publications enumerated below.*

Scots Magazine (new series), vol. I. (for 1817); Chambers's Edinburgh Journal for March 7, 1846; Dr Macleay's Historical Memoirs of Rob Roy, &c.; Tales of the Century, &c., by John Sobieski

strikes us that the story has now received as much attention as it deserves, and that too much stress has been laid upon it as illustrative of Scottish manners at the period. Lady Grange was a woman of ungovernable temper, and habitually given to intoxication. She had been guilty of several outrageous acts of violence in public—and was about to proceed to the worst extremities against her husband and his friends. Were a judge's wife to demean herself in this manner in modern times, he would hardly, perhaps, take so decisive a step as shipping her off to the Hebrides; but most assuredly some restraint would be put upon her. The connivance of so many persons of known probity, and the acquiescence of her sons and daughter, sufficiently prove the general impression regarding her, and go far towards showing that her husband erred less in substance than in form.

The case mentioned in a note to Miss Edgeworth's Castle Rackrent, is a far more remarkable one. It happened, moreover, in comparatively modern times. The lady was known to many persons still living, and the incarceration lasted twenty years. The parties were Lady Cathcart and Colonel M'Guire;—the prison was an upper room in an Irish country-house, but not a solitary or deserted house; for the tradition is, that the Colonel frequently entertained his friends, and never failed at dinner to send a message to his wife, the invariable answer to which was, Lady Cathcart's compliments, and she has every thing she wants. It is stated by Mr Edgeworth, that 'when she was first told of 'his death, she imagined that the news was not true, and that it was told only with an intention of deceiving her. At his death 'she had scarcely clothes sufficient to cover her;—she wore a red wig, looked scared, and her understanding seemed stupified;— 'she said she scarcely knew one human creature from another.' Lady Grange died in a state of imbecility, but Lady Cathcart appears to have recovered her understanding, for at an after period she earnestly recommended her young female friends to take warning by her example. 'I have been three times mar-' ried :- the first time for money; the second, for rank; the 'third, for love—and the third was worst of all.'

The remarkable pamphlet, entitled 'A Word to the Public, by 'the author of Lucretia, &c.,' did not reach us till after this

and Charles Edward Stuart, Edinburgh, 1847; Burton's Life of Lord Lovat, just published, pp. 187-192; and especially some original Letters to and from Lord Grange, in the third volume of The Miscellany of the Spalding Club, which has been given to the public (or at least to the members) even since Mr Burton's publication.

article was written, or we might have somewhat moulded our preliminary remarks with reference to it; and having alluded to Lucretia, we think it right to add, that, if the author has been assailed in the manner he mentions, he has been most unjustly assailed; and that, in our opinion, his answer to the assailants is complete. He is one of the last writers we should accuse of endeavouring to undermine public morals or lower public taste, by selecting low subjects or treating them in a low manner. does not appear to us to be the prevailing character of his books townake heroes of criminals; nor should we think the worse of them on that account, if it were. Most assuredly 'it is (as he says) the treatment that ennobles, not the subject. Grant that the characters are what convention calls low—in birth, station, instruction; born in a cellar, dying on the gibbet, they are not one jot, for these reasons, made necessarily low to art. Art can, with Fielding, weave an epic from adventures with gamekeepers and barbers. Art can, with Goethe, convert into poetry the 'most lofty, the homely image of the girl condemned for infanti-'cide; and confine the vast war between spirits and men to the ' floor of her felon cell.'

In short, we give in our almost unqualified adhesion to most of the general principles laid down by him: But this does not deprive us of the right to question their application in each in-A man born in a cellar and dying on a gibbet, is dividual case. not necessarily made low to art: But neither is a man necessarily made high to art by being hanged. To say he is, would be to adopt to its full extent the doctrine of Lelia, in George Sands' novel of that name, when she silences her young admirer, who is at a loss to discover what she can see to admire in Trenmor, by saying, ' Ecoutez, jeune homme, il a subi cinq ans de travaux forcès.' A man of education, who has undergone such an ordeal, undoubtedly presents a tempting subject for the imagination of a woman like Lelia, or for a popular dramatist of the Porte St. Martin school; but, to give legitimate art a fair chance with a real criminal, the story, we think, must be obscurely known—there must be distance as to time or space, or the veil of foreign manners, or a misty vagueness of some sort thrown over it. If Black George had been actually tried for poaching on Squire Western's preserves just before the appearance of Tom Jones - or Margaret for child-murder just before the appearance of Faust, they would have been materially damaged, if not rendered absolutely uscless, for the purposes of art; and we much doubt whether Fielding and Goethe would have meddled with them.

Sir Edward Lytton says, 'All crimes now, if detected, must obtain the notoriety of the Old Bailey, or reap their desert

'in Newgate; and to contend that Newgate and the Old Bailey 'unfit them for the uses of the writer of fiction, is virtually to deprive him of the use of all crimes punished by modern law. and enacted in the modern day; as if there were no warning to be drawn from men that are not ennobled by ermine and purople; as if there were no terror in the condemned cell, no

' tragedy at the foot of the gallows.'

Here, again, the accomplished writer does not distinguish with his usual acuteness. The doctrine for which we contend deprives the writer of fiction of the use, not, by any means of all crimes, but only of all criminals, punished by modern law, &c. The four pleas of the crown are at his disposal; the. whole Newgate Calendar is open to him; but we object to the actual Weare in his gig, or the actual Tawell in his straight-cut coat; and it is no use telling us that poetic as well as strict matter-offact justice has been done to them; for it is not so much the moral tendency as the artistical fitness of such subjects. that 'The past cannot monopolise the sorrows we differ about. and crimes of ages. While we live, we ourselves become a 'past.' But we must wait till we have actually become a past. We do not even say that such works may not be highly satisfactory to posterity, but only that some law of association, which it is impossible to reason down, prevents them from being satis-

factory to us.

Folly and error,' continues Sir Edward, 'vice punished by "Indicule, constitute the main materials of the comic writer, whether he employ them in a drama or a novel. Must we 'not grant to the writer who seeks for the elements of tragedy that exist in his own time, the equal licence to seek for the 'materials to which tragedy must apply?' The answer is, that tragedy and comedy stand on a totally different footing. According to the old proverb, familiarity breeds contempt: But it does not prevent laughter; and associations which do not impair comic effects, may utterly destroy tragedy for the time. Any one conversant with the history of the stage, could relate instance after instance in which an accidental circumstance of the ludicrous character has decided the fate of an entire representation; as when Quin seated in the pit and speaking loud enough for every one to hear, compared Garrick in Othello to the black boy bringing in the tea-things in Hogarth's Marriage-à-la-Mode; or when, in John Philip Kemble's day, the ghost in Hamlet, by some unlucky jerk of the machinery, was suddenly flung upon the stage, in helmet, cuirass-kerseymere breeches and dirty cotton stockings! For ourselves, we own we could never quite get over Werther's top-boots, or Charlotte's cutting

bread and butter for the children; and we do not know a single instance of a modern domestic tragedy, in which the lowering effect of familiarity has been kept down, except by an accumulation of appalling details, decidedly inimical to that mood of mind which it is the peculiar province of high art to inspire and statain. La Dame de Saint Tropez, a drama founded on the Laffarge case, was as successful as such a drama well can be; but is there one critic of taste throughout these realms, who

would wish for a repetition of the experiment?

We should be glad to analyse a few other passages of this pamphlet, but our allotted space is exhausted; and we will only add now, that, in our opinion, Sir Edward Lytton has laid far too much stress on the illiberal attacks made upon him. Dr Johnson was fond of saying that no author was ever written down except by himself; and authors, like Sir Edward Lytton, who are read and admired in every quarter of the globe, have surely nothing to fear from the misrepresentations of critics, and little cause to complain of the tardy justice of contemporaries. Si monumentum requiris, circumspice.

THE English law delights to confound the unlearned reader as much by its language as by its contents. It consists of two divisions: written and unwritten. Unwritten laws comprise all legal customs—all judicial decisions—all treatises of authority. The written comprise everything else.

The written laws of the kingdom, thus understood, represent all the laws which can now be ascertained to have been issued directly from the supreme legislature. They are the statutes, acts, or edicts made by the sovereign, by and with the advice and consent of the lords spiritual and temporal, and commons in Parliament assembled. The oldest of these now extant, and

ART. X.—1. Report of the Commissioners appointed to inquire into the Consolidation of the Statute Law. Printed by command of her Majesty. 1835.

^{2.} Substance of a Speech delivered by the Right Hon. Henry Lord Langdale, Master of the Rolls, in the House of Lords, on the 13th June 1836, on the second reading of the Bill for the better Administration of Justice in the High Court of Chancery. London: 1836.

^{3.} Report from the Select Committee on Public Bills. Printed by the House of Commons. 1836.

printed in our statute books, is the famous Magna Charta, as confirmed in Parliament, 9 Hen. III.; though doubtless there were many acts before that time, the records of which are now lost, and their provisions perhaps at present currently received. as maxims of the common law. This great division of our laws now consists of about thirty-five large quarto volumes, excessive of cart-loads of local and private Acts of Parliament, (in many cases affecting the public,) and which have been passed at every period of our growth and civilization. Some are in Latin, some in French, (neither with any authorised translation;) some were passed on the spur of the moment and in heat of passion, either against a particular class, or to carry out or bolster up some feeble or absurd doctrine of politics, political economy, or religious persecution; some are of the most rambling and tedious length, others are couched in the obscurest brevity; while many, in order that they might be brought into decent conformity with the necessity of the times and the wants of society, the judges of the land have felt themselves obliged to construe in a sense utterly at variance with their expression—because the legislature was either ignorant in the first instance, or sluggish since.

Nobody whose opinion is worth having will deny that this is a just character of the larger part of the body of laws under which we now actually live. The evil of such a mass of contradictory rules was carly felt. The reformation in Religion scarcely had the start of a strong sense of the necessity of a Reformation in the Law. Evidence of this has found its way even into 'King 'Edward's Remains'-'I would wish, when time shall serve, that the superfluous and tedious statutes were brought into one sum together, and made more plain and short, to the intent that men might better understand them; which thing shall 'much help to advance the profit of the commonwealth;' and the effect of these words of the wise young king, or of his advisers, has been repeated in every age and time, by sages of all classes, by Parliament and from the Throne, down to the report of the House of Commons, in 1836, which we have placed at the head of this article. And if, in King Edward's time, the statutes were found so 'superfluous and tedious' as to call for notice from the Throne, what must they be now, increased as they are, at least an hundred-fold? It will be necessary to trace, step by step, the opinions on this subject, to show that there was no time at which the necessity of revision and consolidation was not felt and urged.

Lord Coke represents many of the statutes in his time 'as 'antiquated and unprofitable, which remain as snares to entangle the subject withalk' He purposed 'their revision,

and the repeal of the obsolete, that none may be deceived, and that one plain and perspicuous law should be made, divided 'into articles, so as every subject might know what acts were in 'force, and what repealed in part or in whole, and clearly see how to obey them. This he reckons as a necessary work, and 'wothy of singular commendation; and this his majesty out of his great wisdom and care of the commonwealth, had commanded to be done.' If Lord Coke found so many snares in obsolete statutes, we shall soon see that we are far worse off, and are yet without a fit remedy. It was in one of these very snares that the Dorsetshire labourers, a few years ago, were caught. The justices, finding themselves unable to commit them for poaching, fined them under an old and obsolete act for not going to church! and as they had not a penny to pay the fine, packed them off to jail, there to abide, till they were released by public opinion. Without this piece of antiquarianism on the part of their worships, perhaps we should have had these very snares still in force; But this flagrant injustice led to the report we shall after notice; and to the sweeping away of a mass of absurdity and injustice, consisting of penal statutes relating to the profession of religious opinions, which disgraced and encumbered the statute-book down to 1846.

King James, on his accession to the throne of England, recommended this subject to Parliament. He observed on the obscurities in some parts of our written law, and the want of fulness in others; stating that all were weary of it, and that where there was uncertainty, though a just judge may do rightly, yet an ill judge might take advantage to do wrong; 'wherefore leave not the law to the 'pleasure of the judge, but let your laws be looked into; for I desire not the abolishing of the laws, but only the clearing and 'sweeping the rust off them, and that by Parliament our laws 'might be cleared and made known to all the subjects. frather it were less hurt, that all the approved cases were set down and allowed by Parliament for standing laws in all times to come; for although some of them peradventure may be unjust, as set 'down by corrupt judges, yet better it is to have a certain law with some spots in it, nor live under such an uncertain and ar-'bitrary law.' No legislator could have spoken more wisely: Though perhaps he had sufficient confidence in his own kingcraft, to feel sure that, however clear the laws might be made by this scheme, he should be able, at his will, and when it suited his purpose, to twist them, through corrupt judges, as he pleased. Lord Bacon, one might be sure, did not pass over so great an occasion: And both in his Epistle Dedicatory to Queen Elizabeth prefixed to his Elements of the Law, and in his Proposal for

Amending the Laws of England presented to King James, with his magnificent 'offer to the king of a Digest,' the subject is treated of with perspicacity and earnestness. But before this, in 1557, Sir N. Bacon, Lord Keeper, haddrawn up a short plan 'for 'reducing, ordering, and printing the statutes of the realm:' and the subject during Queen Elizabeth's reign had been frequently taken into consideration - but nothing was effected. During the protectorate, however, the undertaking was resumed with ardour: Bulstrode, first lord commissioner for the custody of the great seal, being at the head of a committee 'to revise all former statutes and ordinances now in force, and consider well 'what are fit to be continued, altered, or repealed; and how the 'same may be reduced into a compendious way and exact method, for the more safe and clearer understanding of the 'people;' but no report exists. In 1651-2, Matthew Hale, afterwards chief justice, Sir A. A. Cooper, afterwards Lord Shaftesbury, and Rushworth, with other persons, were appointed a committee for the same purpose; and, in 1653, a new committee was appointed 'to consider of a new model or body of the law.' But the lawyers, who were in favour of the Stuarts, purposely held their hand; conceiving that nothing would be more likely to reconcile the nation to a change of rulers, and make a Restoration impossible, than the abolition of the old laws! and Bonaparte seems to have been incited especially on this ground to the construction of his Code Napoleon. After the restoration, Finch, afterwards Earl of Nottingham, Sergeant Maynard, Mr Prynne, and others, were appointed a committee for like purposes—but all with no result. No further notice of the subject occurs till the Lords' reports on the promulgation of the statutes, and on temporary laws, in 1796 and 1803. The commissioners on public records in 1806 resolved that Francis Hargrave, Esq., should be requested to consider and report 'as to the best mode of reducing the statute law into a smaller compass and more systematic form, and revising and amending the same, in whole or in part, &c; but no report was made. In 1816 the House of Lords came to two resolutions, declaring the expediency of arranging the enactments of the statute book under distinct heads; and that a person learned in the law, with clerks, &c., should be appointed for this purpose; and in this resolution, with some amendments, the Commons concurred. Nay, even Lord Eldon thought some good might come of this project, as we collect from Mr Twiss's Life; but again nothing was done. All admitted the evil-all agreed as to the mode of cure, but still no remedy was applied. In addition to these royal, parliamentary, and judicial autho-

rities of all times, we might cite a long list of authors of authority, lawyers, and others, who have all urged revision or digest, in some shape or other. Amongst the foremost are the names of Blackstone, Wood, the author of the Institutes, and Daines Barrington. But the most important authority was the late Mr Miller, an English barrister of great learning, who published, in 1822, a work on the present state of the statute and common law of England, in which the evils and inconveniences arising from its present state are clearly and forcibly pointed out. The part relating to the statute law was first published in the Quarterly Review in 1819. We now come down to our own times. no man has this country been more indebted, for the stimulus given to this reform of our laws, than to Lord Brougham. great speech on Law reform, which he made whilst in the House of Commons, led to the commissions on the common law and on the law of real property; and one of his earliest acts, when Chancellor, was to advise the issuing a commission for the revision, and digesting into one statute or code, of the whole criminal law. This great and laborious work is now actually drawing to a close: and, as respects this branch of our laws at least, England will have a clear and precise code of criminal jurisprudence; and the reproach of the uncertainty and confusion of our criminal law will be removed. Nor was the general state of the STATUTE LAW forgotten. For the commissioners were directed, in addition to the framing a digest of the criminal law, to 'inquire and report how fur it might be expedient to consolidate the other branches 'of the existing statute law or any of them;' and it is to the report of these commissioners, made as far back as 1835, upon this branch of their duties, that we are now desirous of calling the attention of our readers.

As Talleyrand used to say that words were given to men for the purpose of concealing their thoughts, so we always consider that blue books are printed purposely that they should not be read. We suspect that we are perhaps the only persons, except the printer and the commissioners, who ever took the trouble to read this report—which is a monument of labour and research. The commissioners to whom this task was referred, were, we think, judiciously selected;—Mr Starkie, for many years chairman of the Manchester Quarter-sessions, and author of an important work on the law of evidence and criminal proceedings, and of another on the law of libel; Mr Bellenden Ker, a conveyancing barrister of long standing, and occasionally employed in the preparation of Acts of Parliament; and Mr Wightman (now a judge) and Mr Amos, both eminent practitioners in

the common-law courts; together with Mr Austin, author of one of the profoundest works on jurisprudence which have been produced in this country. The commissioners reported in favour of 'a complete and systematic consolidation, accompanied with 'an adjustment of the enactments to precedent and judicial 'decision.'

In addition to the different authorities which we have cited on the necessity of a complete digest of the whole statute law, the commissioners naturally rely on the successive recommendations of digests of particular laws, and on their successful execution. The important bills introduced by Sir Robert Peel for the amendment of the Criminal law, the ship Registry act, and the acts relating to Trade, Commerce, and Customs, are so many signal proofs of the necessity of consolidation, and of its great practical advantage. These acts have already swept away from the statute book hundreds of obscure and contradictory enactments; which could only perform the functions of the snares mentioned by Lord Coke. They have afforded the public a comparatively clear and compendious exposition of the existing law; while at the same time they also prove the ease with which the consolidation of the whole might be executed. In fact, care, time, and knowledge are all that is wanting to the completion of this great and important labour. That such a work could be executed so as to be faultless and free from doubt, is hopeless. But it is not this certain evil that should prevent the undertaking the task. The question to be considered is, which is the lesser evil of the two,-to go on under the existing mass of obscure and contradictory enactments, growing larger every session; or to have a clear and expurgated text of the law, although there might arise in some special cases, some difficulty on some particular points? If there were any real doubt on this question, the experience of the advantage derived from the great chapters of the law already consolidated would set it at rest; while it might fairly be expected that a revision of the whole law systematically arranged by competent persons, would lead to a more complete, dexterous, and systematic mode of execution than any hitherto attained, even with the benefit of a partial revision. As regards the execution of the whole task, we have, in another country, with laws similar to our own, examples of its successful accomplishment. Whilst we have exhausted ourselves in invoking the aid of Jove to help us in the performance of our duty, in America they have put shoulder to wheel, and actually performed it; thus relieving themselves from the evil of living under an ill-defined, ill-expressed, and cumbrous statute law. In the State of New York there have been frequent consolidations of the statutes, and with

the best effect. In the evidence of Mr Duer, which we shall presently refer to, we shall see how this was done; and the same

has been effected by the State of Massachusetts.

The commissioners begin with the imperfections of the existing statute law, and then proceed to the remedies. of space alone prevents us from entering into their details respecting the existing law. There are now more than 300 obso-Lete laws, all snares, existing! For, be it understood, that though the term obsolete is used in common parlance, in England there is no such thing as an obsolete statute (it is otherwise in Scotland); but, however absurd, however contrary to the habits, wants, and exigencies of modern times, in however barbarous an age enacted, its provisions are, by law, as fresh and vigorous as on the day of its enactment. The English statute book even now teems with provisions recognising villenage; with special penal enactments against Irishmen and Welshmen, gipsies, and military service; and with the most absurd regulations, concerning particular manufactures and trades, husbandry, &c. As late as 1799, slavery existed according to statute in Scotland; and the statute against witchcraft in Ireland was not repealed till 1821.

The mass of obscure enactments relating to penalties still existing, would make an informer's mouth water. In this ingenious age one almost wonders that some gang of informers have not thought it worth their while to keep an antiquary in pay; if it were only to hunt out unknown provisions, to be worked out before justices, for their benefit. One celebrated absurdity which has been often referred to, (but about the existence of which there was some doubt,) is the 53 Geo. III. c. 146, respecting parish registers. The penalties inflicted by the act are carefully apportioned between the informer, and the poor of the parish: whilst the only penalty imposed is fourteen years' transportation! In the appendix to the report is an awful list of the nova statuta up to the time of Queen Anne, (the only authentic edition of the statutes ending with her reign,) from which it appears that there are 658 statutes repealed, 260 repealed in part, 142 indirectly repealed, or repealed by implication; 234 obsolete, and 780 expired—2074 in the whole. No one wishing to see the law in its true colours, should shun the trouble of reading the whole report, the case of the Dorsetshire labourers, and the motions of Mr Watson in the House of Commons; together with the enumeration of the host of unrepealed statutes relating to religious opinions, inflicting penalties, many of them of præmunire-that is, confinement for life and forfeiture of goods—which existed in full vigour up to the last session. Persons (Protestants) absenting

themselves from their own parish church, (though away from home,) might, after a certain number of convictions, be condemned as *Popish recusants convict*. Nay, Jews might be so punished: and a person of the same persuasion might be called before two magistrates, and compelled to take the oath of allegiance, on the faith of a *Christian*. Children had recently attacked their parents under certain of these laws; and it was only during the very last session that, on the special report of the criminal law commissioners, Lord Lyndhurst brought in a bill for the repeal of these vexatious enactments.

There has been but one more effort made to call attention to this subject; with a view towards supplying a remedy for the past, and ensuring greater perfection for the future. The publication of the Report of the Commissioners on the Digest, led to the appointment, in 1836, of a select committee of the House of Commons, 'to consider the expediency and practicability of adopting ' some plan for the more carefully preparing, drawing, and revising 'public bills:'-Of this Mr Aglionby was the chairman; but it having been appointed late in the session, the evidence alone was reported, accompanied, however, by a strong observation, that it was admitted on all hands, and was fully made out by the evidence which had been adduced, that the present mode of framing bills was liable to many objections—was productive of want of uniformity of design, of unnecessary prolixity and in-'accuracy of language, entailing difficulty of reference to the clauses of acts of parliament, uncertainty with respect to the 'intentions of the legislature—much litigation and expense;' and recommended that, for the more perfect consideration of the important subject, the inquiry should be resumed at an early period of the then next session.

One of the most practical parts of the evidence consists of suggestions concerning the best mode of forming a board, not only for the preparation of the revised statutes, but for securing effectual revision of new laws, and adapting them to the existing law. The peculiar nature of the proceedings in either house of Parliament with regard to bills, both as to their introduction and progress, and the jealousy there would be as to any revision, or pethaps even suggestion, beyond what regards mere technical expression, renders the subject one of considerable difficulty; and shows, according to the views of Mr Ker, the expediency of making any plan adopted merely tentative. The report also contains the striking statement made by Mr Duer, before referred to, a lawyer of considerable eminence, practising in the Superior Courts of New York, and who was himself one of a commission of three deputed by the state

to revise the Statute Law of New York. The task of these commissioners, when compared with our own, was easy. Moreover, the legislature of the state, from being devoted less to political matters than civil legislation, opposed fewer difficulties to the passing of the revised statutes than must be experienced in this country; while such was the feeling of the importance of the subject, that special sessions were held for the sole purpose of attending to this grave matter. We shall lay before our readers Mr Duer's account of the proceedings at New York; this is due to it, from its importance, and especially in consideration of the fact, that from being in a blue book, and connected with no political subject, it has been little known and less attended to.

'The means we adopted were the systematic arrangement of the laws in appropriate chapters, according to their subjects, and the consolidation in each chapter of all statutory provisions embraced by its title;—the giving to the enactments of each chapter, as far as possible, a logical and not an arbitrary order; the repeal of inconsistent and of useless provisions; the substitution of plain and general regulations for such as were complex, various, and partial; the use of general terms carefully defined, instead of an enumeration of particulars; the rejection of unnecessary words; the translating of technical and obsolete phrases, and the use, according to our best judgment, of a precise and accurate, instead of a loose and redundant phraseology. One of the rules to which we generally adhered, and which in practice we found of great importance, was to confine each section (which with you, I think, is termed a clause) to the enactment of a single proposition. In examining the old statutes, we became satisfied that a chief and very frequent source of their obscurity, is the embodying numerous provisions, running into and involved in each other, in one section; in reading which the mind of the reader is in a manner suspended until the close, and then oppressed by the effort to embrace at once the whole meaning. In order to arrive at the meaning of the old sections, we found ourselves compelled to break them up into distinct propositions, and by this analysis were enabled to discover the true meaning of each enactment, and any error or ambiguity in the form of expression. By correcting these, and re-enacting separately each proposition, we have given the readers of the revised statutes the benefit of our analysis, and relieved them from the necessity of making it for themselves. I will only add, that nearly in every chapter we have inserted a title, prescribing the rules of interpretation applicable to the chapter; and that to the body of the revised statutes we have added a general statute, prescribing certain rules of interpretation applicable to the whole work. By the adoption of these rules, we have not only swept away an immense mass of repetitions, but have rendered the use of such repetitions in future laws unnecessary.

The effect of this revision has been striking, and I think very beneficial; all subsequent laws have been framed, as near as possible, upon the model of the revised statutes, by rejecting superfluous words, and making the language clear and precise. Nor is this all; as the revised statutes embrace all laws of a public character, every law of that kind now introduced is either an alteration of the existing statute, or an additional provision, and is generally effected by referring the alteration or amendment thus made to the particular chapter to which it belongs: And, as in framing a new law, the party has the whole of the statute law before him, he has little difficulty in directing in what part of the revised statutes it shall be inserted; and thus in a great measure the necessity of a further revision is superseded, because the alterations made can be inserted in their proper place in a new edition.

'The benefits resulting from our revised statutes are very striking; the laws being rendered simple and intelligible to the class of officers who are called upon to execute them, they have become much better acquainted with their duties, and much fewer questions arise between them than formerly. There is with us a very perceptible decrease in that litigation which arises from the disputed construction of the statutes. I think the benefit that would thus arise would more than compensate the necessary expense to be incurred. I think the statute law now with us is much better understood by the profession, and by the community at large, than it was before the revision. The power and jurisdiction of justices of the peace with us are very extensive, and the laws in relation to their duties have been framed with very particular care; and I think the benefits have corresponded to the care bestowed.'

The remainder of the evidence of Mr Duer is of value to all inclined to consider this important subject, practically and in detail. The cases of America and England, however, though similar, are not the same. Our laws, to be digested and revised, are to be measured by cart-loads. Imagine the advantage to our justices of the peace of having a clear and intelligible code to go by, instead of some eight volumes, of nearly 800 pages each, of Burn's Justice, filled with unintelligible and inconsistent provisions; which are well or ill worked out by the justice's clerk, without (except in some rare cases relating to game) their legal effect being even understood by their worships.

There would appear, however, to be some fatality about Law Reform in England. The same fate attended this last attempt of the House of Commons, which had awaited that of all former suggestions; whether made by kings or text-writers, committees or commissioners. The importance of the subject was fully admitted: But the question has never since been revived—except now and then by a few passing observations in the House of Commons, when members have been specially gravelled in committee by the consideration of some unintelligible, or verbose, or illogically expressed clause: And in these observations Sir Robert Peel has more than once joined.

We have only one more attempt to notice: and that is the report made on the subject by the Law Amendment Society, at yol. LXXXV. NO. CLXXII. 21

a time when some hopes were entertained, as expressed by Lord Brougham, that it was in the contemplation of the late government to take the question into their serious consideration. It is curious to observe how much more, in the way of carrying out the great principles of reform of the law, was seriously contemplated before the Revolution, than has been thought of since. After that grand victory, we seem to have been contented with the triumph. Almost up to the leaden times of Lord Eldon, the whole time of parliament, when in activity, was occupied in party struggles. These last reporters pointedly ask,

What have we done since? When we put this question to the triumphant popular party of the eighteenth century, if we hear no sufficient answer, but only a mere repetition of phrases about the excellence of civil and religious liberty, must we not confess, with Dr Arnold, that the popular party has neither practised nor understood its duty? that they laboured well to clear the ground for their building, but when it was cleared, they built nothing?

We have shown that the revision of the old, and careful preparation of the new law is and always has been, a subject of general interest with all thinking persons, at all times. It is a subject, however, of more than ordinary interest at present. Every newspaper contains accounts of flagrant injustice arising from the obscurity and complexity of our enactments. Every report of the proceedings in our courts of justice shows the embarrassment of these courts in struggling with the exposition of unintelligibly expressed, and frequently conflicting laws, the construction of which is worked out at the grievous cost of the community. Nearly every debate shows with what difficulties the legislature itself has to contend, owing to this single cause: while every session teems with an endless series of bills-to alter and amend unintelligible volumes of previous legislation, often couched in terms at least as obscure as their predecessors. the meantime, not a hand is raised to remedy the evil, which all admit. Why is this? The subject, it is true, is one of great difficulty; would require time so to mature any plan as to give us hope of a good result; and would take much labour to be made perfect: But is not the end worthy of deeds as wells as words? Where is the Justinian of modern times to be found? Why are not the hitherto untiring energies of Lord Brougham applied to the accomplishment of an object, to which he has already devoted so much time and labour? Is he appalled at the prospect of undertaking the carrying through this great task, to the value and importance of which no man can be more alive, and of which no man is more competent to judge? Is Sir Robert Peel content to rest satisfied with the extirpation of

some three or four hundred useless and vague criminal law statutes from our books, and the partial improvement of some branches of our laws relating to crimes? Is the whole time of Parliament, now and for ever, to be devoted to the eternal strife

of parties?

The evil must at last become too great to be borne; and will bring its remedy. But then the pressure, probably, will be so urgent, that, as in other reforms too long delayed, there may be neither the leisure, nor the knowledge, nor the temper necessary to guide us right. The characteristic defect of our present system for the performance of such a task, is the want of due machinery. Some one member of the government should be specially charged with the superintendence of legislation. And we may observe, that though we gladly take the reformed House of Commons in place of its predecessor, yet it is a bad working body as regards the very duties from which a legislature derives its name. Every member is now too busy; nearly all are in some way or another under the influence of some active or interested constituent; hence the numerous, one-sided, and ignorant objections which are made against almost every measure; till the member who brings it forward, is tired out, and abandons in disgust whatever may not be immediately urgent; taking warning by his failure, to undertake nothing for the future but what he is compelled of necessity to introduce. Sir Robert Peel, from his experience of the working of the House of Commons in all great measures of legislation, foresaw this evil: and, when denouncing the Reform Bill, triumphantly asked, will your reformed House of Commons pass a bill for the general registry of deeds? He was too true a prophet. reformed Parliament did, we believe in its very first session, throw out one of the wiscst and most useful measures of law reform that were ever submitted to the consideration of Parliament. A private member, feeling the importance of the subject, may (as was the case with Mr Aglionby) enter on it, and point out the necessity of a revision; a public body, as the Law Amendment Society, may do the same—nay, a royal commission (as was the case with the one whose report we have been discussing) may show in detail all the evils, and suggest the remedies. But where is the Minister who is to decide on its being effectually carried out?-what time has the Lord Chancellor, usually the only law minister in the Cabinet, to devote to such a subject, already overwhelmed with at least four times as much to do as can be done by any one man? The Home Secretary? where is there a single day in the whole year that could possibly be taken from the performance of the daily and hourly duties of his proper office? This evil and its remedy were forcibly pointed out by Lord Langdale in the speech noticed at the head of this Article:—But why has his Lordship, feeling as he did, let more than ten years elapse without a single attempt to carry into effect, a part even of what he so ably showed was requisite to be done? Would not his position as the second Equity Judge of this country, have enabled him by constant recurrence to the subject, long ere this to have removed the evil? We shall give the substance of his opinion in his own words; because the testimony of marked men must be of far more importance than any reasoning of our own.

A constant and vigilant superintendence over the state of the law should be diligently exercised. The mode of its working—the defects which may be observed—the inconveniences which arise—should be duly and regularly noted. The learned judges whose duty it is to administer, but who have no authority to make the law, when they meet with cases to which the existing law is not applicable, should give information to the government; and the changes which may from time to time become necessary, should be carefully considered upon a general system. In the absence of any efficient assistance in this respect from the Chancellor, the government, in both its executive and legislative parts, is in want of a constant and safe guide to useful improvement when there is need of it, and of a constant check to inconsiderate innovation when ignorantly proposed.

His Lordship then proceeds powerfully to show the baneful effect of the leaden rule of Lord Eldon, damming and stopping up all reform, taking his stand on his eyer-repeated Conservative rules of stare decisis—his super antiquas vias—and his neverfailing cry of 'It works well.'

'That plan of resistance,' continues Lord Langdale, 'was for a time eminently successful. But the necessity and the desire of change went on increasing, and at length prevailed. Proposals to change then came on with a rapidity which scarce admitted of control. The Government has from time to time found itself embarrassed by the proposals to change which have been since made, and by its own incapacity to afford them due consideration.

We have accordingly, within a few years past, had, in England alone, commissions to inquire into the state of the Court of Chancery, the Courts of Common Law, the Law of Real Property, the Ecclesiastical Courts, and the Statute and Criminal Law. The expedient was in perfect conformity with the established practice of the constitution, but was never before so extensively resorted to. The commissioners, generally speaking, have applied great knowledge and industry in investigating the subjects submitted to their consideration. They have collected a mass of very valuable information, and made many useful suggestions. But they worked separately; collected their information and made their suggestions separately, and with special regard to their own peculiar objects and circumstances; and their recommendations have

not always been perfectly consistent with one another. If there had been a central power to compare their different reports with each other, and with the whole system of the law; if there had been a Minister able to bestow his own time on the subject, to consult the judges and officers engaged in the administration of the law; and, after receiving their advice, to procure the proper bills to be properly prepared, and to explain to Parliament the foundation and reasons of the proposed changes, the country might, before this time, have derived infinite benefit from the reports of the commissioners. Under the circumstances which have existed, some fruits—nay, considerable fruits—have been derived from their valuable labours: But I would venture to ask the members of the successive governments which have existed during the last ten years, if the difficulty of determining whether the Fecommendations of the commissioners should or should not be adopted, or (that difficulty being overcome) whether the difficulty of preparing, bringing forward, and explaining the necessary bills, has not been in many instances insuperable? and whether this has not arisen solely from want of sufficient knowledge and power in the Government to attend to the subject? And I confidently ask every man who has witnessed with any attention the manner in which acts of Parliament are prepared and brought forward, whether he is not satisfied that very great public inconvenience constantly arises from the want of some constituted and responsible Minister capable of attending to the subject, and of giving the requisite information, and proper assistance in laying the proposal before the Legislature for its consideration, and in framing, and finally settling the details of the law, when the general principle is approved Without such a guide, however, Parliament still proceeds, from year to year, blundering in legislation, accumulating one statute upon another, without system and without order; and the statutes themselves are often framed in such a manner as almost to defy interpretation; daily provoking observations in the courts of justice upon the carelessness and want of skill in the legislature.'

We make no apology for this extract. Who could have better explained the evil—who more effectually pointed out the one thing wanting—the master mind? Are we never to give life and vigour to all these vast materials which have been collected at so great a cost?—or are we to wait till the time has come—and it may be fast coming—when Lord Langdale will be listened to too late; and an irresistible desire of change will carry all before it, sound and unsound alike? We fear that Lord Langdale has been disheartened by a conviction of the hopelessness of engaging public attention on any subject not connected with the conflicts of party, or the urgency of the moment. Whatever may have been the cause, it is deeply to be regretted.

Sir Samuel Romilly has left the true example for lawreformers. Beaten session after session—assailed by every species of abuse from those who feared him—left almost alone by those who professed to admire his views—surrounded by virulent opponents and lukewarm friends,—he was only the more stimulated to pursue a course which he felt and knew to be right and honest. The harvest ripens; though the husbandman who sowed the seed may not live till harvest. We owe it more to Sir Samuel Romilly than to all other persons put together, that we are no longer a scandal over the world for the cruelty of our laws. If he had been contented to have only once or twice expressed his opinion on the subject, the chances are that we should have remained to this hour without any of those measures with which his honoured name will in all time be connected, by whatever disciple they were ultimately passed. We cannot close this paper better, than by referring to the 29th volume of this Journal, for his admirable exposure of the state in which the English law has been left, written and unwritten.

The subject is dull and dry, and can hardly be rendered attractive to the general reader by any art—ornari res ipsa negat; but the most careless perusal, we think, must convince every one of its importance: And this must be our justification for having entered upon it after so long, and what we indeed consider so

blameable a delay.

QUESTIONS of foreign policy, unless when they bear directly upon the honour of the nation, or the commercial interests of the empire, attract but little public attention in this country. Happily the general course of our foreign policy is not subject

ART. XI.—1. Correspondence relating to the Marriages of the Queen and Infanta of Spain. Presented to both Houses of Parliament by command of Her Majesty, 1847.

^{2.} Documens communiqués aux Chambres dans la Session de 1846-1847, par le Ministre des Affaires Etrangeres—Mariages Espagnols.

Speech of the Duc de Broglie in the Chamber of Peers, January 19, 1647.

Speech of M. Guizot in the Chamber of Peers, January 20, 1847.

^{5.} Speech of M. Guizot in the Chamber of Deputies, February 5, 1847.

Considerations respecting the Marriage of the Duke of Montpension with reference to the Treaty of Utrecht. London: 1847.

to the same vicissitudes, as belong to legislation on our domestic affairs. Continental alliances are no longer the rallying-cries of party. The larger interests of England cannot now be sacrificed for the aggrandisement of the German dominions of her sovereign. She moves undisturbed in her own orbit, while she shares in the general motion of the system of civilized nations to which

she belongs.

We think that this indifference to questions of foreign policy an indifference common to the public, to Parliament, and to the ministers, whether belonging to one party or the other-is pushed too far. There is, no doubt, an instinctive wisdom in not making such questions topics of frequent discussion, and party controversy; the result of such discussions and controversies might turn out an administration, but might at the same time involve the country in war, or lead to the hasty conclusion of peace on precarious and disadvantageous terms. On the other hand, however, it is not without danger, if an impression should prevail amongst foreign nations that much may be undertaken by them, without attracting the notice or calling forth the resistance of the Cabinet of Great Britain; or that a vigilant and far-sighted Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs will not be acceptable to his colleagues, or supported by Parliament, when he feels it necessary to use language, and to take preliminary proceedings, which imply a determination to resist encroachment, and to put down intrigue.

It is very probable that this known indifference to foreign affairs was not without its influence on the French government, when it was resolved to hurry on with indecent precipitation the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta of Spain. Indeed we have something like the authority of M. Guizot himself for the supposition; he said of England,* in the debate on the paragraph of the King's speech relating to the Spanish marriages—and the saying, we are informed by one who was present, was received with a burst of hilarity not usual in the well-disciplined and impassive Chamber of Peers—

'The English nation and its Government (for God forbid that I should separate them, and the notion has never for a moment entered into my mind)—the English nation and its Government have, one as well as the other, two great qualities—Justice strikes them, and so does necessity (on rit.) It is a moral country that respects rights: it is a wise country that accepts irrevocable facts.'

M. Guizot has had ample experience since he has been Minister of Foreign Affairs, that where the honour of the British

^{*} Debate in Chambers of Peers, Jan. 20, 1846.

nation has been attacked in the person of a British subject, the demand for satisfaction has been prompt and peremptory—the Indemnité Pritchard is there to attest the fact. He is also aware that where the interests of British commerce are concerned, there is no lack of vigorous interference: But he has good reason for thinking, that with the business-doing people of England the balance of trade claims a more ready attention than the balance of power; and that in weighing France against England, a little change of weight in the scale is not likely to be as much observed in the latter balance, as if it took place in the former.

We must in justice to the daily press of London allow that the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier has not been treated with indifference. It occurred at what is commonly called the dead time of the year; and as it was known that the English minister at Madrid had in vain attempted to delay the marriage, and that much diplomatic controversy was going on, public curiosity was excited—and it became the pleasing duty of the press to satisfy that curiosity, by giving to the world all the information, that the discretion or indiscretion of the official persons who were conducting the controversy, allowed to transpire.

It was soon known that the British Government objected to the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta upon two grounds—the first, as a violation of the letter and spirit of the Treaty of Utrecht; and the second, as a departure from the engagements entered into at the Château d'Eu, between the sovereigns of Great Britain and France, and their respective ministers.

The pamphlet entitled 'Considerations respecting the Mar'riage of the Duke of Montpensier, with reference to the Treaty
'of Utrecht,' contains an elaborate and, we think, conclusive argument in support of the first ground of objection to the marriage, taken by Lord Palmerston in his despatch of the 22d of September to Lord Normanby, as addressed to those who admit the unimpaired validity of the Treaty of Utrecht,

The French Government itself acknowledges the validity of the Treaty of Utrecht, and admits that the international law of Europe, as far as that law stands upon existing treaties, prohibits the union of the crowns of France and Spain on the same head; but denies that the prohibition derived from the Treaty, applies to the marriage of a son of the King of the French with an Infanta of Spain, although that Infanta be the presumptive heiress to the throne of Spain.

By the Revolution of July 1830, the elder branch of the House of Bourbon was deprived of the throne of France, and the Orleans branch substituted in its place; the point at issue, there-

fore, is the applicability of the Treaty of Utrecht to princes of this latter house. Referring our readers to the very able argument to which we have already alluded, we shall content ourselves with calling their attention to the following passage in the Renonciation avec Serment, de Philippe Petit-Fils de France, Duc d'Orléans, a la Couronne d'Espagne, et d toute esperance d'y pouvoir succéder un jour, lui, et ses Enfans, et ses Descendans. Fait au Palais Royal à Paris, le 19 Novembre, 1712:—

Et desirant de notre côté concourir à la glorieuse fin qu'on se propose, de rétablir la tranquillité publique, et prévenir les craintes que pourroient causer les droits de notre naissance, ou tous autres qui nous pourroient appartenir : Nous avons resolu de faire ce désistement, cette abdication, et cette renonciation de tous nos droits, pour nous et au nom de tous nos Successeurs et Descendans. Et pour l'accomplissement de cette résolution, que nous avons prise de nôtre pure, libre, et franche volonté, Nous nous déclarons et nous tenons dès à présent, Nous, nos enfans et descendans, pour exclus et inhabiles, absolument et à jamais, et sans limitation et distinction de personnes, de dégrez, et de sexe, de toute action et de tout droit à la succession et à la couronne d'Espagne. Nous voulons et consentons pour nous et nos descendans, que dès maintenant et pour toujours on nous tienne, nous et les autres, pour exclus, inhabiles et incapables, en quelque dégré que nous nous trouvions, et de quelque manière que la succession puisse arrivér à Nôtre Ligne.'

The only other extract which we will make is from the second Article of the Quadruple Alliance of August in 1718:—

'Pro regulâ statuatur, ne Regna Gallise et Hispanise ullo unquam tempore in unam eandemque personam, nec in unam eandemque Lineam, coalescere univique possent.'

In this last quotation from the Treaty of 1718 is to be found the true spirit of the treaties, by which the recognition of Philip V., and the future succession to the throne of Spain, were accomplished and regulated. The title of Philip V. to the throne, derived from the will of Charles II. of Austria, had been virtually established before the signature of the Treaty of Utrecht, by his own perseverance and the patriotic adherence to him of the Spanish people. Still the acknowledgment of him as King of Spain, by the princes who had engaged in the war of the succession for the purpose of setting aside the will of Charles II. in his favour, rested on the express condition that the crowns of France and Spain should never be united in the same person, nor in the same line. The exclusion of the branch of the House of Bourbon then reigning in France was stringent, and immediate; but, as a security against the dreaded contingency, the Orleans branch was also excluded from the succession to the throne of Spain, and the title derived by it from the common ancestor, Anne of Austria, was again solemnly renounced and extinguished.

Lord Bolingbroke, writing on the subject of the evasion by Louis XIV. of the renunciation by Maria Theresa to the whole Spanish monarchy, and the assertion of his claim to the country of Burgundy, the duchy of Brabant, and other portions of the Low Countries, says:—

The rest of Europe had a short objection to make to the plea of France, which no sophisms, no quirks of law, could evade. Spain accepted the renunciations as a real security. France gave them as such to Spain, and in effect to the rest of Europe.'

This observation applies with equal force to the succession of any descendant of the Orleans line to the throne of Spain, and renders that succession a violation of the Treaty of Utrecht.

All this reasoning proceeds upon the assumption that the Treaty of Utrecht and the Treaty of 1718 are still in force and binding upon the parties to those treaties. It must, however, be observed that two of the parties to those obligations and arrangements—the States-General and the Emperor of Germany—have ceased to exist politically; and Spain has so changed the form of its government, as to place the succession to the monarchy under the control of the Cortes. The author of the Considerations' assumes the irrefragable validity of the Treaty of Utrecht; and he has a perfect right to do so as against the French Government. Upon the first course (that is, a denial of the validity of the treaty,) he says:—

'It will not be necessary to say much, because it is sufficient to ask by what treaty it is supposed that the provisions of the Treaty of Utrecht have been annulled? Their validity, moreover, is not, we believe, contested by the French Government. In the Spanish Cortes, indeed, it was boldly stated by some deputies that the treaty was not, in force; and that statement was not contradicted by the Spanish Government. But this diversity of language between France and Spain does not serve to confirm the soundness of their respective views upon the subject, but rather tends to show that the Spaniards considered the words of the treaty, and of the acts incorporated in it, to be too clear and precise to be explained away, and thought it easier at once to deny its validity.'

The author further says :-

'The Spaniards, moreover, may have been influenced by the consideration that if the Treaty of Utrecht could be set aside, the reciprocal renunciations incorporated in it of the French princes to the crown of Spain, and of the Spanish princes to that of France, would be thereby annulied, and the Spanish princes would thus acquire a claim to the crown of France superior to that of the House of Orleans. It is needless to observe that such a result would not suit the views of the French Court or Government; and hence they have been reduced to the necessity of admitting the validity, and trying to alter its natural signification.'

We have a very great respect for the author of the 'Considerations,' and do ample justice to the ability with which he has treated the subject; but we think that his veneration for the Treaty of Utrecht, and the successful use he has made of it against the French Government, have led him to suppose that it has had a direct influence upon others, in anticipating results, with which it is wholly unconnected, and to which it has become

entirely inapplicable.

The Revolution in France of 1830, and the existing constitutional charter, settled the crown of France on the Orleans branch of the house of Bourbon to the exclusion of the clder branch; and we believe that the author stands alone in his supposition, that the eventual claims, of the Spanish princes to the French throne, have had any effect in determining certain members of the Cortes, or the Spanish ministers, to question the validity of the Treaty of Utrecht. The French Government has been, as the author says, reduced to the necessity of admitting the validity of the Treaty of Utrecht; but not for the rather fanciful reason assigned by him, but because the King of the French having, in the first instance, disclaimed any right to marry a French prince to the Queen of Spain, and thereby to render the union of the crowns of France and Spain on the same head obviously possible, it had a respectable appearance, on his part, so far to admit the validity of the Treaty of Utrecht. Even this, however, as will appear hereafter, was meant to be a conditional admission.

The British Government did not, as was at first asserted, and very generally believed, protest, through the English minister at Madrid, against the marriage of the Infanta Luisa, the sister of the Queen of Spain, and heiress-presumptive to the Spanish crown, with the Duc de Montpensier, as a violation of the Treaty of Utrecht, but 'against such an alliance as calculated to 'exercise the most injurious influence upon the future relations of the British and Spanish crowns,'* This was a legitimate ground of protest; and might have had its intended effect, if the note to M. Isturitz had been presented, before the marriage had

been determined upon.

The communications from the Spanish minister on the marriage of the Infanta, as given in the Parliamentary Papers, are replies from M. Isturitz to Mr Bulwer in answer to the two notes presented by the latter—the one on the 22d of September 1846, and the other on the 5th of October following. The first was a formal protest; but the latter † is a declaration of the

incapacity, disability, and exclusion, in regard to the succession to the throne of Spain, which would attach to any issue or descendants of the marriage of the Infanta with the Duc de Montpensier, if, in utter disregard of the remonstrance and protest of Great Britain, that marriage should be persisted in; and thus, if at any future time any dispute should in consequence arise as to the succession to the throne of Spain, and if Great Britain should in such case deem it proper to take part in such dispute, in support of the principles which have been set forth in such note, it will not be in the power of any of the parties concerned, to allege that the British Government did not give timely warning of its sentiments and views.'

This vigorous declaration is founded upon the Treaty of Utrecht, and upon the renunciation of the Duke of Orleans of November 29, 1712, incorporated in the treaty. We cannot but think that the practical view taken by the Spanish minister in his replies to the protest, as well as to the declaration on the subject of the marriage, does not deserve the contemptuous indifference with which the author of the 'Considerations' is disposed to treat it; and if the pretensions of the French Government, recently set up and persisted in, did not constitute the very essence of the controversy, we should say that, as between Great Britain and Spain, the Treaty of Utrecht is an instrument, valuable from its declared purpose and historical associations, but not so important as regards the actual relations of the two countries. Those relations can only be injuriously affected, as regards England, by Spain becoming dependent upon any other European power; and England can have no interest in preferring one royal family to another, except so far as the independence of Spain itself may thereby be weakened or disturbed. If there were no descendants of Philip V. in existence, the Princes of the House of Savoy would not be less acceptable than the Spanish Bourbons are, to England; nor is it to be supposed that, in the present day, any act of the Spanish people, changing the family or person of the sovereign, if promulgated by the Cortes, in contradiction of the Treaty of Utrecht, would be repudiated by the Government of England. It has become the principle of our foreign policy to accept the Governments de facto, or de jure populari, of other countries; and the ready acknowledgment of the Government and dynasty established in France by the Revolution of July 1830, is a striking application of that principle.

Let us, however, not be mistaken. We do not mean altogether to put aside the argument, derived from the Treaty of Utrecht, against the possible consequences of the marriage of the Infanta, the heiress-presumptive to the throne of Spain, with the Duc de Montpensier: on the contrary, we think it sound as against the

House of Orleans; but we prefer to rest the opposition on the part of the English Government to the marriage, upon a more obvious and practical ground—the declared pretensions and policy of the French Government in its relations with Spain; and here we must admit, that the French Government has been most audaciously frank in the avowal of those pretensions. Audentes fortuna juvat.

The mission of M. Pageot to the courts of England, Vienna, and Berlin, was the first formal proceeding, taken by the French Government, in making known its determination to refuse its concurrence to the marriage of the Queen, with any prince that was not a member of the House of Bourbon. The limitation was at this stage confined to the Queen herself. Lord Aberdeen, in his despatch to Sir Robert Gordon, announcing the mission of M. Pageot, says*—

'The great remedy which the King of the French proposes for all the evils of Spain, both present and future, is an agreement respecting the marriage of the Queen. He declares that he entirely renounces all pretensions for any son of his own, but that France will never submit to see the Queen married to any prince but a member of the House of Bourbon. He is indifferent respecting what individual may be selected, or from what branch of the family; but, according to the expression of M. Pageot, he would place his veto upon the choice of a prince of any other house.'

This was certainly very plain speaking on the part of his Majesty the King of the French; and was not exactly the language that might have been expected from a sovereign of his known prudence. The communication made by M. Pageot was an ultimatum that precluded modification, and could not therefore become the subject of negotiation. The impression made upon Lord Aberdeen, by this extravagant and extraordinary declaration, is forcibly given in his letter to Sir Robert Gordon, dated 26th April 1842:— †

This sacrifice of a prince of his own family by the King of the French, has led to the unreasonable attempt to force upon the choice of the Queen another member of the House of Bourbon, to the absolute and peremptory exclusion of all competition. M. Pageot has even ventured to insinuate that the peace of Europe may depend upon such a settlement. Now it is possible that the family of Bourbon may offer to the Spanish nation the most eligible stock from which to select the husband of their Queen. Upon this subject we desire to express no

^{*} See Correspondence, p. 2.

[†] Ibid. p. l.

opinion, but to leave it to the unfettered judgment of the government and people of Spain. One thing is certain: whether such a marriage be desirable or not, the manner in which it is propounded is calculated to excite feelings of indignation and resistance in the breast of every Spaniard who values the dignity and independence of his country.'

The manner of propounding was not changed: * on the contrary, the matrimonial limitation was further extended to the sister of the Queen, the heiress presumptive to the throne of

Spain.

M. Guizot concurred in the exposition of the policy of France towards Spain, given by the Duc de Broglie, in the Chamber of Peers on the 20th of January; and we will quote some passages from the duke's speech, that our readers may fully appreciate that policy, and decide for themselves whether any systematic concert in the question of the Spanish marriages could be relied upon between parties who set out upon such different principles as the Governments of Great Britain and France did:—

'If (that which became possible by the accession of a female to the throne of Spain) the crown passed from the House of Bourbon into another house, there was an end, in all probability, to those relations of natural alliance, of habitual intimacy, and reciprocal confidence, that had subsisted between Spain and France for nearly two hundred years, and which, notwithstanding some very short interruptions, had survived so many trials and such various fortunes; for thus there was an end of all securities for us on our southern frontiers, and thereby, it must be admitted, our independence, our freedom of action on our eastern and northern frontiers would be most seriously compromised.

Such is geographically the position of Spain, that to be estimated abroad as she ought to be, to play in the affairs of Europe the part that belongs to her—to be any thing, she must be the natural friend, the habitual ally, of France, as she has been under the princes of the House of Bourbon, or she must be the natural friend and ally of all the enemies, of all the rivals of France, as under the last three kings of the House

of Austria. This is written in history and on the map.

"The first object of any one in Europe who might be on bad terms with France—of any one who might be merely jealous of the prosperity and greatness of France—would be to create embarrassments and difficulties on the other side of the Pyrenees, thus to divide our attention and our strength, and in some measure to attach a cannon-ball to our foot; and if we reflect upon the subject, it is not merely our personal interest that is concerned, there is something more. I do not think that it is possible to bring about a greater alteration—a more considerable disturbance in the equilibrium of Europe—than thus to annul the influence of France, and thus immeasurably to increase the preponderance

^{*} Speech of the Duke de Broglie.—Debate in the Chamber of Peers, Jan. 20.

of those who, like Russia and England, are unassailable at home, and

inaccessible on any part of their frontiers.

'In order to avoid this result and to guard against this danger, having once acknowledged the rights of Queen Isabella, we had but one line of conduct, which was, when the time arrived, to obtain from Queen Isabella, and her advisers, natural and official, of course by no other means but those of argument and persuasion, that this princess should choose a husband from amongst the descendants of Philip V., or at all events from amongst the princes descending from the House of Bourbon.'

The policy of Louis XIV. in accepting the will of Charles II. of Austria, and that of Napoleon in placing by force of arms his brother Joseph on the throne of Spain, continues, according to the Duc de Broglie, to be the only one that the safety of France will permit her to pursue. That policy consists in an habitual and never-ceasing control over the relations of Spain with the great powers of Europe. That it is so felt by the King of the French personally, appears from the mission of M. Pageot; the official language of the French minister is to the same effect; and the speech of the Duc de Broglie most fully developes and establishes Concert, therefore, between the Governments of Great Britain and France on the Spanish marriage, as the general principle of diplomatic action at the court of Madrid, was, after the communications made in the name of the King of the French by M. Pageot, altogether out of the question; they might have accidentally concurred, as they did, in the selection of a Spanish prince for the husband of the Queen, but the motives must always have been different. On the part of Great Britain the motive was to assist in doing that which was best for Spain, while the motive on the part of the French Government was to perpetuate relations that insured the subserviency of Spain to France.

It is the undoubted interest, and ought, therefore, to be the policy of Great Britain, unremittingly to resist the pretensions of the French Government in Spain, whatever be the means or form by which it may be sought to give practical effect to those pretensions; and we cannot but think that the British Government ought to have withdrawn the selection of husbands for the Queen of Spain and her sister from the category of those questions, on which the two Governments were prepared to act together. We conceive that this course might have been taken without disturbing the general good, understanding which so happily subsisted. The communications made by M. Pageot, as we have said above, evidently left no doubt as to the difference of principle. The King of the French put his veto upon any prince not a descendant from Philip V., or a member of the House of Bourbon; the British Government did not pretend to

exercise any such pretension. The 'sacrifice,' or rather the desistance, of the King of the French respecting a prince of his own family, was taken, we presume, as a matter of course, not as a concession, by the British Government; and certainly it furnished no reason for guiding our proceedings at the court of Madrid, by any consideration for the opinions or views of the French cabinet.

The justifiable and salutary distrust that would have been shown by the withholding of any pledge to act in concert with France at Madrid, need not have precluded the British Government from recommending at any subsequent stage of the negotiation, out of regard for Spanish interests and feelings, a Spanish prince as the fittest husband for the Queen; for if there were any objection to such a choice, it could only be found in the pretensions of the French Government to exclude all but Bourbon princes from the range of candidates.

We believe that if the British Government, after the receipt of the communications made by M. Pageot, had been satisfied with an energetic and persevering protest against the pretensions of the King of the French, no greater misunderstanding between the two Governments would have arisen, than has since taken place, and it is by no means improbable that at this moment the Duc de Montpensier would not have been the husband of the Infanta.

However anxious the King of the French might have been for maintaining the policy of Louis XIV., and for the aggrandiscment of his own family, he could not have been indifferent to the declared opinion of the English Government, that the restriction imposed on the free choice of Queen Isabella was an attack upon the independence of Spain, and would therefore meet with all the opposition which the counsels and influence of Great Britain at Madrid could present to it; and he might reasonably have apprehended such a resistance on the part of the Spanish nation, under the encouragement of the British Government, as would have defeated the scheme altogether.

His Majesty was throughout sure of the co-operation of the Queen-mother, who was bound to him by every tie of gratitude and self-interest, and who had returned to Madrid more French than Spanish; but Queen Christina had her own position to maintain as well as to execute the schemes of the French court; and her personal enmitties ultimately made her hesitate in the completion of the latter part of her task.

Events and the correspondence furnish ample proof that the inclination of Christina would have led her to have preferred Count Trapani as the husband of the Queen, to the exclusion of

the two Spanish princes, and it is equally clear that the hand of the Infanta Luisa was throughout destined both by King Louis-Philippe and by Christina to the Duc de Montpensier. It was, however, necessary to win the first trick with the Queen, and the cards were played accordingly. If the Queen-mother were solely to have regulated the affair of the marriages, the Conde de Montmolin and Don Enrique, who had connected himself with a party in Spain personally and politically hostile to her Majesty, were out of the question; and even Don Francisco, now the husband of the Queen, was only tolerated on the failure of the Trapani arrangement. Prince Leopold of Coburg was brought into the field for no other purpose, but that of diverting the British Government from pressing the selection of Don Enrique as the fittest husband, as he undoubtedly was in many respects, for the

young Queen. The British Government throughout had no candidate of its own, and in fact had no interest in the marriage of Queen Isabella and the Infanta, except so far as the national tranquillity and the political independence of Spain might be affected by the choice of the husbands: But it had to deal with parties who were differently situated, and who acted under very different influences. King of the French had national and family interests to guard and to advance; and Queen Christina had the security of her own position to consult, and personal enmittes to satisfy. At Madrid the counsel of the British Government was entitled to the respect and, we might almost say, acceptance of all parties to whom the independence of their country was dear; while no recommendation of the King of the French could have been entitled to the same confidence, for it could not be free from the taint of national and family pretensions and predilections. This brief exposition of the feeling by which the several parties were moved, will, as we think, enable our readers to follow with greater facility the narrative of the progress and termination of the negotiations on this rather complicated question. We shall make great use of M. Guizot's speech in the Chamber of Peers for the historical part of these transactions; it is remarkable for clearness; and, as we dispute his conclusions, we prefer to use his own statement in our attempt to disprove them.*

During the year 1842, and till the month of July 1843, M. Guizot says that the political conduct of the British Government was full of reserve, and he attributes the approach to confidence and concert, to the check which the influence of Great Britain had

Speech of M. Guizot in the Chamber of Peers, January 20, 1846.
 VOL. LXXXV. NO. CLXXII.

received by the fall of the Regent Espartero, and to the rumour which had then acquired currency and belief in Spain, that the Spanish Government was desirous of marrying the Queen of Spain to the Duc d'Aumale. M. Guizot read, on the occasion referred to, a despatch from Lord Aberdeen to Lord Cowley (not given in the correspondence laid before parliament), which suggests that instructions 'should be given to the respective diplomatic agents at Madrid, directing them to act in constant and friendly union to forward the benevolent views of their respective Governments.' In this despatch there was no allusion to the marriage of the Queen of Spain. This omission was remarked, and rectified with much decision and studied frankness, in that addressed by M. Guizot to Count Chahot. 'In order,' says M. Guizot, 'that the concert may be efficacious, it is important that ' the questions to which it is applicable should be specified: the ' gravest, without doubt, is the marriage of Queen Isabella.' After the usual and formal expression of respect for the independence of the Spanish Queen and her Government, M. Guizot repeats the cardinal point upon which the policy of the French turned in the marriage-question, that the descendants of Philip V. should continue to occupy the throne of Spain. 'The concert had been 'thus proposed and accepted when the first visit of the Queen of ' England to Eu took place;' and there, M. Guizot asserts, it was agreed between Lord Aberdeen and him that every candidate, excepting a descendant from Philip V., should be discouraged by the exertion of influence only, but that any such candidate 'should be so.' Lord Aberdeen on this occasion refused to accept, or to promulgate the principle upon which the French Government acted in imposing a special limitation of choice.

In the despatch which M. Guizot wrote to Comte Elahault, dated the 21st of September, 1843, we find the first mention of the Prince of Coburg: 'No exclusion has been formally pro-'nounced; we do not formally exclude the Cohourgs; England ' does not formally exclude the sons of the King. But it is understood that we shall not adopt the one or the other; that, on the 6 contrary, we shall exert ourselves to prevent the proposal of either the one or the other by Spain; and that, if one of the two propo-'sitions were made, the other would immediately resume its liberty of action. I have said that the appearance of the Prince of Cobourg would be the renunciation of the Duc d'Aumale.' Guizot in the beginning of this despatch informs Comte Flahault that Lord Aberdeen accepts the descendants of Philip V. as 'the only candidates suitable to the throne of Queen Isabella.' This goes rather beyond the opinion expressed by Lord Aberdeen in his despatch to Lord Cowley of the 15th of December 1843 (Correspondence, No. 3). In that despatch Lord Aberdeen, after putting aside the admission of the fundamental principle of the French Government, but at the same time expressing a disposition to concur in the proposition of that Government respecting the Queen's marriage, goes on to say:—

'Such an arrangement would seem to correspond with the nationa feelings in favour of a kindred race in the Spanish branch of the House of Bourbon, and would be calculated equally to secure the future independence of Spain, and to protect the general interests of Europe.'

M. Guizot declares that the French Government cordially accepted the selection of a Neapolitan Prince, which appears to have originated with Lord Aberdeen. Such a combination could not fail to be most agreeable to the King of the French, even more so than that of one of the Spanish Princes. The Comte d'Aquila was first mentioned, and subsequently the Comte de Trapani was brought to Spain, and presented as a candidate. The obstacles to the marriage of the Comte de Trapani to the Queen, purely Spanish in their origin and character, were found to be insurmountable.

However, while the Trapani marriage was still supposed to be possible, we are informed by M. Guizot that an overture was made to the French Government for a simultaneous marriage of the Comte de Trapani with the Queen of Spain, and of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta. M. Guizot thus writes to the Comte Bresson, the French minister at Madrid, on this overture, and he takes great credit for the fidelity to engagements by which even such a confidential communication is marked. This letter to Comte Bresson is dated Nov. 26, 1844:—

One word to-day respecting the Duc de Montpensier. When Queen Isabella shall have been married and has a child, he will be very happy to marry the Infanta Donna Fernanda; he considers this a good and suitable marriage for him, but neither the King nor he adopts any crooked policy. Do not take this, however, as a definitive decision or a diplomatic engagement. I tell you the disposition just as it is. It is very friendly towards Spain, and frank towards everybody.

It is impossible not to be struck with the provident frankness of this language. According to M. Guizot, the rumour of this plan, and the colour that was given to it, gave some uneasiness to the British Cabinet, and it was in this state of things that the second visit to Eu took place. In the month of September 1845, the subject of the marriage was fully discussed between Lord Aberdeen and M. Guizot. The latter openly declared, that although the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta would be most satisfactory to the King of the French, yet lere was no disposition to effect it by indirect means, or at the

risk of compromising the concert of the two Governments in their general policy; that until the Queen of Spain was married until the succession was secured in her line—the marriage of the Infanta had, in the opinion of the French, the same political bearing as that of the Queen; all that was required was, that the conduct of the British Cabinet should be reciprocal. Aberdeen, as might naturally be expected, admitted the frankness of this proceeding; and, on his part, declared that, supposing the Queen of Spain married, and having children, the British Cabinet could offer no 'strong, reasonable, admissible, and presentable objection to the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta.'* The next sentence in M. Guizot's speech qualifies this assent of Lord Aberdeen, by adding the expression on the part of Lord Aberdeen, that the Queen once married to a descendent of Philip V., and the succession in her line secured by the birth of children, 'the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier would no longer meet with the same difficulties; from this we have a right to infer, that some of those objections, subsequently brought forward by Lord Palmerston, had been at least alluded to; and that the concurrence of the British Government in the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier was entirely dependent upon two events: the previous marriage of the Queen, and her having "enfans' - the plural number, and thereby effectually securing the succession in her line.

M. Guizot, continuing his narrative, says, that while the marriage of the Comte de Trapani was meeting with various obstacles in Spain, the name of the Prince of Coburg was frequently mentioned, and caused some uneasiness to the French Government; which was speedily allayed by the assurances of Lord Aberdeen, that there was no cause for apprehension in that

quarter.

In the month of November 1845, a proposal was made to the French Government by the Spanish Ministry, to conclude immediately the marriage of the Queen with the Comte de Trapani, provided the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta took place at the same time; this proposal was, from respect for the engagements contracted at Eu, refused, and the Spanish ministers were informed that the King's Government could not treat definitively for the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta until 'this marriage had lost all its political character.' So far the conduct of the French Government was in strict accordance with the engagement entered into at Eu;

and it becomes, therefore, very difficult to account for the next step taken by M. Guizot—we mean the despatch to Comte Bresson, of the 10th of December 1845. In this letter are the following paragraphs, which we do not hesitate to translate at length, inasmuch as they contain an exposition of the line of conduct pursued from this date, until the simultaneous conclusion of the two marriages by the French Government:—

'The more I reflect, the more I am convinced there is in Spain, and about Spain, an active and incessant effort to bring about the marriage of the Prince of Cobourg either with the Queen or with the Infanta. The English Government does not positively exert itself for this marriage, but it uses no efficacious endeavour to prevent it. It does not say to any combination that might bring a Cobourg to the throne, positively no, as we do in regard to a French prince.

'On the other hand, Queen Christina and the Spanish Government wish to make use of the fear that we have of a Cobourg marriage, to make sure of the Montpensier marriage; at the same time securing to themselves the possibility of a Cobourg marriage in case the Montpensier

should fail.

'We cannot in all this play the part of dupes. We will continue honestly to follow out our policy; that is to say, to set aside any combination that might rekindle conflict in regard to Spain between France and England; but if we perceive that, on the other side, the party is not as clear and decided as we are—if, for example, through the inextness of the English Government, or through the proceedings of its friends in Spain, or round Spain, a marriage for the Queen or for the Infanta should be contemplated which endangered our principle; and if this combination had any chance of success, at the very instant we will put ourselves forward without reserve, and we will simply and loudly demand a preference for the Duc de Montpensier.'*

This is declared to be the line of conduct that Comte Bresson is to follow; and he is authorised to carry it out, should the necessity arise, to its fullest extent. Mons. Guizot says of his despatch of the 26th of November 1844, that it contains, as it were, the domestic language of the French Government; and we have, in examining this matter, a right to suppose that the despatch of December 10th, 1845, is of the same sincere and confidential character.

This despatch was written when Lord Aberdeen held the seals of the Foreign Department, not long after the explanations and engagements that were entered into at Eu, and when there was no reason whatsoever for the apprehension of any proceeding on the part of the British Government that could thwart or

^{*} Documens Communiqués aux Chambres, p. 45, Annexe G.

retard either the marriage of the Queen with a descendant of Philip V., or the conditional marriage of the Infanta with the Duc de Montpensier. No impartial person can read the passages that we have quoted, without allowing that in the month of December—but two months after the reciprocal cordialities at Eu—there had arisen in M. Guizot's mind great distrust of the English Government, and a most decided dread of the efforts of

its friends in Spain and about Spain.

In the month of February 1846, General Narvaez quitted the Spanish ministry; and this circumstance appears to have given alarm to the French Government as to the fate of its policy in Spain. M. de Jarnac was summoned to Paris, and took back with him the celebrated memorandum of the 27th of February, which was presented for perusal to Lord Aberdeen on the 4th of March 1846. If our limits permitted, we would insert the whole memorandum, which is conceived and expressed in a spirit not far removed from menace, insinuates distrust, and announces a determination, under not improbable contingencies, to act according to the separate interests of France, and without reference to engagements previously entered into. Let us take the following passage as an illustration:—

The English Cabinet has not afforded us for the Trapani combination any active and efficacious support. It has maintained a cold neutrality, and its inertness has allowed a free course to all the enmities, all the intrigues, whether of Spaniards or of subordinate English agents, who would have been restrained by its decided and active cooperation.'

He adds, that a very active intrigue is going on to marry the Prince of Coburg either with Queen Isabella or with her sister the Infanta; and that, if this state of things continued-that is, if the marriage of either of these princesses with any other than a descendant of Philip V. became probable and imminent, the French Government would consider itself released from its engagements, 'et pour parer le coup,' immediately ask the hand either of the Queen or of the Infanta for the Duc de Montpensier. Was such language to be expected from M. Guizot to Lord Aberdeen, in whom he professed entire confidence, and whom he had not the slightest reason to suspect of any intention to depart from the engagements contracted at Eu, and, therefore, from consenting to the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta, whenever that marriage, from the birth of children, should be, for the present, divested of a political character? The papers laid before Parliament do not state whether Lord Aberdeen took any notice of this memorandum, beyond such private and confidential conversation as may have taken place with the

French ambassador, when it was read to him. We, however, are convinced that the progress of events at Madrid, and the urgency of Queen Christina, had persuaded the French Government, that the engagements contracted at Eu were incompatible with the success of its principal object throughout, namely, the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta, and that the two marriages must take place together, or not at all.

Another overture, according to M. Guizot, was made at this time by the Spanish Government through the Marquis of Miraflores to the French ministry for the marriage of the Infanta with the Duc de Montpensier, even before the settlement of the Queen's marriage. This overture was dealt with in the same manner as that for the simultaneous marriages, and this course was taken in maintenance of the engagements* that had been contracted at Eu.

The Marquis de Miraflores, in the Spanish senate, has, on the 1st of February, denied that he, when President of the Council after the fall of Narvacz, ever made any overture whatsoever on the subject of the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier; and has asserted that he told Comte Bresson that he saw no reason why the marriages should take place at the same time. This denial amounts to a positive contradiction of M. Guizot's statement; and we may be allowed to take it as a diminution of the value of the refusal of the French Government to accept the overture alleged to have been made by the Spanish ministers.

Such was the condition of affairs, when the attention of M. Guizot was, as he says, simultaneously called by M. Bresson and by Lord Aberdeen to the active intrigues and exertions that were carrying on at Madrid in favour of the 'combinaison' Cobourg.' Lord Aberdeen's 'avis loyal' stated that a proposal had been made by the Spanish Government to the Duke of Coburg for the marriage of Prince Loopold with the Queen of Spain. Great was the surprise of the French Government, and M. Guizot lost no time in expressing it; and Lord Aberdeen wrote to the British minister at Madrid, blaming him for having taken any part in the design. M. Guizot here admits the honourable frankness with which Lord Aberdeen acted. M. Guizot thus describes the three lines of policy that were then in existence:—

'The Spanish policy, which required either a French or a Cohourg combination, and acting just then very actively in favour of the latter combination; the French policy, which rejected the union of the Duc de Montpensier with the Queen, and his immediate marriage with the Infanta; and lastly, the English policy, which, while it did not pursue a line of conduct as decided as ours, nevertheless accepted the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier, provided it were not simultaneous with that of the Queen.'

And here we must interrupt the narrative, to point out this incorrect statement of the condition upon which the English Government proposed to consent to the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta. Lord Aberdeen had indeed shown the strongest disposition not to make the marriage of the Queen of Spain, an exception to the general concert in action of the two Governments. He had agreed not to oppose the marriage proposed for the Infanta, upon a very intelligible, and, to a certain degree, efficacious condition, that it should not take place until the Queen of Spain should have children. Time, therefore, was not the most important condition in the consent that was given; the essential point was the birth of children. And M. Guizot's own narrative of the earlier communications between Lord Aberdeen and himself, proves that it was so considered by both parties; for the possibility of the conclusion of the two marriages, at the same time, had never become even the subject of discussion. Indeed, we are disposed to think that the expression 'simultanée' was here used inadvertently by M. Guizot: but the critical examination of his narrative would not allow us to pass it by without notice.

M. Guizot terminates the narrative part of his speech at this point, omitting the last act (recorded in the correspondence) of Lord Aberdeen respecting the marriage of the Queen, with which, however, he was unacquainted—we mean the note of the Earl of Aberdeen to the Duke of Sotomayor, in reply to that minis-

ter's inquiry,

— Whether it would call forth the displeasure of the British Government if the Cabinet of Madrid should find it necessary, in the interest of the Spanish Monarch, to select some prince as the future husband of the Queen other than a member of the family of Bourbon; and also whether, if France should resent this proceeding, and attempt to coerce the free action of the Spanish Government, it would be regarded with indifference by Great Britain?

Lord Aberdeen had no difficulty in answering these inquiries. He says—

'We have always denied, and still deny, the right or pretension of the French to impose a member of any family upon the Spanish nation

Correspondence laid before Parliament.

as the husband of the Queen, or to contest in any manner the decision of a question so purely Spanish.'

Lord Aberdeen proceeds to admit the possible expediency of selecting a husband for the Queen from among the descendants of Philip V., and adds that, with this view, no objection had been offered to the Comte de Trapani; and that, when that arrangement failed, the British, though without any English candidate or English preference, had ventured to point out the Infante Don Enrique 'as the prince who appeared to us to be 'the most eligible, because the most likely to prove acceptable to 'the people of Spain.'

In the event, however, of its being found that no descendant of Philip V. could safely be chosen, consistently with the happiness of the Queen, and a due regard to the tranquillity of the country, the Spanish government was free to exercise their independent judgment; 'and in this case it could be no cause of displeasure to Great Britain if they were to elect a prince from some other family.' Lord Aberdeen concludes by assuring the Duke of Sotomayor that—

'If, contrary to all reason and probability, any such project, that is to say, an attempt to contest the wishes and feelings of the Queen, and the clearly understood will of the Spanish nation should be contemplated, there can be no doubt that Spain would not only receive the warmest sympathy of Great Britain, but of all Europe.'

A copy of this note was sent to Mr Bulwer, the British minister at Madrid, for his information and guidance.

Lord Aberdeen, when he wrote this note to the Duke of Sotomayor, must have had in his recollection the contents of the memorandum of the 27th of February 1846, and he must therefore have known that, according to the sentiments of the British Government, as expressed in his note, a state of affairs at Madrid might arise, if it did not already exist, which would, in the language of the memorandum, place the French Government under the empire of an absolute necessity to prevent their policy in 'Spain from receiving a check, either in regard to the marriage of the Queen or that of the Infanta of Spain,'- which would happen if the marriage of the Queen or the Infanta with the 'Prince of Coburg, or with any other prince, not being a de-'scendant of Philip V., became probable and imminent;' for in ach a case the French Government would feel itself released from all engagements, and free to 'act immediately pour parer le 'coup,' by asking the hand either of the Queen or of the Infanta for the Duc de Montpensier. It is worthy of remark, that the French minister puts the possible marriage of the Queen and

that of the Infanta with the Prince of Coburg as events in the same category, while the observations of the British Government are confined to that of the Queen. This difference may be easily traced to the difference in the motives by which the two cabinets were actuated. With the first, the question of the marriages was really a Spanish one; with the latter, it was French, and personal. Taking Lord Aberdeen's note to the Duke of Sotomayor as the last expression of the opinions and views of the cabinet to which he' belonged, we think there can be no difficulty in showing, that no such change of conduct took place on Lord Palmerston's coming into office, as M. Guizot asserts, and by which he justifies the non-fulfilment of the arrangement determined upon at Eu.

Lord Aberdeen contemplated, in his note to the Duke of Sotomayor, the possible selection of a prince not among the descendants of Philip V. as the husband of the Queen; he knew that the Prince of Coburg had been mentioned, and even proposed, to fill that station; and he must therefore have included him among the possible objects of choice. Lord Aberdeen declared that under certain circumstances, this rejection of a descent from Philip V. would be no cause of displeasure to Great Britain; but, in saying this to the Spanish minister, Lord Aberdeen was quite aware that, should such a contingency arise, there was an end of all concert between the governments of France and Eng-Lord Aberdeen, however, had reason to expect that, as regarded the marriage of the Queen, the concert was not likely to be interrupted; for either of the Spanish princes would, he had a right to assume, receive support, or at least acceptance, from the two cabinets. Lord Aberdeen had no specific English candidate; but between the two sons of Don Francisco he did not hesitate to express his preference of Don Enrique.

- M. Guizot in this speech most eloquently explains the reasons that led him to place entire confidence in the identity of opinion between Lord Aberdeen and himself, on all the great questions of European policy, and points out how subordinate in the minds of here incidental points of periods are incidental points.

of both, incidental points of national rivalry were to-

the instinct of a great and common mission of civilization, the desire to prevent, by the concert of the two powers, all revolutionary commotions, and to effect that object through the regular development of civilization and equal liberty.'

We are not aware that any English statesman, belong to what party he may, would be found unwilling to admit the speculative truth of these general principles; but M. Guizot had more practical reasons for confidence in Lord Aberdeen, than their being charged with this joint philanthropic mission. In all the

communications that had taken place between them on the subject of the Spanish marriages, Lord Aberdeen had shown the strongest disposition to treat the extravagant pretensions set up by the French Government, to limit the choice of the Queen, and indeed of her sister, to princes of the House of Bourbon, with as much moderation as the denial of the justness of these pretensions would permit; we presume that Lord Aberdeen saw that the marriage of the Queen with a Spanish prince descended from Philip V. was a good arrangement as regarded Spain, and that, under certain conditions, the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta might become free from political objection. At the same time, M. Guizot had no ground for supposing, that Lord Aberdeen would not hold him to the engagements or understanding entered into at Eu, or consent to his making the marriages simultaneous events.

'No one can be surprised that M. Guizot should have felt great anxiety to ascertain, whether Lord Palmerston would take the same view of the question of the marriages, that his predecessor had. He could, however, have had little doubt that, under the very peculiar circumstances of the transaction, the arrangement

mutually agreed upon at Eu would be maintained.

The communication made by the Comte de Jarnac on the 20th of July appears to have been confined to the marriage of the Queen, and to have proposed the joint action of the Governments of France and England in favour of the two sons of Don Francisco de Paula. M. Guizot in his speech gives no account of the language held by Lord Palmerston in reply to the Comte de Jarnac, and would leave it to be inferred that the whole result of the interview was the communication of the despatch of Lord Palmerston to Mr Bulwer of the 19th of July. therefore have recourse to Lord Palmerston's despatch to Lord Normanby of the 22d of September, to supply the omission. Comte Jarnac at once observed that the name of the Prince Leopold of Saxe Coburg was put forward, while that of the Comte de Trapani was excluded; and that this was inconsistent with what had passed between the French government and the late British ministry, between whom it had been agreed that the Duc de Montpensier should be withdrawn as a candidate for the hand of the Queen, provided that the Prince of Coburg were also withdrawn, and that some descendant of Philip V. should be recommended as consort for the Queen. Lord Palmerston informed Comte Jarnac that he found no trace in the Foreign Office of any such agreement; and, after a short exposition of the general view taken of the subject of the marriage, told the Comte that-

Prince Leopold of Saxe Coburg was not a candidate put forward

or supported by the British; and that, on the contrary, the British Government thought, for many very weighty reasons, that a Spanish prince would be a fitter husband for the Queen, and that among Spanish princes Don Enrique seemed to be the best suited to be her consort.'

Lord Palmerston further said-

'It was impossible Mr Bulwer should suppose that the mere circumstance of the Prince of Coburg being mentioned by me as one of the candidates for the Queen's hand, was to be construed by him as an intimation that the British Government intended to support or recommend that prince.'

In the beginning of this despatch, Mr Bulwer had been told that Lord Palmerston referred him to, and adopted, the instructions which had been given by her Majesty's late government; and Lord Palmerston assured Comte Jarnac that his 'private 'communications to Mr Bulwer would prevent the possibility of

'any such mistake.'

We might reasonably suppose that these remarks and explanations of Lord Palmerston would have been communicated by the Comte Jarnac, and, from their importance, in the very words used, to M. Guizot; and we must confess that we are much surprised to find, from the letter of M. Jarnac to M. Guizot, read by the latter in the Chamber of Deputies, that the explanation, a most satisfactory one, of the accidental precedence given to the name of Prince Leopold of Saxe Coburg is omitted, while the original objection made by Comte Jarnac is stated very fully. We will take the liberty of assuming the accuracy of the account given by Lord Palmerston of the interview, and of saying that M. Guizot had no right to take the order in which the name of the Coburg prince was mentioned in the enumeration of candidates for the hand of the Queen, in the despatch of the 19th of July, to Mr Bulwer, as a proof of preference, when that very preference had been so strongly disclaimed in the verbal communication to Comte Jarnac, and when another candidate had been actually recommended as more eligible. Our readers cannot fail to perceive that the language of Lord Palmerston in this interview is identical with that of Lord Aberdeen, in his note to the Duke of Sotomayor, as regards the Queen's marriage; but Lord Palmerston was not called upon to advert to the possible conduct of the British Government, in the contingency alluded to by the Spanish minister, in his note to Lord Aberdeen.

We shall again use the narrative of these transactions as given by M. Guizot* himself in his speech in the Chamber of Deputies

^{*} Speech of M. Guizot in Chamber of Deputies, February 5, 1847.

on the 5th February. He was then defending himself, and did not, naturally, omit any circumstance that could justify his con-M. Guizot lays some stress on the fact that the despatch of the 19th of July had not been communicated before it was sent; and still greater stress on the fact, that his despatch of 20th of July, to Comte Jarnac, remained five weeks without reply. Surely the full exposition of the views of Lord Palmerston, given in the interview of the 21st, was a sufficient answer to that communication. In the subsequent conversations between Lord Palmerston and Comte Jarnac, the former continued to give a preference to Don Enrique over Don Francisco, while the latter had his doubts arising from the difference in the behaviour of the two princes towards the Queen; but at last he assured Lord Palmerston that if Don Enrique, under the advice of the British Government, took an attitude, and held language suitable and becoming his situation, the French Government would not act in a contrary sense. Lord Palmerston stated the necessity of taking the sense of the British Cabinet on this particular point, before a decision could be come to. The Comte Jarnac pressed the urgency of an immediate determination.

M. Guizot asked the Chamber whether these conversations could be considered as satisfactory, respecting the candidateship of the Prince of Coburg. Now, we really think that they were perfectly so. The point at issue, or rather in doubt, between Lord Palmerston and Comte Jarnac, was, which of the two Spanish princes, Don Francisco or Don Enrique, was to be preferred; and the Comte Jarnac represented the French Government, as it had always professed to be, indifferent to the choice, provided it were made with the full and free consent of the Queen of Spain and her Ministers. Lord Palmerston's despatch to Lord Normanby, of the 22d of August, is in strict conformity to the language held by Comte Jarnac, and assumed the probability of instructions being given to the French and English Ministers at Madrid to unite in recommending Don Enrique to the Spanish Government as husband for Queen Isabella. The view taken of the state of the question by M. Guizot was very different, for he immediately wrote to the French Minister to recall to his recollection (a very unnecessary reminder) the instructions of the 10th of December 1845, and desired him, the case arising, to act upon them; and yet on the 23d of August, in an interview with Lord Normanby, the British Ambassador at Paris, M. Guizot discussed the marriage of the Queen of Spain with Don Enrique, which was pressed by Lord Palmerston, as an event within the range of possibility, and did not allude to the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta. It must, however, be said, in M. Guizot's justification, that Lord Normanby does not appear to have put any questions to him on this latter point, and, therefore, to use M. Guizot's own expression, he may not have considered himself bound to be quite unreserved with an 'adversary.'

M. Guizot asserts that M. Bresson strictly fulfilled the duties imposed on the one hand by the known and publicly proclaimed policy of his Government, and, on the other hand, by the engagements contracted at Eu. Those engagements, as regarded the Duc de Montpensier's marriage, M. Guizot here again limits to the condition, that it was not to take place simultaneously with that of the Queen.

Mr Bulwer, in his despatch of the 14th of August, entirely confirms M. Guizot's statement, that the marriage of Don Enrique with the Queen was positively rejected by the Spanish Ministry, and it necessarily followed that Don Francisco was the only Spanish prince remaining a candidate. M. Guizot thus states the difference, at this stage of the affair—that is, in the early part of the month of August, between the policy of France and England; the former would only accept a descendant of Philip V. as the husband of Queen Isabella, while England would not offer any objection to Prince Leopold of SaxeCoburg. M. Guizot further says, that the Queen of Spain, and her Ministry, were resolved to strengthen themselves, internally and externally, by what might be called either a French or an English alliance. The question thus placed rested for decision entirely with the Spanish Government; and, according to M. Guizot, the whole policy of France in Spain was in the greatest possible danger. At this critical moment, on the 9th of August, the Spanish Government proposed the marriage of the Duke of Cadiz to the Queen, provided the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier were concluded at the same time. This was, indeed, a tempting offer, and M. Guizot, with admirable candour, says. that the French Ministers would have failed in their duty 'towards France, and been wanting in the relations of France 'towards Spain, if the proposition had been refused by them.' Something, however, was required to quiet the ghost of the engagements contracted at Eu, for the living body was destroyed by the consent to the simultaneous marriage. The Comte Bresson, therefore, pressed for, and finally succeeded in obtaining, the introduction of the important words, autant que faire 'se pourra,' into the preliminary engagement concluded between M. Isturitz and himself for the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta Maria Louisa Fernanda. The engagement provided that the marriages of the Queen and the Infanta 'shall be determined and fixed in such a manner as to associate 'them "autant que pourra." Poor ghost of the Château d'Eu! Why, this engagement to associate the marriages, was the very reverse of the engagements of Eu. The principle of the engagements at Eu was dissociation of the marriage of the Queen from that of the Infanta, and that of the engagement at Madrid was association 'autant que pourra.' As we write these lines we have a feeling of entire satisfaction that such a pretence of respect for engagements, already abandoned, was not the suggestion, or act, of a British Minister.

We will here recapitulate the dates of these transactions:—On the 9th of August, M. Guizot received a proposal from the Spanish Government that the marriage of the Queen of Spain with the Duke of Cadiz (the Infante Don Francisco), should take place simultaneously with that of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta. This proposal, he himself declares, was immediately accepted. On the 28th of August, M. Guizot assured Lord Normanby that 'he would write to Mons. Bresson to-'morrow, to the effect, that, if the Queen of Spain should be 'inclined to make choice of Don Enrique, such a choice would 'he perfectly satisfactory to the Court of France.' On the 29th of August, Mr Bulwer writes from Madrid that the marriage of the Queen of Spain with the Infante Don Francisco had been finally settled, and that on the evening of the 28th of August the Comte Bresson had formally demanded the hand of the Infanta for the Duc de Montpensier, stating that he had powers to enter upon and conclude that affair; and the terms of the marriage were then definitively settled between M. Isturitz and him. the 1st of September, M. Guizot informed Lord Normanby that the marriage of the Queen with the Duke of Cadiz was settled, and that her Majesty was, at the same time, to give her sanction to the marriage of her sister with the Duc de Montpensier. He gave the Ambassador to understand, that the marriages would not take place at the same time. On the 11th of October, Mr Bulwer reported to Lord Palmerston, 'that the double marriage of her Catholic Majesty with the Infante Don Francisco de 6 Cadiz, and her Royal Highness the Infanta Donna Louisa with the Duc de Montpensier, took place last night, at half-past ten 'o'clock, at the palace.' It is not necessary for us to point out the only inference that can be drawn from this bare recapitulation of dates, and we content ourselves with remarking, that M. Guizot cannot hope to enjoy the triumph of diplomatic finesse,

and lay claim, at the same time, to the humbler merit of plain

dealing.

In our opinion, the French Government had not the slightest justification, if any regard were to be had to positive engagements contracted with the British Government, for accepting the offer, let it have been voluntary or suggested, of the Spanish Government. Queen Isabella was about to be united to the Duke of Cadiz, a Spanish prince, and a descendant of Philip V.; the English Government had ceased to press Don Enrique, and the Prince Leopold of Saxe Coburg was entirely out of the ques-The great object announced in the mission of M. Pageot was achieved, and the fulfilment of the arrangement made at Eu, respecting the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta, seemed a natural and inevitable consequence; and so perhaps it might have been, if the personal interest and feelings of Queen Christina had not required that the marriages of her daughters should be simultaneous. So firm was the purpose of the Queen mother on this point, that the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier with the Infanta would probably have been sacri-Queen Christina was resolved to exclude ficed, to effecting it. Don Enrique from marriage with either of her daughters, and she could only secure this exclusion by marrying her daughters at the same time; and the Infanta to some one else, rather than to Don Enrique. We have reason to believe that, had the marriage of the Infanta been delayed, the influence of the Duke of Cadiz would have been exerted to obtain the hand of the Infanta for his brother, and with some chance of success. Such a 'com-' bination' would have been intolerable to Queen Christina, and would have been very embarrassing to the King of the French. who, whatever may be his determination to preserve the throne of Spain to the descendants of Philip V., is too patriotic a Frenchman, and too good and provident a father, to have abandoned the national and family advantages, which have, we think, and we rejoice to think so, been erroneously reckoned upon from the marriage of the Infanta with the Duc de Montpensier. A 'douce 'violence' was, therefore, exercised towards the King of the French and his Ministers, by the offer from the Spanish Government to conclude the marriages at the same time, or not at all; and really the stake played for was, in their estimation, so valuable, that, feeling for the usual infirmity of human nature, we are not so much surprised at their conduct. Machiavel would have admired the skill with which the game of the Montpensier marriages was played by the French Government; but from the different spirit of the age in which he lived and wrote, he would

have thought the attempt to reconcile the mode in which it was won, with the expectations of another party, however well

founded, quite unnecessary.

Our limits will not allow us to pursue any further the examination of the correspondence laid before the Legislatures of England and France. It was impossible, from the very nature of the transactions and the points at issue, that personality should have been altogether excluded from that correspondence: misunderstandings and departure from engagements were the topics of controversy, and mutual contradictions as to occurrences, and language, were inevitable. This is much to be regretted; and as we feel we could not do justice to a more detailed examination of the correspondence without aggravating the evil, we here leave the parliamentary papers. Our object has been to put our readers in possession of the facts, by a knowledge of which an impartial judgment may be arrived at; and we have made use, in doing this, of the narrative as given by M. Guizot himself: he has told his own story, and furnished, we think, his own refutation.

It has not surprised us to find, that in the French Chambers the approbation of the Montpensier marriage has been almost unanimous. M. Guizot says emphatically—"It is the first great affair that we have accomplished alone, quite alone, in Europe, 'since 1830.' English patriotism has much cold haughtiness belonging to it, while that of the French is mixed up with vanity; and the historical retrospections of modern Frenchmen are directed with almost as much satisfaction to the ambitious policy of Louis XIV. as to that of Napoleon—in fact, the territorial aggrandisements of the Grand Monarque remain, while those of the Emperor have been torn from France by the disasters of war, and the hope of regaining them has become visionary.

Even the able and habitually cautious monarch who reigns in France is not free from the hallucinations of this national vanity; and he has obviously been led by it to pursue the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier to the Infanta with as much determination as Louis XLVe did the placing his grandson on the throne of Spain. Louis XIV. knew that war must be the inevitable consequence of accepting the will of Charles II. of Austria, and he gallantly encountered it. His Majesty Louis-Philippe has relied upon the ready acceptance by the English Government and nation of a 'fait irrévocable' for only a short interruption to the 'entente cordiale' which it had been his pride to have established between the sovereigns and ministers of the two countries. His Majesty and his councillors have, however, greatly overrated the V OL. LXXXV. NO. CLXXII.

advantages likely to flow from the marriage of the Duc de Montpensier; and if they have anticipated a permanent increase of French influence in Spain, we firmly believe that the very opposite effect will be produced, and all that is actually passing in Spain, confirms our belief. The speeches in the French Chambers, and the publication of the official correspondence, have made the pretensions of France to control the external relations of Spain, if not to interfere with its internal condition, known. These pretensions have been ostentatiously proclaimed—they have been accepted as sound principles of policy by the leading statesmen of France; and no thinking Spaniard can refuse to ask himself the question-Is intimate alliance with France, and submission to her counsel, or rather to her dictation, in all foreign affairs, the necessary condition of the existence of Spain as a nation? Who can doubt the answer of those Spaniards, and the sons of those Spaniards, who defied Napoleon in the height of his power, and who rejected his brother?—will not the answer to the King of the French be, 'You have won our Infanta with her 'dowry, but we will keep our independence.' 'There are Py-' renecs, and there are Spanish hearts and arms to guard them.' The marriage of the Infanta with a French prince, although more or less objectionable in principle, might have passed without much notice or serious opposition, if no pretensions to control the succession to the throne of Spain had been put forward by the French Government; but, as explained and maintained, it has very properly called forth remonstrances from the cabinet of Great Britain, and most undoubtedly ought not to be treated with indifference by the other cabinets of Europe.

The personal character, and the prudence of the present monarch of France, may be considered as sufficient securities that no war, having territorial aggrandisement for its object, will be undertaken while he is at the head of the government of that country; but no one is credulous enough to suppose, that the ambition for conquest is entirely extinct in the French na-The Duc de Broglie, and all French statesmen who have given opinions on the subject, have said that France must be at ease on her Spanish frontier, before she engages with any prospect of success in war on the Rhine, or beyond the Alps. The Duc de Broglie emphatically says—' If Spain is not with 'us, the will be against us'-there must be a pacte de famille. or there is no security. War between neighbouring European nations, even in the present state of railroads and civilization, is a possible contingency; and the circumstances that might give rise to it, and under which military operations would be

conducted, are not to be neglected. Great Britain would, in a contest with France, rather have to deal with France alone, than with France in alliance with Spain. Prussia, in the same manner, would consider some anxiety on the Spanish frontier, a useful diversion to the application of the undivided military strength of France on the Rhine; and Austria might feel that an alliance with Spain would not be valueless, in the event of the ambition of a future French monarch being directed towards Italy. The independence of Spain cannot, therefore, be altogether indifferent to continental statesmen who attach any value to the balance of power—or, in other words, to such a distribution of force and influence among the powers of the first order, as shall discourage the prosecution of schemes of separate aggrandisement by any one of them. M. Guizot flatters himself, and endeavours to persuade the French Chamber, that the English Cabinet stands alone in its objections to the pretensions of the French Government, and to the marriage of a French prince with the presumptive heiress to the throne of Spain: he takes their silence for assent. We feel confident that he is mistaken: the peculiar relations, in which the great continental Powers stand to Queen Isabella, precluded them from taking any part, or expressing any opinion, respecting the marriage of a sovereign with whom they held no diplomatic intercourse; but the ambitious character of the French policy in Spain cannot have escaped their observation, and must have shaken their confidence in the caution and moderation of the King of the French and his minister.

It remains for us to consider the effect which the unfortunate difference on the subject of the marriage of the Infanta of Spain with the Duc de Montpensier, may have upon the general policy of the two Governments in their relations with each other. Will that effect be temporary or permanent? As far as an uncomfortable state of diplomatic intercourse is an evil, we are assured that the effect must be temporary. It cannot be the wish or the interest of either cabinet to cherish the bitterness that has been engendered by a discussion which has ceased to have an immediate object, and which does not force either to adopt positive measures; on the contrary, it must be the anxious desire of the Ministers in both countries to resume those habits of courteous intercourse that previously existed between them; they must be equally solicitous to pursue a course of joint action in some important questions, still pending, in which their views are similar, and which are wholly unconnected with the late misunderstanding; but we cannot flatter ourselves that the same absolute

confidence will be entirely restored. British statesmen must have learnt, that the traditional policy of the old French Monarchy and of the Empire survives the change of dynasty, and must be prepared for certain contingencies in which the prosecution of separate French interests will prevail, even under the reigning monarch, over the avowed policy of maintaining a good understanding on all great European questions with the Government of Great Britain. So far the effect of the late disagreement will be permanent: it will produce caution-but caution need not pass into suspicion or jealousy. The 'entente 'cordiale' had become a byeword in both countries; and most undoubtedly the ostentatious profession of it had, on some occasions, proved a cause of embarrassment and weakness to the ministry in France. It was difficult for them to be just, lest they should be suspected of subserviency; and we do not exaggerate when we say, that the marriage of the Queen of Spain with the Prince Leopold of Saxe Coburg, in itself quite unobjectionable if contracted with the consent of the Spanish nation, would have been fatal to the Soult-Guizot cabinet. Violent storms often clear the air; and we hope that the statesmen of both countries will, now they are removed from the debilitating atmosphere of a hothouse friendship, take their course with less intimacy, but with more decision and plain dealing. In England, as there is no dread of the power, so there is no jealousy of the prosperity, of France. War between the two nations is an eventuality that does not enter into the speculations of any party in this country; and with some knowledge of public feeling in France, we venture to assert, that there are no men likely to form a government in that country, who would not view a rupture with England as a great European calamity, and a serious difficulty to themselves.

ART. XIII.—A Plea for Ragged Schools; or, Prevention better than Cure. By the Rev. THOMAS GUTHRIE. Edinburgh: 1847.

DERE & a pamphlet to be had for sixpence! and which may be read in half an hour. But, if the reader be worth his salt, the first cost will be but the beginning. Before it has done with him, and he with it, it will have cost him something more.

1847.

Mr Guthrie is known over Scotland as a great pulpit orator, full of pictures and of passion; with commanding gestures, and a dramatic action, of which English congregations have no experience. You feel at once that in him it is nature and not art: or, if it must be called art, it is the honest art of rising, in manner as well as mind, to the height and ardour of his inspiring themes. He drops down gracefully from the most soaring flight to the most familiar illustration, and strikes home by a strong and stirring diction, which, like other electric forces, clears the way before it, penetrates and consumes.

Franklin tells us, that very prudent persons, when they went to hear Whitfield preach for a charity, did not trust themselves with their purses. They were seen, however, occasionally borrowing money of their neighbours. The stony heart was stormed; and the wall that had fenced it round, fell down at the preacher's call. We know the space which separates written and spoken words Yet we have some hope that Mr Guthric may be heard through the press on this occasion, without the difference being perceived. The subject of his appeal lies of itself near the conscience and the heart of every body. them to come unto you! Of such should be the kingdom of We scarcely think we could have been more deeply affected by it, had we been a hearer of his Sermon on the Mount—(one of an assembled multitude—wave urging wave) than when we read it alone in our silent room. For, so this tract came to us-a few pages of common letter-press: But they woke us up, as a trumpet heard at night. And even now, on returning to them, our heart again beats faster; for the solemn warning again approaches us—' Inasmuch as ye did it not unto 'the least of these, ye did it not unto me.'

Society has, at present, in too many countries, the look of Lazarus at the gate of Dives. There is the rich man faring sumptuously every day; while the dogs are licking the poor man's sores. Yet the hand of private charity is not shortened. Dryden's image, that man was made

With open hands, and with extended space Of arms, to satisfy a wide embrace,'

was never so near being verified as now. If we but look at what is taking place at home every year, the heart of the nation is growing softer and softer, and even seems in danger of losing its strength in its softness. Capital punishments will speedily become impossible. Already, nobody dares propose to reduce the comforts of the worst criminal in a public jail as low

as what many a hard-working man would be thankful to make sure of in his honest cottage. Political economy can hardly show its face, for what is called its hard-heartedness. getting a fair hearing, that is already past praying for. To confess a belief in some of its most certain truths, demands almost as much courage in England at present, as was required of a physician to exercise his calling during the plague at Milan. What makes this impatient sensitiveneness more unreasonable, is the fact of its having come on us at a time when political economy is actually much more occupied with the prevention of poverty, than with the formation and distribution of wealth. keep off famine from the land, and mitigate the pressure of destitution, is the characteristic object of its most obnoxious province. The author of the Essay on Population was born, by his gentle nature, the poor man's friend. We knew him well; and it was as impossible to know him and not to love him, as it is for a reader of ordinary intelligence to peruse his writings, and not perceive that the poor have much more of a personal interest in them than the rich.

At no period of our history can it have been of more importance than at present, that the course and objects of public charity should be wisely chosen. Strength of purpose and good intentions are not enough. Woe, treble woe, to those who let the evils of society accumulate till the mass of misery becomespast enduring! Yet, outraged humanity must not rush upon extremes. Legislators on Factory Bills and Poor Laws-in other words, on the most complicated and vital parts of our social system,—must bring with them to their perilous interposition, the hearing car and the understanding heart. The more we exalt the claims of humanity and of conscience (and they cannot possibly be exalted higher than they deserve), the more necessary it becomes that we should duly comprehend the wants and the intelligence of our age. From neglecting this duty, too great predominance is often given to particular subjects, while others, quite as important, are slurred over altogether. We are creatures of habit. in the use we make of even our best feelings. Slavery, for instance, has been a second nature to all mankind, in nearly all John Newton was, while captain of a slaver, as much at his ease, as when directing the conscience of Cowper, and of the most religious section of the religious world. But a time comes; and the eyes of men are opened. All depends on the course which is taken at such a moment; whether we rush from one extreme to another (which human nature is so prone to) orbuckle to the far more difficult task of a patient, judicious, and

painstaking superintendence. That so much humanity and so much misery as exist at present, should be dwelling together within the same community—perhaps in the same street—is a terrible thought, and a terrible contrast. Unless it is strictly looked into and adjusted, it must end in being a terrible contradiction. A part of the contrast might surely be removed by well-directed efforts, public and private; by enlarging the views of some; by stirring up the feelings of others; through judicious help extended to many forms of wretchedness; and by a flowing sympathy for all. One of these efforts is making by Mr Guthrie in the Appeal before us. The best of us must thank him for it. He compels us at least to stop and make our election. We may lift up the little ones, who, at their very entrance on life's journey, have been left behind, naked and hungry, by the way; or we may pass on upon the other side! The responsibility is now brought home to us. It behoves us to be mindful how we choose.

Some people are of opinion that the sentence pronounced upon mankind from the beginning, assumes, as the world gets older, a more awful and unmanageable character: and that societies, which have advanced the furthest in civilisation, suffer from it the most. This, to say the least of it, is very doubtful. Though appearances may be against the present age, we suspect that the reality is in its favour: and that the general impression to the contrary may be accounted for, rather by the increase of our knowledge and of our compassion, than by an increase in our viciousness and distress. Former times did not live in the glass case we do; and they were made of harder stuff. They did not know; and they did not care. Another circumstance also is of consequence in this question. The form in which the battle of life is waged by its Proletaires—by the last residue of the lowest poor-will be always changing: And it is plainly a law of nature, that we make light of evils which we only hear or read of, compared with those which we see and feel. The state of society, and with it the condition of the poor, alters from century to century; it may also widely differ between conterminous countries at the same period. For example, were we to look no further than to a change in the proportions between the town population and the country population of a kingdom, what diversities might yet follow from this single cause !

Great towns have great advantages; but they also bring with them their own specific evils.* They conceal and they

^{*} Our official criminal tables show conclusively, by the centesimal-

corrupt; they are the natural home of the thief and prostitute, of the juvenile offender and the deserted child. England has been raised to the place she holds among the nations, not by her broad acres, but by her thousand factories and her miles of crowded streets. On the other hand, she owes to these a painful pre-eminence, and a shameful one; that of being ahead of the nations of the earth—if not in the rapid growth of her criminal population—certainly in the meancholy succession of

apprentices to crime, among children of tender years.

This is the state of things, in which our actual generation has grown up. It has gained upon us without being heeded. Our want of heed before is good reason, why we need not despair now: But, it is a reason, which tells us, precisely in the same proportion, that we have not an hour to lose in recovering our ground, and in making exemplary amends. Hitherto there has not been the pretence of an attempt to exercise the slightest preventive check over its causes—moral or otherwise. With no asylum to receive them, no schoolmaster to instruct them, no policeman entitled to restrain them; what right have we to wonder that, in the common meaning of the word nurseries, our great cities have been found to be the nurseries of criminals? And, by what miserable distinctions can we any longer justify our horror at the barbarous practice of exposing infants, or denounce the Rajpoot and the Chinese, should we persist in exposing children-very little older and quite as helpless-to mortality in its more prolonged and painful forms, of want, and degradation, and disease? If we cannot bear to enter the alleys of

proportions of the ages of offenders, that crime uniformly begins-earlier in the manufacturing counties than in the agricultural: while it also subsides there sooner—important facts, if we will but use them. An a comparison of two districts, 'the proportion of offenders under fifteen years of age was seventeen per cent greater in the manufacturing than in the agricultural counties: but, under the age of twenty-five, the proportion is reversed, and is nearly five per cent greater in the agricultural than in the manufacturing counties.'—Statistics of Crime, in 'Knight's Companion to the British Almanac. 1846.' The cry, which is now haunting us goes up first and loudest from the towns. According to Mr Hamilton in his 'Practical Remarks on Popular Education,' the persons among whom crime is generated, form about one-fifth of the population in large towns; about one-sixth in those of middle size; and about one-seventh in the smaller towns. If squires and rectors are in earnest and desire to turn the balance still more in favour of their rustic youth, they will study the working of a 'Village School in Hampshire,' by Mr Dawes.

London and the wynds of Edinburgh in our own persons, Mr Guthrie has penetrated them for us. We can follow him page by page, as vividly as step by step. We can plead ignorance and thoughtlessness no longer. What then can be our other pleas? There is no passing by, and no forgetting pictures like the following:—

On one side of this square, in two-thirds of the shops (for we have counted them) spirits are sold. The sheep are near the slaughterhouse—the victims are in the neighbourhood of the altars. The mouth of almost every close is filled with loungers, worse than Neapolitan lazzaroni-bloated and brutal figures, ragged and wretched old men, bold and fierce looking women, and many a half-clad mother, shivering in cold winter, her naked feet on the frozen pavement, a skeleton infant in her arms. On a summer day, when in the blessed sunshine and warm air, misery itself will sing: dashing in and out of these closes, careering over the open ground, engaged in their rude games, arrayed in flying drapery, here a leg out and there an arm, are crowds of children: their thin faces tell how ill they are fed; their fearful oaths tell how ill they are reared; and yet the merry laugh, and hearty shout, and screams of delight, as some unfortunate urchin, at leap-frog, measures his length upon the ground, also tell that God made childhood to be happy, and that, in the buoyancy of youth, even misery will forget itself!

We get hold of one of these boys Poor fellow! it is a bitter day; he has neither shoes nor stockings; his naked feet are red, swollen, cracked, ulcerated with the cold; a thin, thread-worn jacket, with its gaping rents, is all that protects his breast; beneath his shaggy bush of hair he shows a face sharp with want, yet sharp also with intelligence beyond his years. That poor little fellow has learned to be already self-supporting. He has studied the arts—he is a master of imposture, lying, begging, stealing; and, small blame to him, but much to those who have neglected him—he had otherwise pined and perished. • • •

Such children cannot pay for education, nor avail themselves of a gratis one, even though offered. That little fellow must beg and steal, or he starves. With a number like himself, he goes as regularly to that work of a morning as the merchant to his shop or the tradesman to his place of labour. They are turned out—driven out sometimes—to get their meat, like sheep to the hills, or cattle to the field; and if they don't bring home a certain supply, a drunken father and a brutal beating await them.

'For example, I was returning from a meeting one night, about twelve o'clock. It was a fierce blast of wind and rain. In Prince's Street, a piteous voice and a shivering boy pressed me to buy a tract. I asked the child why he was out in such a night and at such an hour. He had not got his money; he dared not go home without it; he would rather sleep in a stair all night. I thought, as we passed a lamp, that I had seen him before. I asked him if he went to church. "Sometimes to Mr Guthrie's," was his reply. On looking again, I now recognised

him as one I had occasionally seen in the Cowgate Chapel. Muffled up to meet the weather, he did not recognise me. I asked him what his father was. "I have no father, Sir; he is dead." His mother? "She is very poor." "But why keep you out here?" and then reluctantly the truth came out. I knew her well, and had visited her wretched dwell-She was a tall, dark, gaunt, gipsey-looking woman, who, notwithstanding a cap, of which it could be but premised that it had once been white, and a gown that it had once been black, had still some traces of one who had seen better days; but now she was a drunkard! Sin had turned her into a monster; and she would have beaten that poor child within an inch of death, if he had been short of the money, by her waste of which she starved him, and fed her own accursed vices. Now, by this anecdote illustrating to my stranger friend the situation of these unhappy children, I added that, nevertheless, they might get education, and secure some measure both of common and Christian knowledge: But mark how, and where. Not as in the days of our blessed Saviour, when the tender mother brought her child for His blessing. The Jailor brings them now! Their only passage to school is through the Police office; their passport is a conviction of crime: And in this Christian and enlightened city it is only within the dark walls of a prison that they are secure either of school or Bible. When one thinks of one's own happy boys at home, bounding free on the green, and breathing the fresh air of heaven-or of the little fellow that climbs a father's knee, and asks the oft-repeated story of Moses or of Joseph-it is a sad thing to look in through the evelet of a cell-door, on the weary solitude of a child spelling its way through the Bible. It makes one sick to hear men sing the praises of the fine education of our prisons. How much better and holier were it to tell us of an education that would save the necessity of a prison school! I like well to see the life-boat, with her brave and devoted crew; but with far more pleasure, from the window of my old country manse, I used to look out at the Bell Rock Tower, standing erect amid the stormy waters, where, in the mists of the day, the bell was rung, and in the darkness of the night the light was kindled; and thereby the mariners were not saved from the wreck, but saved from being wrecked at all.

Newgate has its observatory as well as Greenwich: and crime can be followed in its course almost as clearly as the stars. Under similar circumstances, its regularity is so fearful as to wear an air of fatalism; only, it is a fatalism of which we need not be afraid at all, since the causes from which it proceeds may be more or less controlled by human means. M. Quetelet's statistics are very curious. An analysis of his criminal returns exhibits the same definite proportions constantly recurring, down to the most minute particulars. The relation of cause and effect is so thoroughly dissected in them, that when a change occurs, the cause of the diversity can be easily discerned. For instance, every stage of life, from the cradle to

the grave, produces its average amount of criminals: the maximum ranging over the ten or fifteen years of manhood, when man, from the full development of his nature, may be said, in one sense, to be at his best. Looking over these tabular returns, we learn the meaning of Averroes, when he wished Utinam, natus essem Senex! Old age, we trust, still retains its advantage. But in England, the sweet prerogative of youth, its crown of innocence and flowers, if not yet lost, is sadly faded. The ignorance of vice has ceased: the knowledge of virtue has not replaced it. The mistress of a school of industry said to a

lady lately-' There are no children now.'

In all times, a few unhappy children have been trained to be unconscious instruments in crafty hands. Sir M. Hale speaks of them in his day as being necessary appendages to a burglar—just what his skeleton keys were; just what an infant in the arms is to a beggar-woman; or the boy that leads him to the blind. The difference, which has come upon us as a thief in the night, is the fact, that, where they were then one, they are now Legion: where they were formerly automatons, representing the fraud of others, they have since warmed into independent life, and set up, under the teaching of thirst and hunger, and in the filth of cities, on their own account. Juvenile offenders are not only rapidly passed on, under vagrant acts and police acts: but they crowd our calendars. They have become so common, that police magistrates would seem, from their familiarity with the fact, to be betrayed into a forgetfulness of the law. The other day, a child of five years old was sent by a magistrate to prison! though children under seven are presumed by law incapable of crime.

Humane men, presiding over the criminal courts of large towns, are well aware of the falseness of their position, whenever a child is brought before them. They cannot deceive themselves into the belief that they are administering justice; they feel that they are sacrificing victims; and that the spectacle of a lunatic in the dock is not more disgraceful to a society not itself insane, than that of children whom careful parents would think hardly old enough to put to school. For some time past, Serjeant Adams, with an earnestness which does him honour, has been raising his voice in Middlesex once a quarter-few or none regarding; Mr Hill the same at Birmingham: and so on in Liverpool and other places. Mr Smith, the governor of the Edinburgh prison, reported in the year 1845, that seven hundred and forty children, under fourteen years of age, had been committed to that prison during the three preceding years, of whom two hundred and forty-five were under the age of ten! The immediate parties who so deservedly provoke the pity of the authori-. ties, are, in the eye of the law, criminals; notwithstanding which, no reasonable man will raise a doubt on the wisdom of the course lately taken at Dundee, where the culprit was removed from the police court direct to a school of industry. To be sure, in the case of children,* what a scandal to a community, if its penitentiaries and its schools of industry are not substantially the same! We are not sanguine in anticipating the moral reformation of adults. Enquire of the governors of your jails what are the effects of punishment upon grown-up persons, either to reform or to deter. The little you can do, you must however try. But here, in the case of children, there can be but one question, within whatever walls it is to be answered—What are

^{*} The Society for the Reformation of Juvenile Delinquents at New York, makes an annual report of the proceedings of their house of refuge to the legislature of the state. Their twentieth report (1845) is now before us. In reference to our present subject, we earnestly recommend to the attention of our readers the letter which Lord Brougham has just addressed to Lord Lyndhurst: especially his demand in behalf of infant schools, and his very interesting account of the French establishment at Mettray. There are now twelve of the kind in France; six supported by government, and six by private efforts. Lord Brougham was informed by M. Duchatel, 'that nearly 4000 young persons are now received into them, rescued from guilt. and suffering, undergoing the most wholesome moral discipline, and preparing for their restoration to society.' We were, some time back, much struck with a letter No. 2, in the Appendix to the Third Report of the Criminal Law Commissioners. Its writer strongly enforces the necessity of our dealings with young offenders being more domestic than at present; that parishes should replace the wreck of the parish stocks by lock-up houses; and that, where parents neglect to correct their children (in the case of a variety of small offences, which lead to worse,) the clergyman and parish-officers should be authorised to confine the child for a few hours in the lock-up house, and to impose a small pecuniary fine upon the parent. The intelligent author of a Paper on Transportation in the Political Dictionary, is of opinion that sufficient provision has now been made at Parkhurst Reformatory and Millbank Penitentiary, for as many of this unhappy class as come under his denomination of juvenile transports. Above 700 can be received in the first: 200 in the other. The only auxiliary institutions, he mentions, are the Refuge for the Destitute, and the Philanthropic Society. A third has been at work successfully for eight and twenty years, at Stretton upon Dunmow, Warwickshire. We cannot conceive any call on public justice and private charity more imperative than that of multiplying similar establishments for juvenile delinquents of whatever class. And we believe, with Miss Murray, that the best interests of humanity suffered. when the Children's Friend Society was clamoured down.

the means by which the growing buds that have been so early cankered, can be best restored? There never was such an occasion for encobling the vulgar saying—'A stitch in time saves nine.'

By the schools of industry just mentioned, we mean those places of refuge for the children of the very poor, now popularly known by the name of Ragged Schools. The juvenile convict, we should always recollect, is only a unit, who has risen accidentally to the top, out of an unconvicted class nowise better than himself. What is to be done with the rest? Are they to wait till their turn comes also—till a ruthless society, which has never done a single act of duty or of kindness by them, challenges them, much in the same humour that Abhorson summoned Master Bernardine, to come out and be hanged? Or, are we prepared at length to take the trouble of treating them as human beings—we say nothing of immortal souls—and to make a serious attempt towards placing them in circumstances where, for the first time in their lives, a chance of happiness and of virtue may be brought within their reach? It is more a question of trouble than of expense; since we may rest assured that they are costing us more in their wild, neglected state-living on the public, and to be guarded against as criminals—than if we were at once to undertake the charge of bringing them within the domestic pale of civil life. You will maintain them cheaper, domesticated at your barn door, than when left to help themselves from your land and fields, as game. It is the self-same question which occurs respecting the moral, as the physical health of Will you go to the thought and the expense of sewerages and drainages, and so secure to the poor fresh water and fresh air; or, will you wait till a far heavier charge rolls back upon you in a poor-rate, swollen with the miseries incident to the long sickness and early death of the labouring man, whose family depends upon his labour? Take a word from Mr Guthrie, on this subject, also:-

Do you fancy that, by refusing this appeal, and refusing to establish these schools, you, the public, will be saved the expense of maintaining these outcasts? A great and demonstrable mistake. They live just now; and how do they live? Not by their own honest industry, but at your expense. They beg and steal for themselves, or their parents beg and steal for them. You are not relieved of the expense of their sustenance by refusing this appeal. The Old Man of the Sea sticks to the back of Sinbad; and surely it were better for Sinbad to teach the old man to walk on his own feet. I pray the public to remember, that begging and stealing, while in most cases poor trades to those who pursue them, are dear ones to the public. Catch you little fellow, with his pale face and piteous whine, and search, as some of us have done,

his wallets, and you will be astonished at the stores of beef and bread concealed beneath his rags. Don't blame him, however, because he whines on ;-he must reach his den at night, laden with plander. You forget that a sound beating may await him if he returns empty-handed; and you also forget that at some expense he has to keep his mother in whisky, as well as his brothers and sisters in food. You have often tried to put down public begging, the dearest and most vicious way of maintaining the poor: till some such plan as ours is adopted, you neger can. Not to speak of the beggars that prowl about our public streets, hundreds of children set out every morning to levy their subsistence for the day, by calls at private houses. They beg when they may—they steal when they can. Such a system is a disgrace to society; its evils are legion; and we can fancy no plan that goes so directly, and with such sure promise of success, to the root of these evils, as that we now advocate. We say with Daniel Defoe, that begging is a shame to any country: if the beggar is an unworthy object of charity, it is a shame that he should be allowed to beg; if a worthy object of charity, it is a shame that he should be compelled to beg.'

The first founder of a Ragged School bravely decided for the better part. Mr Guthrie is now imploring us to enlarge the basis of these most charitable institutions. In the same spirit, and against an evil not much less crying about two hundred years ago, St Vincent-de-Paul opened at St Lazare* his Maison des Enfans Trouvés. There could not be an end more noble. May God guide us, through wiser means, to a more successful issue!

The form of the experiment originated, we believe, with that admirable society, the London City Mission. It prospered in their hands; as almost all they touch, however outwardly despe-

^{*} St Vincent-de-Paul was the reformer of foundling hospitals, not their founder. They are as old as Christianity, or nearly so; for they followed close upon the government prohibition of the exposure of in-They were looked upon with such pious reverence in the middle ages, that we read of one of the brethren of the foundation des Imitateurs de la Charité de Sainte-Marthe en sa Maison de Bethanie, toward the end of the tenth century, who carried back the origin of that charitable congregation to the Father of the Faithful; declaring, that Abraham had established an hospital in Limbo, for receiving children who had died before they had been baptised! Nor are the evils of those establishments even now sufficiently understood. Mr Malthus observes, that Sir James Steuart, though fully aware of what he called vicious procreation, strongly recommended them. Yet, under their encouragement, the foundlings of France have trebled within the last forty years: while a third of the births of Paris is illegitimate. The foundling hospital of Paris alone receives 5000 every year. The mortality is hightful. Two thirds die during the first year. What is this, but to put, as it were, poisoned gloves on the hand of charity, and send it forth to do the work of Herod?

1847.

rate, appears to do. A Sunday school of this description was established at Windsor by a town missionary, not quite two years ago; where may be seen among the teachers-brought together by a Divine attraction to their work of mercy—a chimney-sweeper in his Sunday clothes, and one or two life-guardsmen in their uniforms. Dr Hawtrey, head master of Eton, has himself set up another there. What a touching contrast he must feel between the two extremes, as often as he passes from his Eton boys to his ragged scholars! A new hope for the poor, a new prospect of doing good, could not be lost on Dr Chalmers. and object of his.school are told by its locality. It is in the Westport of Edinburgh, within a few doors of the house of Burke—the wretch, whose name, from his transcendent infamy, is incorporated into the language. These schools were a great beginning. They broke the ground. They have familiarised us with the necessity and with the means. But something still was wanting; and it is this further something which Mr Guthrie has now come forward to proclaim. The only precedents which he mentions, are the industrial schools of Dundee and Aberdeen. There may be others. We are not aware of them.

Magnificent endowments, such as Christ's Hospital, Heriot's Hospital, and the like, are converted into the patrimony of the middle ranks. Private schools, maintained by benevolent indi-· viduals, act, at their very best, as aids and rewards to the decent poor. But, in all our towns of any magnitude, there is a lower class of children: one made up for the most part of illegitimate children; of children who have lost their parents; and of children whose parents have been infinitely worse to them than none at all. There are supposed to be a thousand such in Edinburgh alone. To them, the world, on which they have been cast, is indeed fatherless; for, what can they think of man? And what, if they should chance to hear of Him, what, in their agony, must they think even of God? Visitors of destitute sick societies - humble and hardworking city missionaries-Christian governors of prisons-superintendents of night asylums and houses of refuge-men who, like himself, are called on to explore, amid fever and familie, the depths of human misery (we are using Mr Guthrie's words), come across them often. You may find them sleeping in a stair, or on the floor of the police office, or pulling your coat at midnight to buy a tract of them, lest they should go home only to be beaten. Beyond this, their fellow-creatures seem to have agreed, by habit and common consent, to refuse to recognize their existence. Ragged Schools are but of yesterday; before which, to have got a chance of school, they must have gone to prison first. Even at present, Ragged Schools have been opened in a few favoured places only. But, supposing them to be opened every where, what is the most that we can expect from them, in case of their being opened only to teach? Even misery has its degrees and shades. Below the depths, which they can sound and master, there is a still lower depth, which can only be reached and brought into subjection by a more potent spell.

It is here, at this crisis of the problem, that the Industrial Schools of Aberdeen and Dundee have taken the next step. They feed as well as teach. There is not only the alphabet, but the cup of porridge. What a providential step, if it should but answer! As far as it has gone, it has answered marvellously. Mr Guthrie had long looked wistfully to some such system as the only remedy; but 'until the experience of Aberdeen and Dundee had turned what was but a presumption into a fact, he ' had not the courage to venture on the proposal.' It is the main characteristic of the system, that it combines instruction in useful employment with education, and, above all, with food. The children are not taken from their homes; on the contrary, they go back every night, with the new influences which they may have acquired. In this, of course, there is great peril. The new influences and the old will meet in daily conflict. may end, as the labour of Hercules, in the old story of Antwus: where, as often as the monster, though almost exhausted, came incontact with his mother earth, he recovered his former strength. We must be prepared for failures directly traceable to this cause. On the other hand, there are possible advantages in the children returning home, which justify a certain degree of hazard. It need not be made a condition of the experiment, though, if the experiment is to be made on any considerable scale, it must be made at present in this form, or not at all. There is, however, one condition indispensable to this great experiment, and only one: that is-the daily bread which we all are taught to pray for. 'To catch wild colts, they must see the corn. Without food, the children will not come, nor be let come; without food, they cannot afford to stay; without it, it is impossible that they should have the spirits or the strength to learnor any body have the heart to try to make them. What man of common sense (asks Mr Guthrie) would mock with books 'a boy who is starving for bread? Let Christian men answer our Lord's question; let every one who is a parent think of it. What father, if his child ask for bread, would give him a stone?" And, let me ask, what is English Grammar, or the Rule of Three, or the A B C, to a poor hungry child—what is it but a f stone?

The alarming state of Ireland is bringing upon the horizon of that unhappy country, a cloud which, though, as yet, no bigger than one's hand, must soon cover the heavens, unless looked after, and blot out the light of day from the future as well as from the present. Extreme want permanently keeps a portion of our population in the same desperate condition, into which the dreadful visitation of wide-spread famine is threatening to plunge, suddenly and for a time, the great body of the Irish people. If their usual range of decency and comfort has always been so low as to render them comparatively reckless, what limit can be put to the destructive consequences of a calamity which, like the serpents of Laocoon, is crushing the father and the child together, in its terrific folds? The only hope, apparently, of retaining a spark of moral life in the children who may escape from it, will be by applying to their circumstances the principle of the plan which Mr Guthrie urges upon us for our outcast poor at home. 'I tremble,' writes Lieutenant-Colonel Douglas, for the fate of the rising generation in this country. Misery has so worked upon this people, that, consumed by one only thought-that of food, they abstain from their old church-'going habits. Shame of appearing in bad clothes operates greatly in this; but, alas! the children no longer attend the schools in many places, and demoralisation is, I fear, advancing 'at the same rate as destitution. I have spoken to some people about this, and I really believe that the proposed extension of the poor-laws may be made to accomplish the double object of ' feeding destitute children, and at the same time of instructing The children would go to school if fed; and I have been assured by a gentleman, who takes a very active part as a poor-law guardian, that such a united system of feeding and teaching would be a great economy; for if children were thus 6 looked after, their parents would not so much throng the workhouses. The moral objection of withdrawing children from the superintendence of the parent, does not hold good where the parents give no superintendence. The rising generation are left to wander about in idleness, living, in fact, on the public charity, and it were much better that the public charity should be well bestowed.'* A few hours of hunger, fever, and despair, have demoralized the strongest natures—whole armies, What then can we expect, if we make this the whole cities. life of children?

It is not always easy to find out a judicious charity, and to

^{*} Letter from Lieutenaut-Colonel Douglas to Mr Trevelyan, Clon-mel, Jan. 30.

reconcile our feelings with our reason. We have the comfort of believing that there is no contradiction here. Mr Guthric conceives that his schools are common ground, where Dr Chalmers and Dr Alison may meet. We hope so too. The characteristic tendency of foundling hospitals and of liberal poor laws is, to reproduce and aggravate the evils which it was their object to repress. At the age at which Mr Guthrie receives his ragged friends, they are too old to expose his institution to the reproach of a foundling hospital, and too young for it possibly to generate the evils incident to parish pay. The relief afforded is of a kind that nobody can lean upon or reckon on. It corrupts neither the parent nor the child; on the contrary, it must improve the one—it may improve the other.

There is only one particular in addition, suggested by Mr Guthrie himself. It is not an indispensable one, but is one to which he attaches great importance. It is this. Instead of his subscribers paying in their money to the fund, and having done with it he wishes every individual to pick out the child for whom he is to pay, and occasionally call and see how he is coming on. The child knows this, and his heart opens; he has a superior who cares for him; and thus a relationship is formed, which, if he only continue worthy of it, will not end in the schoolroom; but, on his launching into life, will see him safe over its first breakers into the open sca. Children used formerly to be kept in mind of the presence of God by the representation of a gigantic eye on the frontispiece of their primers. Their masters will teach them that the sooner, for their being humanised by knowing that the eye of an earthly benefactor is upon them too. We have rejoiced to hear—we hope truly not only that the necessary funds are coming in, but that the clientela is forming fast.

The experiment is not a costly one. The average expense of the school at Aberdeen was L.6 a child for the year: and, so employed, it has cleared both town and county of begging children. In the three summer months of 1843, one hundred begging children were found wandering about the county, and were reported by the police. Juvenile mendicity is the natural and certain training for juvenile delinquency. Yet, God be praised! two years afterwards, they are reported gone—not as criminals to the prison, but as scholars to the school. The School of Industry had absorbed them all.

We heartly wish that as many of our readers as have a spare sixpence, would lay it out upon this pamphlet. They will find it no bad investment. Let them hang its pictures round their chamber of imagery, and sanctify their closet with its thoughts!

Towards the end there are a few plain questions proposed for our consideration; of the kind that were proposed by that great Querist, Bishop Berkeley, a hundred years ago, to the Irish people. We dare not trust ourselves with another word on Ireland. Alas! alas!—alas for neglected opportunities! Alas for all, whether individuals or nations, who will not take to heart in time, the things which belong unto their peace!

NO TE.

On p. 22, Mr. Oswald of Dunnikier is incorrectly described as having been a Glasgow merchant.

On p. 23 read Dr John-instead of Ebenezer-Erskine.

INDEX.

٨

Aberdeen, average expense of ragged school at, 534.

Aberdeen, Earl of, his correspondence with the French government, regarding the marriage of the Queen of Spain and the Infanta of Spain, 495-520.

Akh-lak-Ja'ali—Mr Thompson's translation of, note, 341.

Alexandrian philosophy, no Egyptian element contained in it, 353.

Algazzāli's, or Abou Hamid Mahommed, sketch of his life, 342—analysis of his views in the pursuit of truth, 343—on universal doubt, 343—analogy between his views and those of Descartes, 343—on scepticism, 344—divides the various sects of the faithful under four heads, 345—system of the Dogmatists, ib.—of the Soufis, 346—his philosophy partly Greek and partly Oriental, 352.

Ampère, M. on the supposed influence of Egyptian philosophy on the Alexandrian school, 355.

Arabian philosophy, known only to a few Oriental scholars, 341—M. Schmölders' translation of the treatise of Algazzāli, 341—Mr Thompson's translation of the Akh-lāk-Jalāli, note, 341—their philosophy founded partly on the Greek, and partly on the Oriental philosophy, 352—the appearance of Mahomet and the conquest of Alexandria, the two great epochs of its advancement, 352—yet it only is the Greek philosophy 'as interpreted by the Alexandrians,' 353.

Artist, perception of beauty at all times necessary for a truly great, 462.

Astronomy, the science of, not known by the Egyptians, 356.

Austria, share she derived from the partition of Poland, 266—value of, 268—arguments brought forward by, for the annexation of Cracow to her territory, 283—massacres in Gallicia of the gentry by the peasantry, 290—subordination of its cabinet to Russian influence, 293.

Bacon, on the love of literature, 9.

Bale, his adventures when escaping from Ireland, 407.

Index. 537

Bancrofi's History of the United States, review of. The scheme of the author-reasons for dividing the work into two parts, 115-16-comparison between the progress of English colonies and Spanish, 116-18 -early discovery of America, 119-search after gold and silver, ib. -France the first nation to form a comprehensive system of colonization, 119-20-Raleigh began to colonize the United States, ib .sketch of the first settlers, 121-124 - the peculiar character, hostile nature of the various communities, and their subsequent close union, matter of astonishment, ib .- the revolution of 1640 left the people of England little time to look after the disputes in her colonies, 126—rapid advance of the colonists, 127—provinces which took the lead in uniting the colonies, ib .- James I.'s creation of two rival companies, 128-29—the changing fortunes of the great English parties had a marked influence on the colonists, 130—the conduct of the state of Massachusetts—behaviour of the Puritans -their intolerance, 131-the charter which Charles I. granted to Massachusetts declared void—result of the judgments, 135 6 colonies of New England enter into a confederacy, 136-7-first American Congress called at New York—determined to make war on the French possessions, and attempt the conquest of Acadia and Canada, 137—extent of the French possessions in America, 138.

Bastiat, Frederick, sur les Sophismes Économiques, 427.

Berwick, privileges it possessed as a free port, 432.

Billow and the Rock, by Harriet Martineau, 461. See Martineau.

Bones of Saints, miracles performed by, 313.

Borgo, M. Pozzo di, his able state paper on the policy of Russia towards Poland, 271.

Broylie, Duc de, speech on the policy of France towards Spain, 498. Brownham, Lord, his great labours in the reform of the laws, 480.

Bullion, early views and laws in England regarding, 428.

Bulwer, Sir Edward Lytton's pamphlet, entitled 'A Word to the

Public,' noticed, 473.

Bunsen, Christian Karl Josias, on the Basilicas of Christian Rome, 143—the interest attached to Rome, 144—kindness and information of the German colonists at, ib. The primitive aspect of Rome may still be discerned—the importance of, 145—the exact position of the Forum, ib.—the city of the early Christian, 147-8—the so-called early histories of the church very imperfect, from the slight notice taken of the Catacombs and Basilicas, 148—what idea had the early Christian of a place of worship? ib.—the edifices of the heathen world, 149—the temple could never be a model of a purely Christian edifice, 150—the origin of the word 'Basilicas,' and whence derived, 151—its adaptation to the Christian church, 152-5—objections to some of the author's views, 156—the word 'Basilicas' leads us back to Roman greatness and Grecian refinement, 159—effects of such researches on the age, 160-1.

Burton, John Hill, advocate, his Life and Correspondence of David

Hume, 1. See Hume,

'C

Canada, policy pursued by Sir Francis Head in Upper, 361—Lord Durham's report on, 390. See Head.

Castlereagh, Lord, on the policy of Prussia, 273.

Catheart, Lady, imprisonment in a solitary house by her husband, 473. Centralization, proper definition of the term, 221-6-countries having king, lords, and commons, 226-28—the nature of the States' Governments, ib.—the character of a federal state, 229—position of a dependency, ib .- conditions in reference to the governments of localities, 230 - and general administrations, 231 - uniformity of institution not identical with, 233—centralized governments, 236 -misconception of the word, 237-mistaken for over-governingfalse opinion of the population concerning what may be called the legitimate province of government, ib.—the passport system, 238 popular or democratic government, 242-9-governments of local and popular origin, 249-56—local governments of local origin, controlled to excess by the higher functionaries causing delays and vexations, ib .- necessity of the appointment of a permanent commission of experienced lawyers to examine and report on all bills submitted to both houses of parliament, 257-8.

Coinage. measures adopted to fix the value of, in England, 434—the Colson, Felix, sur la Pologne et des Cabinets du Nord, 261.

tampering with its value by Henry VIII., 441.

Corn Laws -- Note to the Article in No. CLXVIII, 259.

Cousin, M., theory as to Pascal's religious views, 185—his charge unjust, 207. See Pascal.

Corerdale's, Father, account of the sufferings of the Protestants in

Mary's reign, 399.

Cracow in 1845 and 1846, 261—annexation of, to Austria, 262—independence of as a free state, established in 1815, 276-278—nilitary importance of, to Austria, 277—commercial privileges of, 280—university of, its revenues and privileges confiscated, 282—arguments brought forward by Russia, Prussia, and Austria, for annihilating the republic of, 283—these considered, 283—shock given to the faith of nations and the law of treaties by the annexation of the republic, 294.

Crime, proportion of in towns and counties in England, note, 523.

D

Decorative Art Society Papers and Transactions, 452—value of, 460. Descartes, his admiration of Pascal's genius, 179. See Puscal.

Descartes, similarity of his views with those of the Arabian philosopher Algazzāli, 343.

Douglas, Lieutenant-Colonel, on the state of the Irish poor children, 533. Dogmatists, an Arabian sect, doctrines they held, 345.

Durham, Earl of, his report for a general union of the Upper and Lower Provinces of Canada, 390.

Ducr, Mr, on the mode proceeded in the revision of the Statute Law of New York, 484.

Dyce, Mr, his important report on the foreign schools of design, 457.

Ŀ

Egypt, the philosophy of, appears to have had no influence either on the Grecian or Arabic schools, 353—nothing known of its language and hieroglyphic writing by the Greeks, 355—had no scientific knowledge of astronomy, 356—or of mathematics, 357.

Elizabeth, Queen, her letter to Lady Knollys an excellent specimen of her style, 409.

Emigrant, the, by Sir Francis B. Head, 358.

England, primitive political economy of, 427—delusive value set upon the possession of precious metals, 427—system adopted called 'the Balance-of-Bargain System,' 428—establishment of the staple towns on the continent, 429—and of a corporation to superintend the staple, 430—policy of Edward III. in regard to staples, 431—privileges which Berwick had in exporting staple commodities, 432—measures taken to retain precious metals in, 433—regulations under which bills of exchange were allowed, 437—effect of their restricting the commerce of the kingdom, 138—when first broke down, 439—tampering with the coin, 441—Gresham's remonstrance with Henry VIII. regarding the necessity of exchange, 443—early writers on political economy, 444—balance-of-bargain system destroyed by the writings of Mun, 450.

England, changes effected in, upon the death of Edward VI, 398—persecutions and exactions used against the Protestant party at Queen Mary's accession, 399—eminent foreign scholars who received appointments in, 401—privileges foreign exiles received, 402—ordered by Mary to depart the realm, ib,—their wanderings and settlements in various parts of the continent, 404. See Marian Exiles.

England, New, confederacy of the colonies, 136. See Buncroft.

F

Fitzgibbon, Colonel, his energetic conduct when Toronto was attacked by Mackenzie, 370—his merits and claims not rewarded, 396.

Foreign Policy of Great Britain, indifference of the public top 491—remarks of M. Guizot on, ib.

Foundling Hospital at Paris, mortality in, note, 530.

Foxe, escape of, from England, during the persecution of Mary, 406
—his Book of Martyrs, 415.

Fry, Mrs, her first visit to Newgate, 324—her education and manners, ib.—contrasted with Miss Sarah Martin, 325.

G

Grange, Lord and Lady, sketch of, and of the causes which led to Lady G.'s imprisonment in the Western Hebrides, 465—sources from which particulars are to be procured regarding Lady Grange, note, 472—character of her Ladyship, 473

Greshams, their merits as financiers and statesmen, 443.

Gruner, Ludovig, on fresco decorations, 452—value of to designers, 460.

Guizot, M. on the indifference of the British public to its foreign relations, 492—correspondence between and the British government, regarding the marriages of the Queen and Infanta of Spain, 495-520.

Guthrie's, Rev. Thomas, Plea for Ragged Schools, 520—his pulpit oretory, 521—necessity for the appeal made, ib.—per-centage of crime in towns and country, note, 523—want of preventive checks for juvenile crime, 524—the miscry of begging children, quoted, 525—usual mode of treating juvenile offenders, 527—infant schools for young offenders in France and New York, note, 528—schools of industry, as places of refuge for children, 529—the first schools established for this object, 530—number of destitute children in Edinburgh, 531—necessity and advantage of both feeding and educating children at the ragged schools, 532—effect of hunger on, in keeping the Irish poor children from school, 533—suggestion of Mr Guthrie for subscribers to the schools to take an interest in a child, 534—average expense of school at Aberdeen, 534.

H

Head's, Sir Francis B., 'Emigrant,' 358-character of the writer and of the book, ib.—sent to Upper Canada as governor—policy he pursued, 361—political opinions broached in the 'Emigrant,' 362 members he appointed to his councils, 365—his conduct during the election of members for the Assembly, 366-disorders in Lower Canada, 366—his boast that no soldiers need be kept for the defence of Upper Canada, 367—this due to Sir John Colborne, ib.—want of energy and prudence when the disorders occurred in the province, 368-attack on Toronto by the force under Mackenzie, 369-preparations made for the defence of Toronto, 370—the attack, 372 imbecility of his conduct, 374—his absurd policy in the hour of victory, 375-his conduct towards Mr Bidwell, 376-the affair of Navy Island, 378 — burning of the Caroline, 379 — force of the rebels at Navy Island, 380-force brought against them by Sir Francis, 381—exasperation of the Americans at the burning of the Caroline, ib.—want of conduct and energy in his military operations, 382—his favouritism in granting commissions in the militia, ib. conduct of the United States at the time, 383—Sir Francis' reasons for leaving the rebels unmolested, quoted, 384—his mode of leaving the scene of his government, 385—his fear of assassination in the States, 386-statements he made after his return, 387-his chapter entitled 'The Explosion,' 388—report of Lord Durham on Canada, 390—amnesty granted by government to the disaffected, 394.

Hieroglyphic writing not known by the Alexandrian school, 355.

Hooper, Bishop, his Treatise on the Sacrament, 420.

Hume, David, Life and Correspondence of, by J. H. Burton—his birth, 1—state of Scotland at birth and death considered, 1-4—his literary contemporaries, 3—why Dugald Stewart abstained from undertaking

the life of, 4 — his education, course of reading, and study, 6-8 clerk to a Bristol trader, ib .- chooses literature as a profession, and goes to France—returns to London, and publishes 'The Treatise on Human Nature, 10-12-his Essays Moral and Political favourably received-Bishop Butler's recommendation, 12-sensation produced in France by the translation of the 'Discourses,' ib .- the composition of the 'Dialogue on Natural Religion,' ib .- his dependent circumstances, 14-connexion with Lord Annandale, 15-appointed judge-advocate, then secretary on a military mission to Austria and Piedmont, 16-returns and takes up his residence at Edinburgh -appointed librarian to advocates' library, 17-gives up his salary to Dr Blacklock, and commences his 'History of the House of Stuart'-reflections thereon, ib .- style of living, cookery, &c., 18-19-letter to Adam Smith on his 'History of England,' 20 friendships he formed, 21-22-clerical friends, their boldness in his behalf, 23—General Assembly's proposed sentence of excommunication, ib. - religious opinions of, 24-letter to Dr Blair regarding them, 25-connexion with the Philosophical Society and the Poker Club, ib.—ambition of literary rank—manner of showing his independence, 26-jealousy of literary rivals, Smollett, Dr Robertson, &c., 26-27—his History begun at Edinburgh in 1752, and finished there in 1762—residence there more from necessity than choice, 27 proposes to reside in London—letter to Dr Clephane, 28—visits London-acquaintance with Burke, 29-comparison between London and Paris, ib .- appointed under-secretary of state to General Conway-residence in London-acquaintance with Dr Percy, ib. -their dislike of Dr Johnson, ib.-the Scotch Club, 30 - could Hume and Smith have engaged themselves in Johnson's club? considered, 30-1—partiality for France—letter to Dr Clephane, 31— Hume's ill-regulated passion for literary fame considered - its causes and consequences, 32-39 - political opinions, how formed, 40-effect on his writings, 40.5-political confession, 45-6-Robertson, Dr, applied to by George III. to write a history of England, 48-9 - his reception at Paris - introduction to Madame de Boufflers, 50 - devotion to the fair sex, 51-2 - comparison between Horace Walpole and Hume, 53-4 - state of religious feeling in Paris, ib. - opinions of individuals occasionally misled, 55-6-desires the Irish secretaryship, ib.-Lord Hereford's reasons for refusing it, and his love of Hume, 57-Croker's remarks thereon, ib .- refusal to accept the office of black rod, 58-appointed under-secretary of state under General Conway-his account of his duties, 59-returns to Edinburgh in 1768-attacked by Beattiepublication of the Essay on Truth, 60-comparison of the Johnson and Hume style of writing, 61-2-poverty in his literary criticism, 67-writings do not possess the elevation we desire, 70-over-great desire for posthumous fame, 71-maintained the honour of literature, ib.— advice to Gibbon, ib.

Hunger, effect of, in retarding the education of the Irish hildren, 533.

Ireland, its local taxes explained. See Taxes, local.

J

Janin, Jules, his contribution to the literary history of the streets of Paris, extract from, 77-8.

L

Langdale's, Henry Lord, speech on statute law reform, 476—quotation from, 488.

Law Reform in England, necessity for, 476. See Statute Law Reform.

Lawyers, lives of eminent, Note to the Article in No. CLXX, 259-60.

M

Maitland, Rev. Mr, note on the dark ages, 49.

Marian Exiles, the, 398—persecutions and executions of the Protestant party after the accession of Queen Mary to the English throne, 399—escape of Peter Martyr to the continent, 403—of Whittingham, 405—of Foxe, the martyrologist, 406—of Bale, 407—of Jewell, 408—of Knox, 409—of Alexander Nowell, ib.—of Sir Francis Knollys and his Lady, ib.—of the Duchess of Suffolk and her husband, 410—their residences abroad, and their mode of living, 411—their literary labours, 414—outbreak among them respecting church vestments and ceremonies, 421.

Martin, Miss Sarah, of Great Yarmouth, life and poetical remains of, 320—rise of Yarmouth, ib.—condition of its jail, 322—Miss Martin's early life, 325—her first visit to the jail, ib.—commences to read, and instruct the prisoners, ib.—Captain Williams's report on her labours of love, ib.—her addresses to the prisoners, 329—extracts from her 'Prison Records,' 329—self sacrifice of, 331—her system of superintendence over the prisoners, 332—schools she also superintended, 333—occupation in after hours, 334—bare pittance on which she subsisted, 334—corporation bounty to, 335—her poetical talents, 336—death, 337—cases cited of the happy results of her labours, 337.

Martineau, Harriet, her Billow and the Rock, 461—is this an advantage, or otherwise, in an historical fiction, being founded on fact? 461—the tale founded on the story of Lady Grange, 463—extracts from, 467.

Martyr, Peter, his escape to the Continent after the accession of Mary, 403.

Massachusetts, state of. See Bancroft.

Maury, L. N. Alfred, sur les légendes pieuses du moyen-age. 295 his division of them into three classes, 305.

M'Culloch, J. R., his treatise on taxation, extract from, 109.

Mint, establishment of, in England, 433.

Miracles, borrowed from the observances of paganism, to serve the

purposes of the monks, 301. See Saints.

Montpensier, Duke of, considerations respecting his marriage to the Infanta of Spain, with reference to the treaty of Utrecht, 490—attention of the daily press of London to this question, 492—correspondence between the French and British Governments in relation to the Spanish marriages, 495-520.

Mun, Thomas, value of his writings on political economy, 447.

N

New York, revision of the Statute Law of, 484. North's, Sir Dudley, Discourses upon Trade, 427.

Novel, is it an advantage or a disadvantage to have it founded upon fact? 461.

T

Palmerston, Lord, correspondence with M. Guizot regarding the marriages of the Queen and Infanta of Spain, 511-520.

Paris, streets of - the literary history of the streets of Paris, by Louis Lurine, 72-Paris fortunate in its special historians-the present work the contribution of thirty or forty writers, forming a history of Paris by streets, 72-3—the present school of French writers behind in descriptions of the 'middle age,' ib .- disqualified from being historical novelists, 74—want of historical associations, save in the history of its universities, 7:1-5—the priesthood ever proud and self-dependent, never the slaves of kings or popes, but their masters, 76-Paris, with the revival of letters, becomes full of interest, ib .- Place Royale the cradle of social civilization, 77-9extract from Jules Janin, 78-character of Richelieu, his influence on society, 79-81-Molière, where he picked up the models of his plays, 83 - state of Paris in the early part of Louis XIII.'s reign, ib .- social life in Louis XIV's reign, 86-91-death of Mazarinremoval of the court, its influence on fashionable life, 91-establishment of cafés, ib .- the revolution preparing from afar-building spe culation at the quarter Saint Louis, 92-Quarter de la Chaussée d'Antin rich in history and local tradition-hotel of Mad. Montesson -Guimard's palace, 94-anecdote regarding Madame de Genlis, 96 -Buonaparte's first dream of fashionable life, ib. Jacque's Laslitte, his firm stand in 1830-ruined by the revolution, 97-Place St George, 98—Church of Notre Dame de Lorette, ib.

Pascal, the writings and genius of, edited by M. Faugère, 178—exhibited from earliest childhood a love for mathematics, 179—problem respecting the curve called the Cycloid, ib.—abandoned science at an early age, 180—production of the 'Provincial Letters,' ib.—manner of composing, 181-2—strong religious views, 185—happy method on which he lighted on truth and pursued scientific discovery, 187—decided the cause of the suspension of mercury in the barometrical tube, 188—the peculiar delicacy of his wit, chaste and natural, ib.—style compared to Plato, 193—Voltaire's panegyric, on, 194

—Jesuits' attack against his letters, ib. — his reply, ib. — his moral character truly great, 195—the character of greatness in Christ, beautifully touched, 196—his idea of moral perfection, 197—his failings the result of the system of faith in which he was educated—effect of this on his latter days, 198-200—remarks on his projected treatise on the Evidences of Christianity, 203-5—M. Cousin's charge of hopeless and universal scepticism unjust, 207—reasons for such a conclusion, 208-12—effect of causes on man's religious faith, \$12-219.

Pascal, Pensées de, Rapport à l'Académie Française sur la nécéssité d'une nouvelle édition de cet ouvrage, par M. V. Consin. See Pascal.

Pascal, Pensées, Fragments, et Lettres de, par M. Prosper Faugère. See Pascal.

Paul, St Vincent de, foundation of his Maison des Enfans Trouvés at St Lazare, 530.

Plato and Pascal, the same equality of irony. See Pascal, 191.

Poland, negotiations regarding, at the Congress of Vienna, 262—effects arising from the partition of, 263—extent and population of its territory, appropriated by Russia, Austria, and Prussia in 1772, 262—wise policy of Prussia towards, 266—political importance which the three powers derived from the partition of, 268—creation of the duchy of Warsaw, 269—secret correspondence of the three powers before the Congress of Vienna, 271—republic of Cracow established, 276—annexation of to Austria, considered, 283. See Cracow.

Political Economy (Primitive) of England, 427. See England.

Population-Over, Thornton on the theory of, 161—the difference between over-population, and a country being redundantly peopled, 162-4-pauperism does not prove over-population, ib.-relative degrees of comfort, what is the definition of the term, 165-change in the condition of the labouring classes from 1670 to 1770, 168-again in 1795, and in 1810, 169-the author proving one thing and then assuming another, ib .- no proof that the agricultural labourers have deteriorated these three centuries at least, ib .- the labourers themselves responsible for the evils which beset their condition, 170views on the new poor law act, 172-is a friend of education, and knows that intellectual exercises conduce to the improvement of mankind, 173-is in favour of sanitary regulations, ib .- class legislation against the public welfare, ib .- reference to the hours of labour, 174-attaches little value to emigration-his admiration of small farms, or the allotment system, 175-also the subdivision of farms. ib .- the subdivision of farms a subject of great importance-will the labouring classes be benefited by it? 175-6-large-capitalists have great advantages over small ones, not only in farming, but in extending their capital into retail businesses, 176-7—the nature of things all tending to greater division of labour, 177-combination of small capitalists __joint stock association __why may not this be applied to farming? ib.

Primitive political economy of England, 427. See England.

Prison visiting, 320. See Martin, Sarah.

Property, real, report from the Select Committee of the House of Lords on the burdens affecting. See Taxes, local.

Prussia, her policy towards Poland, 266—gains and losses she sustained in the partition of Poland, 268—desire she had to annex Saxony to her dominions, 273—agrees to the annexation of Cracow to Austria, 283—convocation of the estates of the kingdom in 1847, 293.

R

Ragged Schools, a Plea for, by the Rev. Thomas Guthrie, 520. See Guthrie.

Raleigh, began the colonization of the thirteen provinces which became the United States, 120. See Bancroft.

Redgrave, Mr, his Letter to Lord John Russell on the School of Design, 452.

Relics of Saints, the pairacles performed by, 313—increase of, 314—instances quoted of their powers, 315.

Richelieu, Cardinal, his character considered, 79-82.

Rome, description of the city, by E. Platner, C. Bunsen, &c., with contributions by B. G. Niebuhr—review of. See Bunsen.

Rousseau, David Hume's opinion of, 55.

Russia, her policy towards Poland before the Congress of Vienna, 266—M. Pozzo di Borgo's state paper on the same subject, 274.

S

Saints' lives and miracles, contrast between England and France in regard to belief in, 295—mode in which the Puseyite divines state their belief, 296—origin of the belief in, 298—attempts of the clergy to turn the belief of, to their own purposes, 299—examples of the powers which the saints possessed, ib.—miracles borrowed by the monks from the observances of paganism, 300—and from the stories of early mythology, 303—M. Maury's division of them into three classes, 305—immense profusion of miracles, ib.—object of those miracles was for the personal convenience or advantage of the saint, examples of, 306—miracles performed by the bones of saints, 313—value of to the possessors, 317—stealing of saints' bones, and small fragments of, 317—relies regularly sold, 319—human reason has no cause to be flattered by the history of, ib.

Schools, Ragged, a Plea for, by the Rev. Thomas-Guthrie, 520. Sce

Guthrie.

Schools of Design, want of knowledge of designs in England, 452—establishment of in England, 454—connection between the design and manufacture, 456—Mr Dyce's report on foreign schools of design, 457—high commercial value placed on a good pattern designer in Lyons, 458—value and importance of design exemplified in the linen trade of Ireland, 459—importance of the works of Mr Gruner, and the papers of the Decorative Art Society, 460.

Scotland, powers the Royal Burghs had in regulating the trade and

commerce of the country, 440.

Scotland, its local taxes explained. See Taxes, local.

Scotland, its state considered from 1711 to 1776, 4.

Sextus Empirious, his work on the opinions of ancient philosophers, note, 344.1

Schmölders, Auguste, Essai sur les Ecoles Philosophiques chez les Arabes, 340—character of the work, 341.

Slavery, institution of, 138-9—the laws of Virginia regarding slaves, ib .- evils of slavery, 140-difference of colour renders any sudden and thorough cradication of the evil impossible, 141.

Soufis, an Arabian sect, analysis of the doctrines they maintained, 346. Spanish Marriages, effect of the treaty of Utrecht in relation to, 490 -passage in the 'Renonciation' of the Duke of Orleans to the crown of Spain, 493-and of the quadruple alliance of August

1718, 493—correspondence between the governments of Great

Britain, France, and Spain, regarding, 495-520.

Stafford, William, his writings on political economy, 455. Stuple Towns, establishment of, on the Conting C, 429.

Statute Law Reform of England, 476-confusion arising from the present state of, ib .- opinions of leading men and lawyers as to the necessity of their revision and consolidation, 477-commissioners appointed to examine and report on, 480-mass of obscure enactments relating to penalties which still exist, 482-evidence of Mr Duer of New York, 484-fatality attending Law Reform in England, 485-evil and its remedy pointed out by Lord Langdale, 488-Sir Samuel Romilly an example for law reformers, 489.

Taxes, local, of the United Kingdom, review of, 100—no proper control over the expenditure—the want of a public department, 101—definition of the various rates, and the uses they are applied to, 101-4amount of the several rates in England, 104—in Ireland and Scotland, 105—table of poor and country rates expended on the poor in England and Wales, 106—objections to the present system of local taxation considered, 107-9—Mr M'Culloch's objection to local rates on rent of land, 109—reasons why local rates cannot be assessed on the principle of the income tax, 110-12-but might be levied as a property tax, 112—suggestions to transfer the collection to the general taxes, 113-law of settlement and a national rate for the relief of the poor, 114—would the poor be benefited thereby? 114-15.

Thornton, William Thomas—Over-Population and its Remedy, or an inquiry into the extent and causes of the distress prevailing among the labouring classes of the British Islands, and into the means of remedying it, review of, 161—a supporter of the new poor law act his views enforced with simplicity and straightforwardness, 172.

See Population.

Treaty, views of Vattel and Grotius as to the validity of a, 279.

United States government acknowledge and reward literary merit of her citizens, 142.

United States, history of, by George Baucroft. See Bancroft.

United States Government, conduct of, during the disturbance in Upper Canada in 1837, 383.

* Utrecht, treaty of, its application to the union of the crowns of France and Spain on the same head, 493.

V

Vienna, Congress of, in 1815, 261—five great events which have passed since then to modify the treaty of that date, 261—examination of the negotiations which took place at, 262—duties of the Ccagress, 264—state of Eastern Europe when it met, 269.

Virginia, state of. See Bancroft.

w

Whittingham, Mr., escape of to the continent after Queen Mary's accession to the throne of England, 405—his share in translating the Bible (Geneva) into Fuglish, 424—his general character and bravery, 421.

Williams, Captain, his report on the Christian labours of Sarah Martin in the Yarmouth prison, 328—his character of, 329.

Y

Yarmouth, Great, origin and rise of, 320—church of, 321—its jail, 322—reformation of the inmates of, by Miss Sarah Martin, 323. See Martin.

END OF VOLUME LXXXV.